JAST (Journal of Animal Science and Technology) TITLE PAGE Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Type	Research article
Article Title (within 20 words without abbreviations)	Metabolomics reveals potential plateau adaptability by regulating inflammatory response and oxidative stress-related metabolism and energy metabolism pathways in yak
Running Title (within 10 words)	Metabolomics analysis reveals plateau adaptability of yak
Author	†Huang Meizhou2, †Zhang Xin1, †Yan Wenjun3, Liu Jingjing1, and Wang Hui1,*
Affiliation	 Department of Toxicology, School of Public Health, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, Gansu, China Academician (Expert) Workstation of Sichuan Province, The Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, Sichuan 646000, China Bureau of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine of Tianzhu Tibetan Autonomous County, Gansu 733200, China
ORCID (for more information, please visit https://orcid.org)	Wang Hui (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4136-0999)
Competing interests	No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.
Funding sources State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available.	National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31802256) and Gansu Province Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (No. 20JR5RA579).
Acknowledgements	Not applicable.
Availability of data and material	Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.
Authors' contributions Please specify the authors' role using this form.	Conceptualization: Wang H. Data curation: Huang MZ, Wang H. Formal analysis: Huang MZ. Methodology: Yan WJ, Zhang X, Liu JJ. Software: Huang MZ. Validation: Wang H. Investigation: Yan WJ. Writing - original draft: Wang H. Writing - review & editing: Wang H.
Ethics approval and consent to participate	The animal experiment was approved and received humane care according to Ethical Committee rules of Lanzhou University (RIB21110301).
4 5 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INF	ORMATION

For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in information in each box below
First name, middle initial, last name	Wang Hui
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	huiwang@lzu.edu.cn
Secondary Email address	

Address	Chengguan District, Lanzhou city, Gansu Province, China
Cell phone number	13919330832
Office phone number	
Fax number	
6	

8 Abstract

9 Species are facing strong selection pressures to adapt to inhospitable high-altitude environments. Yaks are 10 a valuable species and an iconic symbol of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Extensive studies of high-altitude 11 adaptation have been conducted, but few have focused on metabolism. In the present study, we 12 determined the differences in the serum metabolomics between yaks and the closely related species of 13 low-altitude yellow cattle and dairy cows. We generated high-quality metabolite profiling data for 36 14 samples derived from the three species, and a clear separation trend was obtained between yaks and the 15 other animals from principal component analysis. In addition, we identified a total of 63 differentially 16 expressed metabolites among the three species. Functional analysis revealed that differentially expressed 17 metabolites were related to the innate immune activation, oxidative stress-related metabolism, and energy 18 metabolism in yaks, which indicates the important roles of metabolites in high-altitude adaptation in yaks. 19 The results provide new insights into the mechanism of adaptation or acclimatization to high-altitude 20 environments in yaks and hypoxia-related diseases in humans.

21 Keywords:

22 Yak, High-altitude adaptation, Metabolomics, Cattle, Dairy cow

- 23
- 24

Introduction

25 The Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (QTP), characterized by low temperature and hypobaric hypoxia, is the 26 highest plateau in the world, with an average altitude >4000 m above sea level. Species are facing strong 27 selection pressure to adapt to inhospitable high-altitude environments [1]. The yak (Bos grunniens) is an 28 important domesticated ruminant. It is the only large mammal inhabiting the QTP and is an iconic symbol 29 of the OTP [2]. Yaks living at high altitudes more than 7,000 years, and must adapt to the stress of 30 decreased oxygen availability [3]. Yaks have numerous special morphological and physiological 31 mechanisms for life at high altitudes, e.g., blunted hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction [4], increased 32 foraging ability [5], enhanced glucose uptake and aerobic respiration [6], and improved bioenergy 33 metabolism than mammals living in the plains [7]. Genome analysis identified an expansion in yak of

34 gene families related to sensory perception and energy metabolism comparied with cattle [8], and 35 differentially expressed miRNAs have also been found to be enriched in hypoxia-related pathways [9]. In 36 addition, to reduce the risk of infection and disease, the activation of innate immunity was higher in yaks 37 than in other cattle [10]. These findings partially reveal the adaptive mechanisms of yaks due to natural 38 selection in a high-altitude and hypoxic environment, but few investigations have focused on the role of 39 metabolites.

40 High-altitude hypoxia continuously affects the physical performance of people and animals [11]. 41 Survival in high-altitude hypoxia requires a profound adaptive shift in metabolic processes [12]. In 42 addition, hypoxia is related to homeostasis and the metabolic rate in adult tissues [13]. Organic 43 metabolites are the reactants, intermediates or products of enzymatic reactions and represent the final products of cellular processes. The trend of contemporary scientific development is to follow systems 44 45 biology. Investigation into the metabolome in response to genetic modification or physiological stimulus 46 is a part of systems biology [14]. Identifying metabolic pathways has the potential to improve the 47 understanding of physiological mechanisms [15]. The metabolome can reveal total metabolic profile 48 changes in biological phenotypes and silent phenotypes [16]. In this study, the serum metabolites of yaks 49 (B. grunniens), yellow cattle (Bos taurus) and China Holstein dairy cows (Bos taurus) were analyzed 50 using a nontargeted metabolomics approach based on ultra-performance liquid chromatography-51 quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-Q-TOF-MS). Comparing yaks, yellow cattle and 52 China Holstein dairy cows may contribute to understanding evolutionary adaptation and provide 53 meaningful data for survival at high altitudes.

- 54
- 55

Materials and Methods

56 Sample collection

57 Blood samples were collected between 9:00 and 10:00 am by jugular venous puncture using vacuum 58 tubes from 12 white yaks (Qilian Township, 37°41'6"N, 102°26'24"E, altitude: 3,600 m), 12 local yellow 59 cattle (Tanshanling Town, 37°4'38"N, 102°24'14"E, altitude: 2,200 m), and 12 China Holstein dairy cows

60 (Anyuan Town, 37°8'24"N, 102°37'48"E, altitude: 1,700 m) in Tianzhu County on the edge of QTP, 61 Gansu Province, China (Fig. 1). After the blood was left to stand for 30 min, it was centrifuged at 2,500 62 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. Then, the serum was extracted, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored 63 until analysis was carried out. All animals are female, and about three years old. Yaks and yellow cattle 64 graze the natural grassland throughout the year without supplementary feed and housing. Holstein dairy 65 cows (milk production: 27.1 ± 0.85 kg/day, parity: 2, days in milk [DIM]: 91.6 ± 7.5 days) were fed the Total Mixed Rations (TMR) diets ad libitum, the basal diet was formulated based on the Feeding 66 67 Standards of Dairy Cattle in China. The three species had similar physical characteristics, and the 68 characteristics enrolled yak, cattle, and Holstein dairy cows are shown in Supplementary Table 1. The 69 three pasture sites are traditionally used by local herders for grazing, with similar environment and 70 climatic conditions (temperature: 19.2 ± 1.1 °C, relative humidity: $65.0 \pm 2.2\%$), except altitude. In order 71 to minimize the controlling variables of feeding and environmental factors among the three species, the 72 blood was collected in August, 2021. The animal experiment was approved, and the animals received 73 humane care according to the Ethical Committee rules of Lanzhou University (RIB21110301).

74 Metabolite extraction

The collected samples were thawed at 4 °C, and 100 µL of sample was mixed with 400 µL of precooled methanol/acetonitrile (1:1, v/v). It was incubated at room temperature for 10 min and then centrifuged. The supernatants were collected, dried, and then resuspended in 30 µL water/acetonitrile (98:2, v/v) for MS analysis.

79 Liquid chromatography conditions

First, a UPLC system (SCIEX, UK) was used for chromatographic separations. Reversed-phase
separation was performed using an ACQUITY UPLC T3 column. Solvent A (ultrapure water, 0.1%
formic acid) and solvent B (acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid) comprised the mobile phase.

83 Q-TOF mass spectrometry conditions

84 The metabolites were detected using a tandem mass spectrometer (TripleTOF5600plus, SCIEX, UK). The

details of the Q-TOF mass spectrometry conditions were based on our previous publication [17].

86 **Processing of metabolomics data**

87 LC-MS raw data files were processed by the CAMERA and XCMS packages of R software. Retention 88 time (RT) and m/z data were used to identify each ion. The metabolites were annotated using the HMDB 89 database and KEGG analysis. MetaX was used to further preprocess the intensity of the peak data. The 90 "50% rule" was applied to remove the systematic bias or technical variation by normalizing the data 91 according to our previous publication [17], and the results showed a normal distribution after 92 normalization processing. Outlier detection and batch effects were evaluated by PCA. FDR and 93 supervised PLS-DA were conducted to adjust the P value. The important features were selected based on 94 a VIP cutoff value of 1.0.

95 Determination of inflammatory cytokines and antioxidant enzymes

96 The levels of interleukin-2 (IL-2), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) in serum 97 were determined using ELISA kits. The levels of malondialdehyde (MDA), total antioxidant capacity (T-98 AOC), and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) in serum were measured by chemical colorimetry. All kits 99 were purchased from Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute (Nanjing, China). The detailed 100 principles and methods for the detection of MDA, T-AOC and GSH-Px have been described in our 101 previous publication [18].

102 Statistical analysis

- 103 GraphPad Prism 9 was employed to perform statistical analyses by single factor analysis of variance (one-
- 104 way ANOVA). P values less than 0.05 indicated a significant difference.
- 105
- 106

Results

107 Serum metabolite analysis

Box plots were used to analyze the identified serum metabolites in yaks, yellow cattle and dairy cows. All samples showed a similar range of metabolite levels (Fig. 2a). Serum metabolomic analysis was used to determine whether the metabolic profiles of yaks are separable from those of dairy cows and yellow cattle, we used PCA for visualization. Based on the serum metabolic profiles, the score plots of the PCA model discriminating yaks, dairy cows and yellow cattle are presented in Fig. 2b and 2c. PCA showed that the positive mode of the total variance data was 41.23%, represented by the first two principal components (Fig. 2a), and the negative mode was 52.17% (Fig. 2b). The plot revealed that the serum metabolic profiles of yellow cattle were closely related to those of dairy cows and were not obviously changed. However, the profiles of yaks showed a clear separation trend from those of and yellow cattle and dairy cows.

118 Identification of differentially expressed serum metabolites among yaks, yellow cattle and dairy 119 cows

120 From the 1,815 detected metabolites, we investigated 63 differentially expressed metabolites (Table 1), 121 including L-glutamine, L-glutamic acid, α -linolenic acid, tauroursodeoxycholic acid, and LysoPC 122 $(ratio \ge 5.0 \text{ or } \le 0.2, P \le 0.01 \text{ and } VIP \ge 1)$. The relative concentrations of 23 metabolites were significantly 123 higher, while 30 were significantly lower in yaks than in yellow cattle (Table 1). The relative 124 concentrations of 11 metabolites were significantly higher and 15 were significantly lower in yaks than in 125 dairy cows. The relative concentrations of 11 metabolites were significantly lower in yellow cattle than in 126 dairy cows. These metabolites were carbohydrates, amino acids, lipids and their metabolites, suggesting 127 that these metabolic pathways were different among yaks, yellow cattle, and dairy cows. Furthermore, 128 metabolic pathways were significantly different between yaks and yellow cattle and between yaks and 129 dairy cows, but there were similarities between yellow cattle and dairy cows based on the very few 130 differential metabolic profiles (Table 1).

131 Metabolic KEGG pathway analysis

KEGG analysis was used to predict metabolic pathways for all differential metabolites. Figure 3 shows the functional enrichment of the top 5 different pathways. The most enriched functional pathways among yak, yellow cattle and dairy cow belonged to metabolic pathways: amino acid metabolism (e.g., phenylalanine, arginine, proline, glycine, valine, leucine, isoleucine and glutamine), phospholipid metabolism (lysophosphatidylcholines [LysoPCs]), and fatty acid metabolism (arachidonic acid metabolism, α-linolenic acid and linolenic acid metabolism).

138 Inflammatory cytokines and antioxidant levels

139 The levels of the inflammatory cytokines IL-2, IL-6, and TNF- α in vak serum were significantly higher 140 than those in yellow cattle and dairy cows (P<0.05 or P<0.01) (Fig. 4a-4c). Oxidative stress is an 141 imbalance of reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation and elimination. High altitude-associated 142 hypobaric hypoxia stress induces ROS production [19]. To determine if the elevated peripheral 143 inflammatory cytokines were accompanied by reactive oxygen production or oxidative damage [20], 144 serum levels of MDA, T-AOC and GSH-Px were measured by chemical colorimetry. The results showed 145 that there was no significant differences in MDA, T-AOC and GSH-Px levels among yaks, yellow cattle 146 and dairy cows (P>0.05) (Fig. 4d-4f).

147

Discussion

148 Yaks are an iconic symbol of QTP and can be used as a model to elucidate the mechanisms of hypoxia 149 adaptation. The three specises of yak, yellow cattle and Holstein dairy cow belong to subtribe Bovina [21]. 150 Holstein and yellow cattle should be probably separated from yak about 4.4 to 5.3 million years ago 151 [10,22]. Systems biology is the trend of contemporary scientific development [23]. Comparative 152 transcriptome sequencing revealed that the innate immunity were more activated in yak lung than low-153 altitude cattle (Sanjiang and Holstein cattle) [10,24]. Proteomics of skeletal muscle mitochondria showed 154 that the significantly affected pathway in yaks and cattle was oxidative phosphorylation [7]. Identification 155 of metabolic pathways using metabolomics comparisons between closely related species has the potential 156 to provide insights into the basis of mammalian divergence and adaptation. To understand differences in 157 the global metabolic profiles and relevant metabolic pathways of yaks, yellow cattle and dairy cows 158 during acclimatization to high altitude, we utilized UPLC-Q-TOF-MS to determine the serum metabolite 159 profiles of the three breeds.

We detected a clear separation trend between yaks and yellow cattle and dairy cows. A total of 63 different metabolites were obtained in serum. An integrative view plot of the metabolic changes among white yaks, yellow cattle and dairy cows was prepared (Fig. 3). The major perturbed metabolic patterns and plausible pathways are involved in amino acid metabolism, phospholipid metabolism, and fatty acid
 metabolism, which are associated with hypobaric hypoxia.

165 Amino acid metabolism

166 Glutamine is a key metabolite in the alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism pathways [25]. A 167 previous study reported that high-altitude exposure leads to lower glutamate levels due to decreased 168 activity of glutamine synthetase [26]. In contrast, we found significantly elevated levels of glutamic acid 169 and glucogenic amino acids that produce pyruvic acid, α -ketoglutaric acid, and oxaloacetic acid in the 170 serum of yaks in comparison with yellow cattle and dairy cows [27] (Table 1). The results of this study 171 indicate that yaks have the highest levels of protein catabolism and amino acid mobilization. Therefore, 172 the mobilization of yak muscle protein may be a metabolic adaptation to hypotaric hypoxia. Pathway 173 analysis also showed improved energy metabolism and promoted acclimatization to high altitude by 174 increasing the metabolism of phenylalanine, arginine, proline and glutamine to meet the energy 175 requirements in yaks (Fig. 3). This result is consistent with the previous finding that hypobaric hypoxia 176 exposure can enhance glucose and amino acid metabolism [28].

177 Phospholipid metabolism

178 Phospholipids play a role as a cellular bilayer with membrane proteins, and they are involved in the 179 maintenance of hepatic lipid metabolism [29]. Our results show that almost all LysoPCs, including 180 LysoPC (18:0), LysoPC (16:0), LysoPC (18:1), LysoPC (22:6) and LysoPC (22:4), were markedly 181 increased in yaks compared with yellow cattle and dairy cows (Table 1). LysoPCs participate in the 182 inflammatory response by mediating cell signaling pathways in monocytes and macrophages [30,31]. To 183 verify the increased LysoPCs, the serum levels of cytokines IL-2, IL-6, and TNF- α were detected. The 184 results showed that the levels of IL-2, IL-6, and TNF- α were significantly higher in yaks than in cattle and 185 dairy cows (Fig. 4a-4c), which is consistent with the increased LysoPCs. Environmental factors such as 186 hypobaric hypoxia, cold and UV exposure at high altitude can suppress the immune system [32]. Tumor 187 necrosis factors and interleukins can mediate innate immunity signaling. Xin *et al.* reported that the 188 immune system was more activated and the genes related to immune were up-regulated in yak compared

with Sanjiang and Holstein cattle [10]. A significant elevation of LysoPCs and cytokines (IL-2, IL-6, and TNF- α) might be responsible for yaks being more tolerant to hypoxia at high altitudes than yellow cattle and dairy cows by activating innate immunity system.

192 Fatty acids metabolism

193 Hypoxia is associated with an increase in the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and an 194 excessive load of ROS generated may result in cell injury and dysfunction [33]. Excessive ROS can lead 195 to lipid peroxidation, MDA can reflect the level of lipid peroxidation. ROS are balanced by natural 196 antioxidant compounds such as GSH-Px, superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) [34]. We 197 found that serum MDA levels, T-AOC and GSH-Px activity were not significantly changed in yak in 198 comparison with yellow cattle and Holstein dairy cows (Fig. 4d-4f), based on they had similar physical 199 characteristics (Table S1). The results demonstrate that yaks adapt to hypoxia-induced oxidative stress at 200 high altitudes do not by increasing antioxidant enzyme levels.

201 Free fatty acids (FFAs) are risk factors for cardiovascular diseases and are closely related to metabolic 202 syndromes [35]. FFAs are significant sources of ROS [36], mainly through the activation of NADPH 203 oxidase [37]. There was a dose-dependent increase in ROS in monocytes exposed to FFAs [38]. 204 Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are a favorable target for ROS [39]. Oxidative breakdown of PUFAs 205 may affect lipid metabolism and the expression of genes and proteins related to cell differentiation [40]. α-Linolenic acid and linoleic acid are PUFAs. Linoleic acid contains unsaturated double bonds that are 206 207 highly vulnerable to ROS [41], and has been linked to red blood cell damage by promoting redox 208 reactions [42]. The ROS production was greater in bovine mammary epithelia cells treated with linoleic 209 acid and α -linolenic acid [43]. Arachidonic acid has been demonstrated to promote inflammatory 210 responses by activating the MAPK and JNK pathways by increasing TNF- α levels [44.45]. Arachidonic 211 acid-derived metabolites also can propagate inflammation and oxidative stress [46]. Arachidonic acid 212 suppressed the cell growth of hepatic cells by dose-dependently inducing the production of ROS [47]. In 213 the present work, FFAs (α -linolenic acid, linoleic acid and arachidonic acid) were significantly decreased 214 in yaks compared with yellow cattle and Holstein dairy cows (Table 1). We speculate that yaks can

215	decrease the level of FFAs (α -linolenic acid, linoleic acid and arachidonic acid) in serum induced by
216	hypoxia and that the decreased FFAs can attenuate cell injury and hypoxia dysfunction by inhibiting
217	oxidative stress.
218	
219	Conclusions
220	A clear separation trend between the serum metabolic profiles of yaks and yellow cattle and dairy cows
221	was demonstrated by PCA. In addition, a total of 63 differentially expressed metabolites were identified
222	among the three species. Functional analysis revealed that differentially expressed metabolites were
223	related to the innate immune activation (elevation of LysoPCs and cytokines), oxidative stress-related
224	metabolism (arachidonic acid metabolism, α -linolenic acid metabolism, and linoleic acid metabolism) and
225	energy metabolism (fatty acid metabolism and amino acid metabolism) in yaks, which indicates the
226	important roles of metabolites in high-altitude adaptation in yaks.
227	
228	Acknowledgments
229	Not applicable.
230	
231	

232		References
233 234	1.	Tang Q, Gu Y, Zhou X, Jin L, Guan J, Liu R, et al. Comparative transcriptomics of 5 high-altitude vertebrates and their low-altitude relatives. Gigascience. 2017;6:1–9.
235 236	2.	Cui G, Yuan F, Degen AA, Liu S, Zhou J, Shang Z, et al. Composition of the milk of yaks raised at different altitudes on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Int Dairy J. 2016;59:29–35.
237 238	3.	Qiu Q, Wang L, Wang K, Yang Y, Ma T, Wang Z, et al. Yak whole-genome resequencing reveals domestication signatures and prehistoric population expansions. Nat Commun. 2015;6:10283.
239 240 241	4.	Dolt KS, Mishra MK, Karar J, Baig MA, Ahmed Z, Pasha MA. cDNA cloning, gene organization and variant specific expression of HIF-1 alpha in high altitude yak (<i>Bos grunniens</i>). Gene. 2007;386:73–80.
242 243 244	5.	Shao B, Long R, Ding Y, Wang J, Ding L, Wang H. Morphological adaptations of yak (<i>Bos grunniens</i>) tongue to the foraging environment of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. J Anim Sci. 2010;88:2594–603.
245 246	6.	Xin JW, Chai ZX, Zhang CF, Zhang Q, Zhu Y, Cao HW, et al. Signature of high altitude adaptation in the gluteus proteome of the yak. J Exp Zool B Mol Dev Evol. 2020;334:362–72.
247 248 249	7.	Long L, Zhu Y, Li Z, Zhang H, Liu L, Bai J. Differential expression of skeletal muscle mitochondrial proteins in yak, dzo, and cattle: a proteomics-based study. J Vet Med Sci. 2020;82: 1178–86.
250 251	8.	Qiu Q, Zhang G, Ma T, Qian W, Wang J, Ye Z, et al. The yak genome and adaptation to life at high altitude. Nat Genet. 2012;44:946–9.
252 253 254	9.	Guan J, Long K, Ma J, Zhang J, He D, Jin L, et al. Comparative analysis of the microRNA transcriptome between yak and cattle provides insight into high-altitude adaptation. Peer J. 2017;5:e3959.
255 256 257	10.	Xin JW, Chai ZX, Zhang CF, Zhang Q, Zhu Y, Cao HW, Ji QM, Zhong JC. Transcriptome profiles revealed the mechanisms underlying the adaptation of yak to high-altitude environments. Sci Rep. 2019;9:7558.
258 259	11.	Julian CG, Wilson MJ, Moore LG. Evolutionary adaptation to high altitude: A view from in utero. Am J Hum Biol. 2009;21:614–22.
		12

- 260 12. O'Brien KA, Griffin JL, Murray AJ, Edwards LM. Mitochondrial responses to extreme
 261 environments: insights from metabolomics. Extrem Physiol Med. 2015;4:7.
- 13. Araldi E, Schipani E. Hypoxia, HIFs and bone development. Bone. 2010;47:190–6.
- 263 14. Sauer U, Heinemann M, Zamboni N. Getting closer to the whole picture. Science. 2007;316:550–1.
- 15. Jain M, Nilsson R, Sharma S, Madhusudhan N, Kitami T, Souza AL, et al. Metabolite profiling
 identifies a key role for glycine in rapid cancer cell proliferation. Science. 2012;336:1040–4.
- 266 16. Dunn WB, Broadhurst DI, Atherton HJ, Goodacre R, Griffin JL. Systems level studies of
 267 mammalian metabolomes: the roles of mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance
 268 spectroscopy. Chem Soc Rev. 2011;40:387–426.
- 17. Wang H, Liu Z, Wang S, Cui D, Zhang X, Liu Y, Zhang Y. UHPLC-Q-TOF/MS based plasma
 metabolomics reveals the metabolic perturbations by manganese exposure in rat models.
 Metallomics. 2017;9:192–203.
- Wang H, Liu Z, Huang M, Wang S, Cui D, Dong S, et al. Effects of Long-Term Mineral Block
 Supplementation on Antioxidants, Immunity, and Health of Tibetan Sheep. Biol Trace Elem Res.
 2016;172:326–35.
- 275 19. Bakonyi T, Radak Z. High altitude and free radicals. J Sports Sci Med. 2004;3:64–9.
- 276 20. Chen H, Cao G, Chen DQ, Wang M, Vaziri ND, Zhang ZH, et al. Metabolomics insights into
 activated redox signaling and lipid metabolism dysfunction in chronic kidney disease progression.
 278 Redox Biol. 2016;10:168–78.
- 279 21. Zhang K, Lenstra JA, Zhang S, Liu W, Liu J. Evolution and domestication of the Bovini species.
 280 Anim Genet. 2020;51:637–57.
- 28. Gu Z, Zhao X, Li N, Wu C. Complete sequence of the yak (*Bos grunniens*) mitochondrial genome
 and its evolutionary relationship with other ruminants. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2007;42:248–55.
- 283 23. Liao WT, Liu B, Chen J, Cui JH, Gao YX, Liu FY, et al. Metabolite modulation in human plasma in
 284 the early phase of acclimatization to hypobaric hypoxia. Sci Rep. 2016;6:22589.
- 24. Lan D, Xiong X, Ji W, Li J, Mipam TD, Ai Y, Chai Z. Transcriptome profile and unique genetic
 evolution of positively selected genes in yak lungs. Genetica. 2018;146:151–60.

- 287 25. Guo L, Tan G, Liu P, Li H, Tang L, Huang L, Ren Q. Three plasma metabolite signatures for
 288 diagnosing high altitude pulmonary edema. Sci Rep. 2015;5:15126.
- 26. Radak Z, Asano K, Fu Y, Nakamura A, Nakamoto H, Ohno H, Goto S. The effect of high altitude
 and caloric restriction on reactive carbonyl derivatives and activity of glutamine synthetase in rat
 brain. Life Sci. 1998;62:1317–22.
- 292 27. Lapierre H, Lobley GE, Doepel L, Raggio G, Rulquin H, Lemosquet S. Triennial Lactation
 293 Symposium: Mammary metabolism of amino acids in dairy cows. J Anim Sci. 2012;90:1708–21.
- 28. Horscroft JA, Murray AJ. Skeletal muscle energy metabolism in environmental hypoxia: climbing
 towards consensus. Extreme Physiol. Med. 2014;3:19.
- 29. Oh HA, Lee H, Park SY, Lim Y, Kwon O, Kim JY, Kim D, Jung BH. Analysis of plasma metabolic
 profiling and evaluation of the effect of the intake of Angelica keiskei using metabolomics and
 lipidomics. J Ethnopharmacol. 2019;243:112058.
- 30. Duong CQ, Bared SM, Abu-Khader A, Buechler C, Schmitz A, Schmitz G. Expression of the
 lysophospholipid receptor family and investigation of lysophospholipid-mediated responses in
 human macrophages. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2004;1682:112–9.
- 302 31. Kabarowski JH. G2A and LPC: Regulatory functions in immunity. Prostaglandins Other Lipid
 303 Mediat. 2009:89:73–81.
- 304 32. Mishra KP, Ganju L. Infuence of high altitude exposure on the immune system: a review. Immunol
 305 Invest. 2010;39:219–234.
- 306 33. Clanton TL. Hypoxia-induced reactive oxygen species formation in skeletal muscle. J Appl Physiol.
 307 2007;102:2379–88.
- 308 34. Wu JQ, Kosten TR, Zhang XY. Free radicals, antioxidant defense systems, and schizophrenia. Prog
 309 Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2013;46:200–6.
- 310 35. Boden G. Obesity and free fatty acids. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 2008;37:635–46.
- 36. Ghosh A, Gao L, Thakur A, Siu PM, Lai CWK. Role of free fatty acids in endothelial dysfunction. J
 Biomed Sci. 2017;24:50.
- 313 37. Cury-boaventura MF, Rui C. Regulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production by C18 fatty
 acids in Jurkat and Raji cells. Clin Sci. 2005;108:245–53.

- 315 38. Zhang WY, Schwartz E, Wang Y, Attrep J, Li Z, Reaven P. Elevated concentrations of nonesterified
 316 fatty acids increase monocyte expression of CD11b and adhesion to endothelial cells. Arterioscler
 317 Thromb Vasc Biol. 2006;26:514–9.
- 318 39. Behn C, Araneda OF, Llanos AJ, Celedón G, González G. Hypoxia-related lipid peroxidation:
 evidences, implications and approaches. Respir Physiol Neurobiol. 2007;158:143–50.
- 40. Bork CS, Baker EJ, Lundbye-Christensen S, Miles EA, Calder PC. Lowering the linoleic acid to
 alpha-linoleic acid ratio decreases the production of inflammatory mediators by cultured human
 endothelial cells. Prostaglandins Leukot. Essent. Fatty Acids. 2019;141:1–8.
- 41. Fedor DM, Adkins Y, Mackey BE, Kelley DS. Docosahexaenoic acid prevents *trans*-10, *cis*-12conjugated linoleic acid-induced nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in mice by altering expression of
 hepatic genes regulating fatty acid synthesis and oxidation. Metab Syndr Relat Disord. 2012;10:175–
 80.
- 42. Yuan T, Fan WB, Cong Y, Xu HD, Li CJ, Meng J, et al. Linoleic acid induces red blood cells and
 hemoglobin damage via oxidative mechanism. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2015;8:5044–52.
- 43. Basiricò L, Morera P, Dipasquale D, Tröscher A, Bernabucci U. Comparison between conjugated
 linoleic acid and essential fatty acids in preventing oxidative stress in bovine mammary epithelial
 cells. J Dairy Sci. 2017;100:2299–309.
- 44. Balsinde J, Winstead MV, Dennis EA. Phospholipase A(2) regulation of arachidonic acid
 mobilization. FEBS Lett. 2002;531:2–6.
- 45. Saito Y, Watanabe K, Fujioka D, Nakamura T, Obata JE, Kawabata K, et al. Disruption of group
 IVA cytosolic phospholipase A(2) attenuates myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury partly through
 inhibition of TNF-α-mediated pathway. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2012;302:H2018–30.
- 46. Rink C, Khanna S. Significance of brain tissue oxygenation and the arachidonic acid cascade in
 stroke. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2011;14:1889–903.
- 47. Qin XY, Lu J, Cai M, Kojima S. Arachidonic acid suppresses hepatic cell growth through ROSmediated activation of transglutaminase. FEBS Open Bio. 2018;8:1703–10.
- 341
- 342



Fig. 1. The geographic distribution of the sampling locations for white yak, yellow cattle and dairy cow on the edge

- 348 of Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, China.



Fig. 2. Comparison of distribution of serum metabolite levels among yak, dairy cow and yellow cattle. (a) Box plots
 represent the distribution of metabolite peak intensity measurements from serum samples across all subjects. PCA
 scores plots of serum metabolomic profiles derived from UPLC-Q-TOF-MS spectra showing separation between yak
 and yellow cattle and dairy cow in the positive mode (b) and negative mode (c).







Fig. 3. The top 5 different pathways for differential metabolites (up and down) among yak, yellow cattle, and dairy
 cow in positive (a) and negative (b) ion modes.





410 Fig. 4. The inflammatory cytokines of IL-2 (a), IL-6 (b), and TNF- α (c) were determined using ELISA kits, and 411 MDA level (d), T-AOC (e) and GSH-Px activity (f) related to antioxidant defense system in serum were measured by 412 chemical colorimetry. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

-

Table 1. List of serum differential metabolites among yak, yellow cattle and dairy cow (n = 12)

NO	Metabolites	Yak vs cattle			Yak vs dairy cow			Cattle vs dairy cow			
NO.		Ratio	P value	VIP	Ratio	P value	VIP	Ratio	P value	VIP	
1	L-Acetopine	9.287	1.17E-03	2.237	5.183	4.55E-03	2.082				
2	LysoPC (18:0)	7.211	7.94E-06	2.558	7.621	3.30E-06	2.906				
3	7-Ketodeoxycholic acid	6.780	2.93E-03	2.358	0.161	5.11E-04	3.567				
4	LysoPC (16:0)	6.379	1.09E-04	2.311	0.131	6.89E-06	2.572				
5	LysoPC (18:1)	6.219	3.65E-05	2.401	5.979	3.69E-05	2.539				
6	Ganoderol A	6.042	1.81E-05	2.564	5.110	4.73E-05	2.264				
7	α-Linolenic acid	0.179	1.93E-05	2.342	0.181	1.73E-07	2.816				
8	Stearoylcarnitine	0.141	4.00E-13	2.782	0.103	7.26E-14	3.428				
9	Taurocholic acid	0.099	5.33E-06	3.151	0.186	7.83E-05	2.477				
10	TG (14:0)	0.098	4.37E-08	2.979	0.081	8.98E-10	1.936				
11	Heme O	0.089	3.83E-07	3.345	0.081	1.98E-07	3.623				
12	Tauroursodeoxycholic acid	0.084	3.71E-06	3.393	0.133	2.61E-05	3.119				
13	Ganodermic acid TQ	0.063	3.96E-07	3.500	0.147	2.16E-05	2.721				
14	L-Glutamine	41.331	2.93E-10	2.094	50.738	3.27E-09	2.243				
15	L-Glutamic acid	15.615	1.84E-07	1.764	16.328	3.30E-06	2.096				
16	Daucic acid	8.296	2.66E-05	1.410	6.906	5.44E-05	1.351				
17	L-Malic acid	5.635	2.69E-09	1.320	8.920	1.45E-08	1.798				
18	Pterosin N	0.095	1.69E-06	1.474	0.073	5.82E-17	1.882				
19	Linoleic acid	0.078	2.03E-06	1.744	0.060	2.18E-06	1.826				
20	Pyrocatechol sulfate	0.031	9.83E-11	2.130	0.106	1.62E-04	1.167				
21	Methyl levulinate	0.007	2.59E-17	2.578	0.019	3.04E-05	1.582				
22	LysoPC (22:6)	8.040	1.68E-09	3.084							
23	Lactapiperanol D	7.664	1.46E-08	3.178							
24	Octadecyl fumarate	6.929	1.33E-07	2.797							
25	27-Norcholestanehexol	6.225	1.89E-07	3.014							
26	Lysyl-Threonine	5.756	4.46E-07	2.940							
27	5-Hydroxy-tryptophol	5.682	1.08E-05	2.663							
28	LysoPC (22:4)	5.665	4.70E-08	2.611							
29	beta-Elemonic acid	5.555	1.21E-07	2.704							
30	L-Carnitine	5.539	7.49E-08	2.715							
31	Malonyl-Carnitin	5.461	1.78E-07	2.920							
32	Cortisol	5.210	2.99E-05	2.455							
33	Polyporusterone B	5.058	1.78E-05	2.896							
34	Acetaminophen glucuronide	0.199	5.80E-03	2.147							
35	Eicosatetraenoic acid	0.195	4.34E-06	2.348							

36	12-Ketodeoxycholic acid	0.191	1.81E-04	2.932							
37	Pterosin O	0.149	4.72E-06	2.921							
38	Phenylalanyl-Tryptophan	0.144	9.62E-08	2.968							
39	N-Heptanoylglycine	0.137	1.79E-09	2.834							
40	Hexaethylene glycol	0.125	4.52E-04	2.333							
41	Glycyrrhizin	0.107	1.10E-06	3.200							
42	Campesteryl linoleate	0.103	1.07E-04	3.643							
43	Psychosine sulfate	0.091	1.75E-09	3.191							
44	D-Xylose	0.084	3.42E-03	2.262							
45	Cellulose triacetate	0.076	2.61E-06	3.463							
46	6a-Hydroxy-paclitaxel	0.070	6.90E-13	3.368							
47	TG (18:1)	0.063	7.34E-10	3.311							
48	Paradol	0.053	2.32E-15	3.789							
49	Torvonin A	5.959	1.10E-10	1.534							
50	Allantoin	0.168	2.66E-04	1.632							
51	Ethyl pyruvate	0.154	8.04E-05	1.661							
52	2-Hydroxybutyric acid	0.148	5.76E-07	1.539							
53	Galactitol	0.078	8.58E-04	1.423							
54	Cystathionine ketimine				9.393	2.68E-04	1.256				
55	L-Phenylalanine				5.220	1.86E-08	1.467				
56	PC (16:0)				7.816	8.68E-03	2.112				
57	LysoPC (20:3)				0.196	4.88E-05	2.219				
58	TG (15:0)				0.014	4.52E-18	4.611	0.139	9.69E-05	2.035	
59	Heliantriol A1							0.183	1.00E-03	1.682	
60	Corbisterol							0.183	4.19E-06	5.027	
61	Diacetoxypropyl stearate							0.176	9.65E-04	4.455	
62	Famprofazone							0.164	4.15E-07	5.073	
63 Note	Leontogenin e: The ratio is >5.0 or <0.2.							0.147	2.01E-06	5.420	