

120 and quadratic effects of dietary vitamin premix levels on responses measured. Statistical significance
121 was accepted at $p < 0.05$.

122

123 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

124 Laying performances of hens fed diets with varying levels of vitamin premix are presented in Table 3.
125 There was no significant difference in egg production or daily egg mass among groups during the first
126 four weeks of experiment. Egg production and daily egg mass in the control group were significantly
127 higher ($p < 0.01$) than those in hens fed diet without vitamin premix during the second half of the
128 experiment (the second four weeks). A linear trend for egg production with increasing dietary vitamin
129 premix levels was found during the same period. Egg weight and feed intake were not affected by
130 dietary treatment.

131 As shown in Table 4, diets with varying levels of vitamin premix did not influence any egg quality
132 parameters when measured at 2 weeks of the experiment. A linear trend for yolk color with increasing
133 dietary vitamin premix level was found during the same period. Eggshell strength and thickness for
134 hens fed diet without vitamin premix were significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) than those for hens in the other
135 three groups at 4 and 6 weeks of the experiment. Significant linear and quadratic improvement for
136 eggshell strength and thickness with increasing dietary vitamin premix levels ($p < 0.05$) were found.
137 However, Haugh unit was not affected by dietary treatment.

138 Results from broilers regarding effects of vitamin premix withdrawal on growth performance are
139 conflicting. Chickens fed diets lacking vitamin premix exhibit reduced weight gain, feed efficiency, and
140 survival rate in some studies [7]. Conversely, Moravej et al. [8] did not find any significant effects of
141 reduction or withdrawal of vitamin premix on growth performance during the finishing period.
142 Information is limited concerning effects of reduction or withdrawal of vitamin premix on egg
143 production and egg quality measurements in commercial layers. It appears that withdrawn of vitamin
144 premix, especially riboflavin, negatively affects laying performance [11]. Long-term riboflavin

145 deficiency can reduce egg production, egg weight, and body weight of layers [12]. Our results revealed
146 that reduction more than 50% or withdrawal of vitamin premix in layer diet did negatively affect egg
147 production and egg qualities as the period of deprivation increased. Most vitamins are not stable. They
148 can undergo significant deterioration during storage [5]. Vitamin availability in plant feedstuffs is often
149 very low [13]. The calculated riboflavin value of basal diet used in this study appears to barely meet the
150 riboflavin requirement [3,5]. Considering these, a part of the decline in laying performance might be
151 due to insufficient supply of riboflavin.

152 Blood profiles of hens fed diets with varying levels of vitamin premix are presented in Table 5. There
153 were no significant differences in concentrations of albumin, globulin, total cholesterol, or
154 triacylglycerol among groups. A linear trend for serum albumin with increasing dietary vitamin premix
155 level was found. Activities of serum GOT and GPT as indicatives of tissue damages were not affected
156 by dietary treatment.

157 In most studies, blood biochemistry and immune criteria of poultry were not affected when vitamin
158 premix was reduced or removed from experimental diets. For example, Deyhim and Teeter [7] did not
159 find any significant effects of reducing vitamin premix on humoral immune response. In addition,
160 removal of vitamin premix in broiler diets had no adverse effect on immunocompetence or antibody
161 titer production over a relatively short period [14]. In the present study, relative weights of thymus and
162 bursa of Fabricius were not influenced by dietary treatment (data not shown). Studies about long-term
163 effects of vitamin premix withdrawal on immune criteria and blood biochemistry in commercial laying
164 hens are limited. The possibility of impaired immune index should not be precluded. Further studies are
165 needed to clarify effects of diets containing various levels of vitamin premix on immune criteria of
166 commercial laying hens.

167 Contents of riboflavin and α -tocopherol obtained from hens fed diets containing varying levels of
168 vitamin premix are presented in Table 6. The level of α -tocopherol of eggs obtained from the control
169 group was significantly higher than those of groups with vitamin premix reduction of more than 50%
170 or withdrawal of vitamin premix ($p < 0.001$). A significant linear increment for egg α -tocopherol with

171 increasing dietary vitamin premix level was found. The level of riboflavin of eggs obtained from control
172 group was significantly higher than that of the group fed diet without vitamin premix ($p < 0.05$).
173 Similarly, a linear trend for riboflavin content with increasing dietary vitamin premix level was found.
174 Naber and Squires [11] have reported that riboflavin levels are dropped within several days in egg from
175 layers fed diets without riboflavin or all supplemental vitamins. Another study has also found that egg
176 riboflavin concentration is rapidly decreased after feeding diets without riboflavin supplement [12].
177 These studies have suggested that riboflavin concentration in egg albumen can be used to assess
178 nutritional status of laying hens [11,12]. In the present study, the riboflavin content of eggs was affected
179 by dietary levels of vitamin premix, in agreement with those results. As expected, levels of α -tocopherol
180 in experimental diets were reflected in concentrations of this vitamin in egg obtained each group.
181 Scheideler et al. [15] have reported that egg yolk α -tocopherol is increased linearly with vitamin E
182 supplementation, although dietary levels are not different from those in the present study. Chicken eggs
183 are one of the most common daily foods. They contain most of the essential vitamins except for vitamin
184 C [16]. Vitamin E is a natural fat-soluble antioxidant that tends to bring about storage stability of eggs
185 [17]. Eggs low in riboflavin and α -tocopherol are less attractive to consumers who consider their health.

186

187 **CONCLUSION**

188 Overall, reducing more than 50% or withdrawal of vitamin premix in layer diet did negatively affect
189 egg production or egg qualities. From results of the present study, it is recommended to feed a layer
190 diet containing sufficient levels of vitamin premix to produce healthy chicken eggs with a long shelf
191 life.

192 **REFERENCES**

- 193 1. McDowell LR. Vitamins in Animal and Human Nutrition. 2nd ed. Ames. Iowa State University
194 Press; 2000.
- 195 2. Larbier M, Leclercq B. Nutrition and Feeding of Poultry. Nottingham University Press; 1994.
- 196 3. NRC [National Research Council]. Nutrients requirements of poultry. 9th ed. Washington, DC.
197 National Academic Press; 1994.
- 198 4. NRC [National Research Council]. Nutrients requirements of poultry. 8th ed. Washington, DC.
199 National Academic Press; 1984.
- 200 5. Korean Feeding Standard for Poultry. 4th ed. National Institute of Animal Science, Rural
201 Development Administration; 2017.
- 202 6. Ao T, Paul MA, Pescatore AJ, Macalintal LM, et al. Growth performance and bone characteristics
203 of broiler chickens fed corn-soy diet supplemented with different levels of vitamin premix and
204 sources of mineral premix. *J Appl Anim Nutr.* 2019;7:1-5.
- 205 7. Deyhim F, Teeter RG. Dietary vitamin and/or trace mineral premix effects on performance, humoral
206 mediated immunity, and carcass composition of broilers during thermoneutral and high ambient
207 temperature distress. *J Appl Poult Sci.* 1993;2:347-55.
- 208 8. Moravej H, Alahyari SM, Shivazad M. Effects of the reduction or withdrawal of the vitamin premix
209 from the diet on chicken performance and meat quality. *Braz J Poult Sci.* 2012;14:239-43.
- 210 9. An BK, Jung JH, Oh ST, Kang CW, Lee KW, Lee SR. Effects of diets with graded levels of canola
211 meal on the growth performance, meat quality, relative organ weights, and blood characteristics of
212 broiler chickens. *Braz J Poult Sci.* 2016;18:351-6.
- 213 10. Ko EY, Saini RK, Keum YS, An BK. Age of laying hens significantly influences the content of
214 nutritionally vital lipophilic compounds in eggs. *Foods.* 2021;10-22, doi:10.3390/foods10010022.
- 215 11. Naber EC, Squires MW. Research note: Early detection of a vitamin permix in layer diets by egg
216 albumen riboflavin analysis. *Poult Sci.* 1993;72:1989-93.
- 217 12. Squire MW, Naber EC. Vitamin profiles of eggs as indicators of nutritional status in the laying hen:
218 Riboflavin study. *Poult Sci.* 1993;72:483-94.
- 219 13. Summers JD, Leeson S. Choline, niacin and thiamine supplementation of canola and soybean
220 protein diet fed to broilers to 6 w k of age. *Can J Anim Sci.* 1985;65:217-20.

- 221 14. Khajali F, Khoshoei EA, Moghaddam AKZ. Effect of vitamin and trace mineral withdrawal from
222 finisher diets on growth performance and immunocompetence of broiler chickens. Br Poult Sci.
223 2006;47:159-62.
- 224 15. Scheideler SE, Weber P, Monsalve D. Supplemental vitamin E and selenium effects on egg
225 production, egg quality, egg deposition of α -tocopherol and selenium. J Appl Poult Sci.
226 2010;19:354-60.
- 227 16. Zang H, Zhang K, Ding X, Bai S, Hernandez JM, Yao B. Effects of different dietary vitamin
228 combinations on the egg quality and vitamin deposition in the whole egg of laying hens. Braz J
229 Poult Sci. 2011;13:189-96.
- 230 17. Panda AK, Cherian G. Role of vitamin E in counteracting oxidative stress in poultry. J Poult Res.
231 2014;51:109-17.

ACCEPTED

Table 1. Formula and chemical compositions of experimental diets¹⁾

Items	Control	T1	T2	T3
Ingredients, %				
Corn	54.69	54.72	54.74	54.79
Soybean meal, 45%	23.68	23.68	23.68	23.68
Rapeseed meal	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10
Rice bran	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
DDGS	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Animal fat	2.10	2.10	2.10	2.10
Lysine-HCl, 78%	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
DL-methionine, 99%	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18
Tricalcium phosphate	1.52	1.52	1.52	1.52
Limestone	9.98	9.98	9.98	9.98
Salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Vitamin premix ²⁾	0.10	0.075	0.05	-
Mineral premix ³⁾	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Choline-Cl, 50%	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
NaHCO ₃	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Calculated values ⁴⁾				
CP, %	16.50	16.50	16.50	16.50
Ca, %	4.10	4.10	4.10	4.10
Avail. P, %	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43
Total Lys, %	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Total TSAA, %	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
AME _n , kcal/kg	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750

232 ¹⁾Control, a group received a diet containing 0.10% vitamin premix; T1, a group received a diet containing 0.075%
233 vitamin premix; T2, a group received a diet containing 0.05% vitamin premix; T3, a group received a diet
234 without any vitamin premix.

235 ²⁾Vitamin mixture provided the following nutrients per kg of control diet: vitamin A, 10,000 IU; vitamin D₃, 3,500
236 IU; vitamin E, 10 mg; vitamin K₃, 3 mg; vitamin B₁, 2 mg; vitamin B₂, 6 mg; vitamin B₆, 4 mg; vitamin B₁₂,
237 0.0235 mg; pantothenic acid, 10 mg; folic acid, 0.82 mg; nicotinic acid, 30 mg.

238 ³⁾Mineral mixtures provided the following nutrients per kg of diets: Mn, 25 mg; Zn, 50 mg; Fe, 60 mg; Cu, 10
239 mg; Co, 0.15 mg; Se, 0.10 mg.

240 ⁴⁾Calculated values are based on raw materials.

Table 2. Specification of vitamin premix used in this study

Vitamins	Contents, per kg
Vitamin A, IU	10,000,000
Vitamin D ₃ , IU	3,500,000
Vitamin E, ppm	10,000
Vitamin K ₃ , ppm	3,000
Thiamin, ppm	2,000
Riboflavin, ppm	6,000
Niacin, ppm	30,000
Pantothenic acid, ppm	10,000
Vitamin B ₆ , ppm	4,000
Vitamin B ₁₂ , ppb	23.5
Biotin, ppm	120
Folic acid, ppm	820

242 **Table 3. Egg productivity of laying hens subjected to diets containing varying levels of vitamin premix^{1,2)}**

	Dietary treatments				SEM	P values		
	Control	T1	T2	T3		Linear	Quadratic	ANOVA
1~4 weeks								
Egg production, %	86.0	81.6	83.0	82.2	2.718	0.320	0.562	0.648
Egg weight, g/egg	61.0	61.6	60.2	62.6	0.892	0.433	0.359	0.281
Daily egg mass	52.3	50.3	50.1	50.7	1.703	0.426	0.529	0.763
Feed intake, g/bird/d	113.8	114.0	112.2	113.3	0.944	0.447	0.877	0.525
5~8 weeks								
Egg production, %	80.0 ^a	76.4 ^{ab}	78.5 ^a	65.7 ^b	2.833	0.005	0.092	0.006
Egg weight, g/egg	61.9	61.0	60.5	60.4	0.848	0.192	0.827	0.604
Daily egg mass	49.5 ^a	46.7 ^{ab}	47.5 ^a	39.8 ^b	1.965	0.005	0.168	0.010
Feed intake, g/bird/d	102.2	94.4	102.7	91.8	3.400	0.125	0.779	0.078

243 ¹⁾ Control, a group received a diet containing 0.10% vitamin premix; T1, a group received a diet containing 0.075% vitamin premix; T2, a group received a diet
 244 containing 0.05% vitamin premix; T3, a group received a diet without any vitamin premix.

245 ²⁾ Data are presented as least square of mean of six replicates with six birds per replicate.

246 ^{a,b} Mean values with different superscripts within the same row differ significantly at $p < 0.05$.

247 **Table 4. Egg quality of laying hens subjected to diets containing varying levels of vitamin premix^{1,2)}**

	Dietary treatments				SEM	P values		
	Control	T1	T2	T3		Linear	Quadratic	ANOVA
2 weeks								
Eggshell strength, kg/cm ²	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.7	0.199	0.500	0.694	0.884
Eggshell thickness, mm	47.5	47.1	45.7	45.7	0.795	0.083	0.867	0.293
Haugh unit	88.7	86.4	85.3	86.7	0.669	0.294	0.378	0.564
Yolk color	7.8	7.2	7.3	7.2	0.160	0.014	0.197	0.052
4 weeks								
Eggshell strength, kg/cm ²	4.0 ^a	4.1 ^a	3.8 ^a	2.9 ^b	0.232	0.003	0.014	0.004
Eggshell thickness, mm	46.7 ^a	47.5 ^a	46.6 ^a	41.1 ^b	0.885	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Haugh unit	90.5	90.0	89.1	89.6	1.277	0.518	0.830	0.888
Yolk color	8.5	8.1	8.1	8.0	0.154	0.013	0.482	0.086
6 weeks								
Eggshell strength, kg/cm ²	3.9 ^a	4.0 ^a	3.9 ^a	2.8 ^b	0.258	0.010	0.026	0.010
Eggshell thickness, mm	45.5 ^a	46.7 ^a	46.1 ^a	41.3 ^b	0.787	0.003	<0.001	<0.001
Haugh unit	89.6	93.2	90.1	89.7	1.305	0.945	0.073	0.199
Yolk color	8.5	8.5	8.1	7.9	0.169	0.020	0.293	0.060

248 ¹⁾ Control, a group received a diet containing 0.10% vitamin premix; T1, a group received a diet containing 0.075% vitamin premix; T2, a group received a diet
 249 containing 0.05% vitamin premix; T3, a group received a diet without any vitamin premix.

250 ²⁾ Data are presented as least square of mean of six replicates with six birds per replicate.

251 ^{a,b} Mean values with different superscripts within the same row differ significantly at $p < 0.05$.

252 **Table 5. Blood profiles of laying hens subjected to diets with varying levels of vitamin premix^{1,2)}**

	Dietary treatments				SEM	P values		
	Control	T1	T2	T3		Linear	Quadratic	ANOVA
Albumin, <i>g/dL</i>	2.28	2.08	2.13	1.90	0.089	0.019	0.708	0.063
Globulin, <i>g/dL</i>	2.35	2.38	2.58	2.58	0.118	0.138	0.752	0.394
Total cholesterol, <i>mg/dL</i>	51.5	54.8	59.0	64.0	5.604	0.133	0.659	0.454
Triacylglycerol, <i>mg/dL</i>	467.0	531.8	532.5	453.3	55.594	0.958	0.228	0.646
GOT, <i>U/L</i>	221.8	205.3	207.8	213.5	18.330	0.708	0.578	0.922
GPT, <i>U/L</i>	5.08	5.23	5.20	5.10	0.120	0.787	0.334	0.781

253 ¹⁾Control, a group received a diet containing 0.10% vitamin premix; T1, a group received a diet containing 0.075% vitamin premix; T2, a group received a diet
 254 containing 0.05% vitamin premix; T3, a group received a diet without any vitamin premix.

255 ²⁾Data are presented as least square of mean of six birds per treatment.

256 **Table 6. Vitamin contents in eggs of laying hens subjected to diets containing varying levels of vitamin premix^{1),2)}**

	Dietary treatments				SEM	P values		
	Control	T1	T2	T3		Linear	Quadratic	ANOVA
α -tocopherol, mg/100g egg yolk	3.716 ^a	3.326 ^{ab}	2.922 ^{bc}	2.570 ^c	0.130	<0.001	0.292	<0.001
Riboflavin, mg/100g whole egg	0.156 ^a	0.137 ^{ab}	0.113 ^{ab}	0.100 ^b	0.012	0.003	0.685	0.021

257 ¹⁾ Control, a group received a diet containing 0.10% vitamin premix; T1, a group received a diet containing 0.075% vitamin premix; T2, a group received a diet
 258 containing 0.05% vitamin premix; T3, a group received a diet without any vitamin premix.

259 ²⁾ Data are presented as least square of mean of six eggs per treatment.

260 ^{a,b,c} Mean values with different superscripts within the same row differ significantly at $p < 0.05$.