JAST (Journal of Animal Science and Technology) TITLE PAGE

Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Title (within 20 words without abbreviations)	Effects of different inorganic:organic zinc ratios or combination of low crude protein diet and feed additives in weaned piglet diets
Running Title	Toward replacing high dose of ZnO in piglet diet
Author	Han Jin Oh[1,#], Myung Hoo Kim[2,#], Ji Hwan Lee[1,#], Yong Ju Kim[1], Jae Woo An[1], Se Yeon Chang[1], Young Bin Go[1], Dong Cheol Song[1], Hyun Ah Cho[1], Min Seok Jo[1], Dae Young Kim[1], Min Ji Kim[3], Hyeun Bum Kim[4,*] and Jin Ho Cho[1,*]
Affiliation	[1]Department of Animal Sciences, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Chungbuk, Republic of Korea 286-44 [2]Department of Animal Science, Pusan National University, Miryang 50463, Korea 341-34 [3]Animal Nutrition and Physiology Division, National Institute of Animal Science, Rural Development Administration, Wanjugun, Jeolabukdo, Republic of Korea 553-65 [4]Department of Animal Resource, and Science, Dankook University, Cheonan, Choongnam, Republic of Korea 311-16
ORCID (for more information, please visit https://orcid.org)	Han Jin Oh (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3396-483X) Myung Hoo Kim (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8444-6952) Ji Hwan Lee (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8161-4853) Yong Ju Kim (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0960-0884) Jae Woo An (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5351-6970) Se Yeon Chang (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5238-2982) Young Bin Go (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5351-6970) Dong Cheol Song (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5704-603X) Hyun Ah Cho (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3469-6715) Min Seok Jo (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6742-4316) Dae Young Kim (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0818-7415) Min Ji Kim (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2106-1921) Hyeun Bum Kim (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1366-6090) Jin Ho Cho (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7151-0778)
Competing interests	The authors declare no conflict of interest.
Funding sources State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available.	This work was carried out with the support of "Cooperative Research program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development (Project No. PJ01493602)" Rural Development Administration Republic of Korea.
Acknowledgements	This work was carried out with the support of "Cooperative Research program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development (Project No. PJ01493602)" Rural Development Administration Republic of Korea.
Availability of data and material	Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.
Authors' contributions Please specify the authors' role using this form.	Conceptualization: Han Jin Oh, Myung Hoo Kim, Hyeun Bum Kim, Jin Ho Cho. Data curation: Han Jin Oh, Ji Hwan Lee, Yong Ju Kim Formal analysis: Han Jin Oh, Ji Hwan Lee, Yong Ju Kim Investigation: Jae Woo An, Se Yeon Chang, Young Bin Go, Dong Cheol Song, Hyun Ah Cho, Min Seok Jo, Dae Young Kim, Min Ji Kim Writing - original draft: Han Jin Oh, Myung Hoo Kim Ji Hwan Lee Writing - review & editing: Jin Ho Cho, Hyeun Bum Kim.
Ethics approval and consent to participate	The experimental protocol for this study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Korea (approval #CBNUA-1530-21-01)

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in information in each box below
First name, middle initial, last name	Hyeun Bum Kim[1], Jin Ho Cho[2]
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	hbkim@dankook.ac.kr, jinhcho@chungbuk.ac.kr
Secondary Email address	
Address	[1]Department of Animal Resource, and Science, Dankook University, Cheonan, Choongnam, Republic of Korea 311-16 [2]Division of food and animal science, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Chungbuk, 286-44, Republic of Korea
Cell phone number	
Office phone number	+82-41-550-3652 / +82-043-261-2544
Fax number	

5
6

ABSTRACT

Thirty-six weaned piglets with an initial body weight of 8.43±0.40kg (28 days of age, LYD) were randomly assigned to 6 treatments for a 2-week feeding trial to determine the effects of different inorganic (IZ), organic zinc (OZ) or combination of low crude protein diet (LP) and feed additives (MFA) on diarrhea score, nutrient digestibility, zinc utilization, blood profiles, organ weight, and fecal microflora in weaned piglet diet. The pigs were individually placed in 45×55×45 cm stainless steel metabolism cages in an environmentally controlled room (30±1℃). The dietary treatments included a negative control (NC), positive control (PC; Zinc Oxide, 1,000 mg/kg), T1 (IZ:OZ, 850:150), T2 (IZ:OZ 700:300), T3 (IZ:OZ, 500:500), and T4 (LP + MFA [0.1% Essential oils + 0.08% protease + 0.02% Xylanase]). The daily feed allowance was adjusted to 2.7 times the maintenance requirement for digestible energy (2.7 × 110 kcal of DE / kg $BW^{0.75}$). This allowance was divided into two equal parts, and the piglets were fed at 08:30 and 17:30 each day. Water was provided *ad libitum* through a drinking nipple. The diarrhea score was significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$) in NC treatment compared with other treatments. The apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of dry matter (DM), nitrogen (N), and gross energy (GE) was significantly increased ($p < 0.05$) in the T2 treatment compared with the PC and NC treatments at one week. At two weeks, the ATTD of DM, N, and GE was significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$) in the NC treatment compared with other treatments. The T3 treatment had significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) ATTD and apparent ileal digestibility of zinc than the PC and T1 treatments. The *E.coli* concentration in feces was significantly decreased in the T4 treatment compared with the NC and T2 treatments. The *Lactobacillus* concentration in feces was significantly increased in the T4 and T1 treatment compared with the T2 and T3 treatments. In conclusion, IZ:OZ 500:500 levels could improve nutrient digestibility and zinc utilization in weaned piglets, Moreover, MFA in LP diets could be used as a zinc alternative.

Key words: Zinc oxide, alternatives, diarrhea score, zinc excretion, nutrient digestibility

INTRODUCTION

Piglets frequently experience diarrhea due to various factors such as isolation from sows, dietary changes, the mixing of pigs from different pens, adaptation to a new environment, or intestinal morphologic changes after weaning [1]. Due to these stress factors, the proliferation of *Escherichia coli* in the intestine of weaned pigs is promoted through undigested proteins. The intestinal barrier is damaged by toxins from enterotoxigenic *E.coli*, causing post-weaning diarrhea (PWD) [2]. The pharmacological supplementation of weaned diet with high-dose zinc oxide (ZnO) can prevent PWD and promotes growth performance in the weaning period [1, 2]. However, it has recently been restricted in many countries including the European Union (EU) due to soil heavy metalization, accumulation in livestock products, and increased antimicrobial resistance [3]. The EU limits ZnO in weaned piglet diets to 150 mg/kg, and China limits it to 1,600 mg/kg [4]. In South Korea, the Zn content in compost is limited to 1,200 mg/kg, and a penalty is imposed on swine farms if this limit is exceeded. The pharmacological level of ZnO has been allowed to be added to piglet diets for two weeks after weaning in many countries to control PWD at this time [3, 4]. For this reason, studies on Zn dose control or elimination of dietary ZnO are being conducted to replace high-dose ZnO in the diet of weaned piglets during 2 weeks of post-weaning.

Studies on the effects of ZnO supplementation at doses lower than 2,500 mg/kg are limited and have shown distinctly different results [5, 6]. Piglet nutrition, breeding, management, and genetics have seen tremendous growth over the period since studies suggested that 2,500 mg/kg of dietary ZnO could control PWD and improve growth performance during 2 weeks of post-weaning [3, 7]. However, as described above, it is essential to investigate the effect of low-dose of dietary Zn than pharmacological dose of Zn on incidence of diarrhea and Zn excretion in weaned piglet diets. In our previous study, we conducted to evaluate the alternative forms of Zn, such as nano-particle size and Zn chelated with glycine, with lower level to replace high dose of inorganic Zn (IZ) in weaned piglet diets [8]. In our experiment, organic Zn (OZ) showed higher utilization than other forms of Zn such as inorganic Zn (IZ) and nanoparticle-sized Zn [8]. Many researchers reported that chelating Zn with an amino acid prevented precipitation and had high bioavailability through peptide or amino acid transport systems in the small intestine [9, 10]. OZ has greater stability than $ZnSO_4$ or ZnO [11], so has been suggested as an alternative to IZ.

In the search for replacing the pharmacological supplementation of ZnO, low-protein diets, essential oils, and enzymes are currently in the spotlight. The National Research Council (NRC) recommends 20 – 23% crude protein (CP) levels in weaned diets [12]. However, 3 – 4 week-old pigs cannot produce enough endogenous enzymes to digest that amount of protein, so some of the undigested protein reaches the large intestine, which can lead to PWD by proteolytic bacteria [13]. Furthermore, many researchers have reported that reducing CP levels in the weaned diet reduced the incidence of diarrhea [14, 15]. Essential oils (EOs) have powerful antimicrobial and immune-enhancing effects, improving growth performance and nutrient digestibility, intestinal morphology, and reducing PWD in weaned piglets [16]. Exogenous protease increased nutrient digestibility, particularly protein and amino acids, and increased digestive enzyme activity and growth performance in weaned pigs [17, 18]. Enzymes including protease and xylanase have various properties such as improving intestinal health and the immune system and growth performance [19]. In particular, it was reported to show benefits in improving gut health by inhibiting the growth of pathogenic microorganisms in the intestine [19].

Therefore, we hypothesized that different ratios of IZ and OZ at 1000 mg/kg or a low-protein diet with commercial feed additives containing either essential oils, protease and xylanase (MFA) could replace high-dose ZnO by preventing diarrhea and improving nutrient digestibility and gut health. Thus, we conducted this study to evaluate (1) the effects of different inorganic:organic Zn (IZ:OZ) ratios on diarrhea scores, nutrient digestibility, Zn utilization, blood profiles, organ weight, and fecal microflora toward replacing high-dose Zn oxide in weaned piglet diets and (2) whether 10% reduced protein diet with essential oils, protease, and xylanase could replace high-dose Zn oxide by showing similar effects.

Materials and methods

The experimental protocol for this study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Korea (approval #CBNUA-1530-21-01).

The organic Zn was chelated with glycine (containing 27 % of Zn) from Dr.Eckel Animal Nutrition GmbH & Co. KG (Anta®min; Niederzissen, Germany). The essential oils

(Avi®power, containing thymol 1.4 % and carvacrol 1.4 %; VetAgro SpA, Reggio Emilia. Italy), xylanase (Signis®, AB Vista, Marlborough, United Kingdom), and protease (PT125TM, an alkaline serine endopeptidase produced by *Streptomyces* spp.; Eugene-Bio, Suwon, Korea) were mixed feed additives supported by a Eugene-Bio.

Animals, Facilities and Dietary treatments

A total of 36 weaned piglets (Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire; 28 day of old) were allotted to a completely randomized block design. The pigs (average initial body weight of 8.43 ± 0.40 kg) were individually placed in 45 cm × 55 cm × 45 cm stainless steel metabolism cages in an environmentally controlled room (30 ± 1 °C). There were one pig treatment in a cage and six replicate cage per treatments. The dietary treatments consisted of NC (negative control; no additional added ZnO in diet), PC (positive control; NC + 1,000 mg/kg ZnO), T1 (NC + IZ:OZ 850:150 mg/kg), and T2 (NC + IZ:OZ 700:300 mg/kg), T3 (IZ:OZ 500:500 mg/kg), and T4 (10% reduced protein diet [LP] + mixed additives [0.1% essential oil + 0.08% protease + 0.02% xylanase, MFA]). All diets were formulated to meet or exceed the NRC (Table 1). The daily feed allowance was adjusted to 2.7 times the maintenance requirement for digestible energy (DE; 2.7 × 110 kcal of DE/kg $BW^{0.75}$). This allowance was divided into two equal parts, and the piglets were fed at 08:30 h and 17:30 h each day. The diets were mixed with water in a 1:1 ratio (Wt/Wt) before feeding. Water was provided *ad libitum* through a drinking nipple. We individually weighed the pigs at the beginning of each period and recorded the amount of feed supplied and any residual feed quantity for each period. The subjective diarrhea scores were individually recorded at 09:00 h and 18:00 h from the same pigs on days 0 to 14 post weaning. The diarrhea score was assigned as follows: 0, diarrhea; 1, sloppy feces; 2 normal feces; and 3, well-formed feces. Scores were calculated as the average diarrhea score for each period (0 to 7 days; 7 to 14 days; overall period, 0 to 14 days) per group by summing the average daily diarrhea scores of each pig. The first experimental period consisted of a 4-day adaptation period, followed by a 3-day collection period to collect feces. The feed was the same during the second experimental period as that in the first experimental period. We set a 4-day feces collection period and alternated the feeding time between the day of slaughter and the previous 2 days so that pigs could be slaughtered within the designated time. The entire liver and spleen were weighed. The fecal collected by total

collection method. The intestinal tract was incised along the abdominal gland to remove 20 cm from the end of the ileum. Then the contents were frozen in a plastic bag. The ileal digesta was freeze dried. Samples were finely crushed and stored at -20° C to measure Zn content. Feces were immediately collected as they appeared in the metabolism cages. They were stored in a freezer at -20° C until analyzed. Fecal samples were dried at 70° C for 72 hours in a forced-air oven and ground through a 1-mm screen. They were thoroughly mixed before a subsample was collected for chemical analysis.

Chemical analysis for diet and feces

Diets and feces were analyzed for dry matter (DM), nitrogen (N), and gross energy (GE) using AOAC methods (2007). For N of the diets and feces, we added 10 % concentrated sulfuric acid for nitrogen fixation. We analyzed the GE of the diets and feces using an adiabatic oxygen bomb calorimeter (Parr Instruments, Moline, IL, USA). Diets, feces, and ileal digesta samples were wet digested using nitric-perchloric acid and then diluted with deionized distilled water for mineral analysis. The concentration of Zn was analyzed using UV absorption spectrophotometry (UV-1201; Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). We calculated the apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of DM, N, GE, and Zn, as well as the average daily mineral intake, using the following equations: ATTD lrb%) = ([DI×NID - OF×NIF]/[DI×NID]) ×100; Average daily mineral intake = ADFI × MD; DI is the DM intake (g), NID is the nutrient content (DM, N, GE, and Zn) of diet on a DM basis; OF is the output of feces (g); and NIF is the nutrient content of the feces on a DM basis. MD is the Zn content in the diet.

For the blood profiles, all pigs were sampled via an anterior vena cava puncture before the slaughter. Blood samples were collected into both nonheparinized tubes and vacuum tubes containing K_3EDTA (Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) to obtain serum and whole blood. After collection, serum samples were centrifuged (3,000 g) for 20 min at 4° C. The red blood cells (RBC), white blood cells (WBC), lymphocyte, monocyte, eosinophil, basophil, glucose, cholesterol and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels in the whole blood were determined by using an automatic blood analyzer (ADVIA 120, Bayer, Tarrytown, NY, USA). The immunoglobulin G (IgG) and immunoglobulin M (IgM) were determined by using commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA, Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX, USA) kits. The Zn concentration of blood was determined according to the

159 method described by Hill *et al*. [6]. The blood samples were diluted 1:7 with deionized water,
160 and Zn concentration were determined by flame absorption spectrophotometry (Smith-Hieftje
161 4000, Thermo Jarrell Ash Corp., Franklin, MA)

162 **Procedures of microbial shedding**

163 Fecal samples were collected directly via massaging the rectum of all pigs in each treatment.
164 They were then pooled and placed on ice for transportation to the lab. One gram of the
165 composite fecal sample from each treatment was diluted in 9 mL of 1% peptone broth
166 (Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and then homogenized. Viable
167 bacteria in the fecal samples were then counted by placing serial 10-fold dilutions (in 1%
168 peptone solution) onto MacConkey agar plates (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) and
169 *lactobacilli* medium III agar plates (Medium 638, DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany) to isolate
170 the *Escherichia coli* and *Lactobacillus*. The *lactobacilli* medium III agar plates were then
171 incubated for 48 hours at 39° C under anaerobic conditions. The MacConkey agar plates were
172 incubated for 24 hours at 37° C. The *E. coli* and *Lactobacillus* colonies were counted
173 immediately after removal from the incubator.

174 **Statistical analysis**

175 Data of growth performance, nutrient digestibility, Zn excretion, ATTD of Zn, AID of Zn,
176 blood profiles, and organ weight were statistically analysed as a randomized complete block
177 design using general linear models procedure of SAS (Statistical Analysis System 9.1, SAS
178 Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The diarrhea score and fecal microflora were compared with a
179 chi-squared test, using the FREQ procedure of SAS. The individual pig was used as the
180 experimental unit. Orthogonal contrasts were used to compare the possible relationship about
181 the effect of treatments: NC vs. other treatments; PC vs. T1, T2, T3; T4 vs. T1, T2, T3.
182 Variability in the data was expressed as the pooled standard error, and $p < 0.05$ was
183 considered statistically significant.

184

185 **RESULTS**

186 **Diarrhea score**

187 At 8 to 14 days, pigs fed the NC diet had higher ($p < 0.05$; contrast $p < 0.01$) diarrhea score
188 than pigs fed the T1 and T3 diets (Table 2).

Nutrient digestibility and zinc utilization

The ATTD of DM, N, and GE were significantly ($p < 0.001$; contrast $p < 0.05$) decreased in the NC treatment compared with other treatments in weeks 1 and 2 (Table 3). In week 1, pigs fed the T2 diet had higher ($p < 0.05$) the ATTD of DM, N, and GE than the pigs fed the PC diet. The N intake and excretion were significantly decreased ($p < 0.001$; contrast $p < 0.05$) in the T4 treatment compared with other treatments in weeks 1 and 2. Pigs fed with the PC and T1 diets had significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) Zn intake than did pigs fed with the T2 and T3 diets in weeks 1 and 2. Pig fed with the T1, T2 and T3 diets had significantly lower ($p < 0.05$; contrast $p < 0.05$) Zn excretion in feces and higher the ATTD of Zn than pigs fed the PC treatment in week 1. Pigs fed with the T2 and T3 diets had significantly lower ($p < 0.05$; contrast $p < 0.05$) Zn excretion in feces compared with pigs fed with the PC and T1 diets in week 2. The ATTD of Zn was significantly increased ($p < 0.05$; contrast $p < 0.05$) in the T3 treatment compared with the PC treatment in the same period. The AID of Zn was significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$; contrast $p < 0.05$) in the PC treatment compared with the T1, T2 and T3 treatments, moreover, pigs fed with the T3 diet had significantly higher ($p < 0.05$; contrast $p < 0.05$) AID of Zn than pigs fed with the T1 diet.

Blood profiles

There was a high tendency for the blood concentration of lymphocyte in the T4 treatment compared with the NC, PC, T1 and T2 treatments (Table 4). The blood concentration of BUN was significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$; contrast $p < 0.05$) in the T4 treatment compared with the NC, PC, and T1 treatments (Table 5).

Organ weight

No significant differences were observed in the liver and spleen weight (Table 5).

Fecal microflora

The *E.coli* concentration in feces was significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$; contrast $p < 0.05$) in the T4 treatment compared with the NC and T2 treatments (Table 6). The *Lactobacillus* concentration in feces was significantly increased ($p < 0.05$; contrast $p < 0.05$) in the T4 and T1 treatments compared with the T2 and T3 treatments.

DISCUSSION

Zn is an essential mineral that has various enzymatic and co-enzymatic roles. It improves immunity and the composition of the body structure. It helps in developing the gastrointestinal tract, preventing diarrhea, and affecting the growth of pigs [10]. The Zn content in feedstuff is insufficient for pigs, and Zn is mainly added in an inorganic form, such as ZnO or $ZnSO_4$ [20]. The ZnO form has low reactivity and bioavailability, and the $ZnSO_4$ form is hygroscopic and reacts with rapid ions to form free radicals to accelerate the breakdown of fatty acids, vitamins, and other nutrients in the feed [21]. In addition, to prevent diarrhea in the weaning phase, Zn that cannot be absorbed by adding 2,000 to 3,000 mg/kg of ZnO to the weaning diets, is discharged in the feces, which is a major environmental problem [22]. The hypothesis of the present experiment was that there would be an additive effect of replacing inorganic Zn with organic Zn and LP diet with MFA, leading to reduced diarrhea, and improved nutrient digestibility, Zn utilization, and blood profiles. This would result in positive effects similar to pharmacologic levels of ZnO.

In the present study, IZ:OZ at ratios of 850:150 mg/kg and 500:500 mg/kg (T1, T3) decreased diarrhea scores, which means it reduced diarrhea compared to non- Zn diets (NC) but had no significant difference compared to 1,000 mg/kg ZnO (PC) at 8 to 14 days. Also, the diarrhea scores of pigs in the low-protein diet with MFA were similar to those of pigs treated with Zn. The supplementation of ZnO has usually led to better fecal scores and lower incidence of PWD and mortality [23]. Effective Zn sources can also be organic Zn forms [24]. Different Zn forms like Zn-methionine or Zn-lysine can increase Zn concentrations in the plasma more than ZnO or other inorganic Zn forms [25]. Reductions in diarrhea with increasing organic Zn levels can be explained by the increased bioavailability of organic Zn compared to inorganic Zn in the intestine. EOs have gained attention as ZnO alternatives for reducing PWD in animal diets [26]. They demonstrated many properties such as strong antimicrobial, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory activity [16]. *E. coli*, known as the main etiological agent of PWD, proved to be susceptible to several EOs, including cinnamon, clove, and thyme oils, thereby leading to reduced fecal scores and incidence of diarrhea [27]. Also, supplementation with dietary enzymes including protease and xylanase could reduce diarrhea in pigs. These beneficial effects were attributed to the development of the digestive tract, an increase in enzymatic activity in the digestive system, and improvement in nutrient

digestibility derived from the enzymes [28, 29]. The decrease in diarrhea from the addition of enzymes and EOs can be explained by the abovementioned mechanism.

In nutrient digestibility, pigs fed diets with different IZ:OZ ratios (PC, T1~T3) or LP diet with MFA had a higher ATTD of DM, N, and GE compared to the one and two-week NC treatments. These results were consistent with the results of Lei and Kim [30] who reported that the addition of Zn to the diet increased DM and N digestibility. Hu et al. [31] reported that dietary supplementation with ZnO could improve the activation of the digestive enzymes in the small intestine and pancreatic tissue, thereby improving the digestibility of nutrients. Other studies have reported that small intestine morphology was improved from pharmacological supplementation with ZnO [32]. Schlegel et al. [33] reported that the bioavailability of organic and inorganic Zn forms ranged from 85 to 117%. Unlike our hypothesis that improvements would be seen from increasing organic Zn ratios, the replacement of inorganic Zn with organic Zn did not make a dramatic difference among the treatments except for NC, but the IZ:OZ ratios of 500:500 mg/kg showed high digestibility. However, there was no significant difference in nutrient digestibility among the different dietary Zn levels [34]. This may have been due to the dosage or type of Zn. Additionally, environmental conditions, dietary ingredients, phosphorous levels, and nutritional composition may have caused these results. The LP diet with enzymes and EOs contributed to improving nutrient digestibility similar to the Zn treatments. At weaning, the high buffering capacity of hard diets and the low HCl production in the piglet stomach cause LP digestion [35]. The use of LP in this study led to improved digestibility due to the abovementioned mechanisms. Additionally, these improvements were attributed to feed additives like enzymes and EOs. Previously published studies reported the improved digestibility of energy and nutrients by supplementation with EOs [36, 37, 38]. Although studies on how EOs affect digestibility are handicapped by the complexity of EOs, we confirmed the results of the studies by Platel and Srinivasan [39], Zhai et al. [40]. They reported that these improvements could be explained by the enhanced secretion of bile and enzymes and altered gut peristalsis. The use of xylanase and protease in the swine diet improved nutrient digestibility [17].

Many researchers reported that the bioavailability of Zn was increased by organic Zn compared to the inorganic form of Zn sulfate owing to the amino acid or the peptide transport systems [41, 42]. These results were also observed in our study. We found that the ATTD and AID of Zn gradually increased as the ratio of organic Zn in the diets increased. The reasons

for the improvements in Zn digestibility were considered to result from reduced fecal excretion and improved efficiency of the organic form. It was possible to confirm the effect of reducing diarrhea incidience, improving nutrient digestibility and Zn utilization when feeding OZ in a certain ratio rather than adding inorganic Zn alone. Also, piglets fed LP diet with MFA had higher ATTD and AID of Zn than piglets fed the NC diet. Diet acidification with formic, benzoic butyric, lactic, fumaric, and citric acids increased the ATTD of minerals with Ca and P in pigs [43]. Interestingly, dietary citric acid improved P utilization in growing pigs [22], and 1.5% citric acid improved the availability of other minerals in young pigs [44]. Sauer et al. [45] reported that the digestibility of minerals increased as benzoic acid levels in the diet increased. According to a recent study, the actions and mechanisms of EOs overlapped with those of benzoic acid, and some benzoic acid could be spared by the addition of EOs. Additionally, EOs increased the utilization rate of Zn and reduced the discharge of Zn [46].

Zn plays a critical role in the immune system of the host, and it affects various immune responses in different parts of the body, from innate immune functions to the skin barrier [47, 48]. Sun et al. [49] reported that when 400 – 600 mg/kg of nano-ZnO was supplied, IgM and IgG levels were increased. However, Ma et al. [50] showed that dietary supplementation with $ZnSO_4$, chitosan+$ZnSO_4$, and Zn chitosan chelate did not affect serum IgG levels in weaned piglets. Also, IgG levels remained unaffected by Zn-ASP supplementation to growing pigs [51]. Previous studies indicated that plasma Zn concentrations increased linearly with supplemental Zn [52]. Our results showed that there was no significant difference in blood profiles except for lymphocytes and BUN among the treatments. This discrepancy may have been due to the dosage or type of Zn, nutritional composition, or experimental period. BUN can be used to determine protein digestibility and be a parameter of protein utilization [53]. In the current study, BUN was decreased in the non- Zn pigs receiving a low CP diet with MFA, consistent with previous studies reporting that decreased protein levels resulted in lower BUN levels [14, 54, 55]. The lower BUN levels indicated improved protein utilization. The organ weight of pigs is used as an indicator to determine good health, disease-free status, and a resting state [56]. In the present study, there was no significant difference between the treatments. These results may have been because the dosage of Zn and the multiple feed additives was a safe dose for organ development.

Many researchers have shown that the addition of dietary ZnO improved the microbial composition in the intestine, thereby reducing pathogenic microorganisms and increasing

beneficial bacteria [57, 58] However, similar results were not seen in our study. In the present study, the effect of Zn treatment was not different compared to the NC treatment. These results are consistent with the results of Li et al. [59] who reported that ZnO did not affect the *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Lactobacilli*, and *Clostridia* counts in the ileal digesta and feces in piglets. Additionally, supplementation with Zn, regardless of the form, had no effect on coliform bacteria and lactic acid bacteria counts in the small intestine or cecum [30]. The inconsistent intestinal microflora results may have been due to several reasons. First of all, the doses, forms, and duration of ZnO supplementation may have caused these differences. Also, different sampling areas in the intestine or feces and different analysis methods could have led to the differences and changes in the microbial communities [60, 61]. Interestingly, the LP diet with MFA resulted in increases in *Lactobacillus* and decreases in *E.coli* counts in the feces compared to the NC and Zn treatments. These improvements in intestinal bacterial composition could have been caused by several factors. The high-protein diets caused a higher acid-binding environment and increased the pH of the gastrointestinal tract to nearly neutral conditions, which provided a favorable environment for the proliferation of pathogenic bacteria, whereas the LP diet alleviated the negative effects of high protein and significantly lowered the number of *E.coli* in the ileum and colon [62]. EOs have strong antimicrobial action against pathogenic bacteria while not harming beneficial bacteria such as *bifidobacteria* and *lactobacilli*. Moreover, the increased number of *lactobacilli* and reductions in *E. coli* in the intestinal microbiota resulted in a decreased incidence of diarrhea in piglets [37]. In the present study, lower diarrhea in the T4 group was caused by the abovementioned mechanism. The *E. coli* and total anaerobe counts in the rectum were significantly reduced ($p < 0.05$) in pigs fed EOs, whereas the number of lactobacilli was slightly increased in the colon and rectum of pigs fed EOs. The effect of enzymes on the intestinal microbiota is related to changes in the physicochemical properties of the substrate in the intestine and the release of prebiotics and bioactive compounds [63]. Commercial xylanase may also contain feruloyl esterase produced by the microorganisms producing xylanase [64] that release phenolic compounds cross-linked to xylan [65, 66]. Studies have shown that phenolic compounds could modulate the intestinal microbiota by reducing ETEC K88 and F18+ growth in porcine feces [67]. Kim et al. [68] reported that the addition of multiple enzymes including xylanase, amylase, β-mannanase, protease, and phytase increased the *Lactobacillus* spp. count and decreased *E. coli* and *Clostridium* spp. counts in the digesta of the ileum and cecum.

CONCLUSION

Pigs in the LP+MFA group showed similar post-weaning diarrhea, ATTD of nutrients, and fecal microbiota as organic and inorganic Zn-supplemented treatments. During 1 week of post-weaning, 700:300 mg/kg of inorganic:organic Zn ratio could improve nutrient digestibility, and zinc utilization compared with 1,000 mg/kg ZnO. Likewise, in overall periods, a 500:500 mg/kg inorganic:organic Zn ratio showed improvements in ATTD/AID of Zn, and reductions in Zn excretion compared to 1,000 mg/kg ZnO. By partially replacing inorganic Zn with organic Zn, it showed the possibility of being presented as an alternative to high dose of ZnO in weaned piglet diets. In conclusion, reducing protein with essential oils, protease, and xylanase and a 700:300 or 500:500 mg/kg inorganic and organic Zn ratio were reduce Zn excretion and effective alternatives of high-dose of ZnO in weaned piglet diets.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was carried out with the support of "Cooperative Research program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development (Project No. PJ01493602)" Rural Development Administration Republic of Korea.

REFERENCE

1. Fairbrother JM, Nadeau É, Gyles, C. L. Escherichia coli in postweaning diarrhea in pigs: an update on bacterial types, pathogenesis, and prevention strategies. Animal health research reviews. 2005; 6: 17-39.

2. Lallès JP, Bosi P, Smidt H, Stokes CR. Weaning—a challenge to gut physiologists. Livestock Science. 2007;108: 82-93.

3. Satessa GD, Kjeldsen NJ, Mansouryar M, Hansen HH, Bache JK, Nielsen MO. Effects of alternative feed additives to medicinal zinc oxide on productivity, diarrhoea incidence and gut development in weaned piglets. Animal. 2020;14: 1638-1646.

4. Wei X, Tsai T, Howe S, Zhao J. Weaning Induced Gut Dysfunction and Nutritional Interventions in Nursery Pigs: A Partial Review. Animals. 2021; 11: 1279.

5. Mavromichalis I, Webel DM, Parr EN, Baker DH. Growth-promoting efficacy of pharmacological doses of tetrabasic zinc chloride in diets for nursery pigs. Canadian journal of animal science. 2001;81: 387-391.

6. Hill GM, Mahan DC, Carter SD, Cromwell GL, Ewan RC, Harrold RL, Lewis AJ, Miller PS, Shurson GC, Veum TL. Effect of pharmacological concentrations of zinc oxide with or without the inclusion of an antibacterial agent on nursery pig performance. Journal of animal science. 2001;79: 934-941.

7. Poulsen HD. Zinc and copper as feed additives, growth factors or unwanted environmental factors. Journal of Animal Feed Science. 1998;7: 135-142.

8. Oh HJ, Park YJ, Cho JH, Song MH, Gu BH, Yun W, Lee JH, An JS, Kim YJ, Lee JS, Kim S, Kim H, Kim ES, Lee BK, Kim BW, Kim HB, Cho JH, Kim MH. Changes in Diarrhea Score, Nutrient Digestibility, Zinc Utilization, Intestinal Immune Profiles, and Fecal Microbiome in Weaned Piglets by Different Forms of Zinc. Animals. 2021;11: 1356.

9. Ward TL, Asche GL, Louis GF, Pollmann DS. Zinc-methionine improves growth performance of starter pigs. Journal of Animal science. 1996;74: 182.

10. Case CL, Carlson MS. Effect of feeding organic and inorganic sources of additional zinc on growth performance and zinc balance in nursery pigs. Journal of animal science. 2002;80: 1917-1924.

11. Schlegel P, Nys Y, Jondreville C. Zinc availability and digestive zinc solubility in piglets and broilers fed diets varying in their phytate contents, phytase activity and supplemented zinc source. Animal. 2010;4: 200-209.

12. National Research Council. Nutrient requirements of swine, 11th ed.; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA; 2012.

13. O'Doherty JV, Bouwhuis MA, Sweeney T. Novel marine polysaccharides and maternal nutrition to stimulate gut health and performance in post-weaned pigs. Animal production science. 2017;57: 2376-2385.

14. Heo JM, Kim JC, Hansen CF, Mullan BP, Hampson DJ, Pluske JR. Effects of feeding low protein diets to piglets on plasma urea nitrogen, faecal ammonia nitrogen, the incidence of diarrhoea and performance after weaning. Archives of Animal Nutrition. 2008;62: 343-358.

15. Heo JM, Kim JC, Hansen CF, Mullan BP, Hampson DJ, Pluske JR. Feeding a diet with decreased protein content reduces indices of protein fermentation and the incidence of postweaning diarrhea in weaned pigs challenged with an enterotoxigenic strain of Escherichia coli. Journal of animal science. 2009;87: 2833-2843.

16. Rossi B, Toschi A, Piva A, Grilli E. Single components of botanicals and nature-identical compounds as a non-antibiotic strategy to ameliorate health status and improve performance in poultry and pigs. Nutrition research reviews. 2020;33: 218-234.

17. Zuo J, Ling B, Long L, Li T, Lahaye L, Yang C, Feng D. Effect of dietary supplementation with protease on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, intestinal morphology, digestive enzymes and gene expression of weaned piglets. Animal Nutrition. 2015;1: 276-282.

18. Kim YJ, Lee JH, Kim TH, Song MH, Yun W, Oh HJ, Lee JS, Kim HB, Cho JH. Effect of low protein diets added with protease on growth performance, nutrient digestibility

of weaned piglets and growing-finishing pigs. Journal of Animal Science and Technology. 2021;63: 491-500.

19. He X, Yu B, He J, Huang Z, Mao X, Zheng P, Luo Y, Luo J, Wang Q, Wang H, Yu J, Chen D. Effects of xylanase on growth performance, nutrients digestibility and intestinal health in weaned piglets. Livestock Science. 2020;233: 103940.

20. Holodova M, Cobanova K, Sefcikova Z, Barszcz M, Tuśnio A, Taciak M, Gresakova L. Dietary Zinc and Fibre Source can Influence the Mineral and Antioxidant Status of Piglets. Animals. 2019;9: 497.

21. Zhang B, Guo Y. Beneficial effects of tetrabasic zinc chloride for weanling piglets and the bioavailability of zinc in tetrabasic form relative to ZnO. Animal feed science and technology. 2007;135: 75-85.

22. Han YM, Roneker KR, Pond WG, Lei XG. Adding wheat middlings, microbial phytase, and citric acid to corn-soybean meal diets for growing pigs may replace inorganic phosphorus supplementation. Journal of animal science. 1998;76: 2649-2656.

23. Johansen M, Jørgensen L, Schultz MS. Effect of zinc and organic acids on diarrhoea in the weaner period. SEGES: Copenhagen, Denmark. 2007.

24. Nitrayova S, Windisch W, Von Heimendahl E, Müller A, Bartelt J. Bioavailability of zinc from different sources in pigs. Journal of animal science. 2012;90: 185-187.

25. Zhang Y, Ward TL, Ji F, Peng C, Zhu L, Gong L, Dong B. Effects of zinc sources and levels of zinc amino acid complex on growth performance, hematological and biochemical parameters in weanling pigs. Asian-Australasian journal of animal sciences. 2018;31: 1267.

26. Bonetti A, Tugnoli B, Piva A, Grilli E. Towards zero zinc oxide: Feeding strategies to manage post-weaning diarrhea in piglets. Animals. 2021;11: 642.

27. Omonijo FA, Ni L, Gong J, Wang Q, Lahaye L, Yang C. Essential oils as alternatives to antibiotics in swine production. Animal Nutrition. 2018;4: 126-136.

28. Heo JM, Opapeju FO, Pluske JR, Kim JC, Hampson DJ, Nyachoti CM. Gastrointestinal health and function in weaned pigs: a review of feeding strategies to control post-weaning diarrhoea without using in-feed antimicrobial compounds. Journal of animal physiology and animal nutrition. 2013;97: 207-237.

29. Park S, Lee JJ, Yang BM, Cho JH, Kim S, Kang J, Oh SJ, Park DJ, Perez-Maldonado R, Cho JY, Park IH, Kim HB, Song, M. Dietary protease improves growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and intestinal morphology of weaned pigs. Journal of animal science and technology. 2020;62: 21.

30. Lei XJ, Kim IH. Low dose of coated zinc oxide is as effective as pharmacological zinc oxide in promoting growth performance, reducing fecal scores, and improving nutrient digestibility and intestinal morphology in weaned pigs. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2018;245: 117-125.

31. Hu CH, Gu LY, Luan ZS, Song J, Zhu K. Effects of montmorillonite–zinc oxide hybrid on performance, diarrhea, intestinal permeability and morphology of weanling pigs. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2012;177: 108-115.

32. Furbeyre H, van Milgen J, Mener T, Gloaguen M, Labussière E. Effects of dietary supplementation with freshwater microalgae on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and gut health in weaned piglets. Animal. 2017;11: 183-192.

33. Schlegel P, Sauvant D, Jondreville C. Bioavailability of zinc sources and their interaction with phytates in broilers and piglets. Animal. 2013;7: 47-59.

34. Wang H, Kim KP, Kim IH. Evaluation of the combined effects of different dose levels of Zinc oxide with probiotics complex supplementation on the growth performance, nutrient digestibility, faecal microbiota, noxious gas emissions and faecal score of weaning pigs. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition. 2021;105: 286-293.

35. Halas D, Heo JM, Hansen CF, Kim JC, Hampson DJ, Mullan BP, Pluske JR. Organic acids, prebiotics and protein level as dietary tools to control the weaning transition and reduce post-weaning diarrhoea in piglets. CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources. 2007;2.

36. Yan L, Wang JP, Kim HJ, Meng QW, Ao X, Hong SM, Kim IH. Influence of essential oil supplementation and diets with different nutrient densities on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood characteristics, meat quality and fecal noxious gas content in grower–finisher pigs. Livestock Science. 2010;128: 115-122.

37. Li P, Piao X, Ru Y, Han X, Xue L, Zhang H. Effects of adding essential oil to the diet of weaned pigs on performance, nutrient utilization, immune response and intestinal health. Asian-Australasian journal of animal sciences. 2012;25: 1617.

38. Zeng Z, Xu X, Zhang Q, Li P, Zhao P, Li Q, Liu J, Piao X. Effects of essential oil supplementation of a low-energy diet on performance, intestinal morphology and microflora, immune properties and antioxidant activities in weaned pigs. Animal Science Journal. 2015;86: 279-285.

39. Platel K, Srinivasan K. Digestive stimulant action of spices: a myth or reality?. Indian Journal of Medical Research. 2004;119: 167.

40. Zhai H, Liu H, Wang S, Wu J, Kluenter AM. Potential of essential oils for poultry and pigs. Animal Nutrition. 2018;4: 179-186.

41. Star L, Van der Klis JD, Rapp C, Ward TL. Bioavailability of organic and inorganic zinc sources in male broilers. Poultry Science. 2012;91: 3115-3120.

42. Li MZ, Huang JT, Tsai YH, Mao SY, Fu CM, Lien TF. Nanosize of zinc oxide and the effects on zinc digestibility, growth performances, immune response and serum parameters of weanling piglets. Animal Science Journal. 2016;87: 1379-1385.

43. Jongbloed AW, Mroz Z, Van der Weij-Jongbloed R, Kemme PA. The effects of microbial phytase, organic acids and their interaction in diets for growing pigs. Livestock Production Science. 2000;67: 113-122.

44. Höhler D, Pallauf J. Untersuchungen zum Einfluß von Citronensäure auf die Mineralstoffverwertung beim Ferkel anhand einer Mais-Soja-Diät mit und ohne Zn-Ergänzung. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition. 1993;69: 133-142.

45. Sauer W, Cervantes M, Yanez J, Araiza B, Murdoch G, Morales A, Zijlstra RT. Effect of dietary inclusion of benzoic acid on mineral balance in growing pigs. Livestock Science. 2009;122: 162-168.

46. Cho JH, Liu SD, Yun W, Kim KS, Kim IH. Effect of supplemented microencapsulated zinc oxide and organic acids and pure botanicals on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood profiles, feces microflora, and zinc level of feces in weanling pigs. Canadian Journal of Animal Science. 2018;99: 66-73.

47. Haase H, Rink L. The immune system and the impact of zinc during aging. Immunity & Ageing. 2009;6: 1-17.

48. Knoell DL, Liu MJ. Impact of zinc metabolism on innate immune function in the setting of sepsis. International journal for vitamin and nutrition research. 2010;80: 271.

49. Sun YB, Xia T, Wu H, Zhang WJ, Zhu YH, Xue JX, He DT, Zhang, L. Y. Effects of nano zinc oxide as an alternative to pharmacological dose of zinc oxide on growth performance, diarrhea, immune responses, and intestinal microflora profile in weaned piglets. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2019;258: 114312.

50. Ma Y, Huang Q, Lv M, Wu Z, Xie Z, Han X, Wang Y. Chitosan-Zn chelate increases antioxidant enzyme activity and improves immune function in weaned piglets. Biological trace element research. 2014;158: 45-50.

51. Jiao Y, Li X, Kim IH. Changes in growth performance, nutrient digestibility, immune blood profiles, fecal microbial and fecal gas emission of growing pigs in response to zinc aspartic acid chelate. Asian-Australasian journal of animal sciences. 2020;33: 597.

52. Borah S, Sarmah BC, Chakravarty P, Naskar S, Dutta DJ, Kalita D. Effect of zinc supplementation on serum biochemicals in grower pig. Journal of Applied Animal Research. 2014; 42: 244-248.

53. Fang LH, Jin YH, Do SH, Hong JS, Kim BO, Han TH, Kim YY. Effects of dietary energy and crude protein levels on growth performance, blood profiles, and carcass traits in growing-finishing pigs. Journal of animal science and technology. 2019;61: 204.

54. Lee JH, Kim HB, Yun W, Kwak WG, Lee CH, Oh HJ, Kim DW, Song MH, Cho JH. Effects of reducing dietary crude protein and metabolic energy in weaned piglets. South African Journal of Animal Science. 2017;47: 574-581.

55. Zhao Y, Tian G, Chen D, Zheng P, Yu J, He J, Mao X, Yu B. Effects of varying levels of dietary protein and net energy on growth performance, nitrogen balance and faecal characteristics of growing-finishing pigs. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia. 2019;48.

56. Upton RN. Organ weights and blood flows of sheep and pig for physiological pharmacokinetic modelling. Journal of pharmacological and toxicological methods. 2008;58: 198-205.

57. Yu T, Zhu C, Chen S, Gao L, Lv H, Feng R, Zhu Q, Xu J, Chen Z, Jiang Z. Dietary high zinc oxide modulates the microbiome of ileum and colon in weaned piglets. Frontiers in microbiology. 2017;8: 825.

58. Pei X, Xiao Z, Liu L, Wang G, Tao W, Wang M, Zou J, Leng D. Effects of dietary zinc oxide nanoparticles supplementation on growth performance, zinc status, intestinal morphology, microflora population, and immune response in weaned pigs. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2019;99: 1366-1374.

59. Li BT, Van Kessel AG, Caine WR, Huang SX, Kirkwood RN. Small intestinal morphology and bacterial populations in ileal digesta and feces of newly weaned pigs receiving a high dietary level of zinc oxide. Canadian Journal of Animal Science. 2001;81: 511-516.

60. Vahjen W, Pieper R, Zentek J. Increased dietary zinc oxide changes the bacterial core and enterobacterial composition in the ileum of piglets. Journal of animal science. 2011;89: 2430-2439.

61. Sales J. Effects of pharmacological concentrations of dietary zinc oxide on growth of post-weaning pigs: a meta-analysis. Biological trace element research. 2013;152: 343-349.

62. Opapeju FO, Krause DO, Payne RL, Rademacher M, Nyachoti CM. Effect of dietary protein level on growth performance, indicators of enteric health, and gastrointestinal

562 microbial ecology of weaned pigs induced with postweaning colibacillosis. Journal of
563 Animal Science. 2009;87: 2635-2643.

564 63. Petry AL, Patience JF, Koester LR, Huntley NF, Bedford MR, Schmitz-Esser S.
565 Xylanase modulates the microbiota of ileal mucosa and digesta of pigs fed corn-based
566 arabinoxylans likely through both a stimbiotic and prebiotic mechanism. Plos one.
567 2021;16: e0246144.

568 64. Petry AL, Patience JF. Xylanase supplementation in corn-based swine diets: a review
569 with emphasis on potential mechanisms of action. Journal of Animal Science. 2020;98:
570 skaa318.

571 65. Mkabayi L, Malgas S, Wilhelmi BS, Pletschke BI. Evaluating feruloyl esterase—
572 Xylanase synergism for hydroxycinnamic acid and xylo-oligosaccharide production
573 from untreated, hydrothermally pre-treated and dilute-acid pre-treated corn
574 cobs. Agronomy. 2020;10: 688.

575 66. Mafa MS, Malgas S, Pletschke BI. Feruloyl esterase (FAE-1) sourced from a termite
576 hindgut and GH10 xylanases synergy improves degradation of arabinoxylan. AMB
577 Express. 2021;11: 1-9.

578 67. Arzola-Alvarez C, Hume ME, Anderson RC, Latham EA, Ruiz-Barrera O, Castillo-
579 Castillo Y, Olivas-Palcios AL, Felix-Portillo M, Armendariz-Rivas RL, Arzola-Rubio
580 A, Ontiveros-Madgadan M, Bautista-Martinez Y, Salinas-Chavira, J. Influence of
581 sodium chlorate, ferulic acid, and essential oils on Escherichia coli and porcine fecal
582 microbiota. Journal of animal science. 2020;98: skaa059.

583 68. Kim SW, Chen H, Parnsen W. Regulatory role of amino acids in pigs fed on protein-
584 restricted diets. Current Protein and Peptide Science. 2019;20: 132-138.

585
586

Table 1. Compositions of the weaning diets (as-fed basis)

Items	Basal diet	10% reduced CP diet
Ingredient, %		
Corn	34.43	38.34
Extruded corn	15.00	15.00
Lactose	10.00	10.00
Dehulled soybean meal, 51% CP[1)]	13.50	10.00
Soy protein concentrate, 65% CP[1)]	10.00	10.00
Plasma powder	6.00	4.50
Whey	5.00	6.00
Soy oil	2.20	2.20
Monocalcium phosphate	1.26	1.26
Limestone	1.40	1.40
L-Lysine-HCl, 78%	0.06	0.12
DL-Methionine, 50%	0.15	0.18
Choline chloride, 25%	0.10	0.10
Vitamin premix[2)]	0.25	0.25
Trace mineral premix[3)]	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.40	0.40
Total	100.00	100.00
Calculated value		
ME, kcal/kg	3508	3503
CP, %	20.78	18.70
Lysine, %	1.35	1.34
Metionine, %	0.39	0.40
Ca, %	0.82	0.82
P, %	0.65	0.65
Zn, %	0.01	0.01

[1)] CP, crude protein.
[2)] Provided per kg of complete diet: vitamin A, 11,025 IU; vitamin D_3, 1,103 IU; vitamin E, 44 IU; vitamin K, 4.4 mg; riboflavin, 8.3 mg; niacin, 50 mg; thiamine, 4 mg; d-pantothenic, 29 mg; choline, 166 mg; and vitamin B_{12}, 33 μg.
[3)] Provided per kg of complete diet without Zinc: Cu (as $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$), 12 mg; Mn (as MnO_2), 8 mg; I (as KI), 0.28 mg; and Se (as $Na_2SeO_3 \cdot 5H_2O$), 0.15 mg.
[4)] Values were calculated using National Swine Nutrition Guide(NSNG; V 2.0).

588

Table 2. Effects of different inorganic:organic zinc ratios or combination of low crude protein diet and feed additives on diarrhea scores in weaned piglet diets.

Treatment	NC	PC	T1	T2	T3	T4	SE	P-value
Inorganic:Organic zinc	0	1000:0	850:150	700:300	500:500	LP+MFA		
Diarrhea score[1]								
0 to 7 days	1.929	1.701	1.781	1.622	1.741	1.595	0.252	0.947
8 to 14 days [x]	1.164[a]	0.778[ab]	0.440[b]	0.692[ab]	0.464[b]	0.833[ab]	0.165	0.045
Overall period (0 to 14 days)	1.278	0.982	0.919	0.997	0.908	1.086	0.166	0.635

[a–b]Means in the same row with different superscripts differ ($p < 0.05$).
NC, no additional added zinc oxide in diet (negative control); PC, NC+1000 mg/kg zinc oxide (positive control); T1, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 850:150 mg/kg ; T2, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 700:300 mg/kg; T3, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 500:500 mg/kg; T4, 10% reduced CP diet + 0.1% essential oil + 0.08% protease + 0.02% xylanase; LP + MFA, low protein diet + mixed feed additives; SE, standard error.
[1)]Diarrhea score was determined as follow: 0, well-formed feces; 1, normal feces; 2, sloppy feces; and 3, diarrhea
[x] contrast: NC vs other treatments ($p < 0.05$)

589

Table 3. Effects of different inorganic:organic zinc ratios or combination of low crude protein diet and feed additives on nutrient digestibility and zinc utilization in weaned piglets.

Treatment	NC	PC	T1	T2	T3	T4	SE	P-value
Inorganic:Organic zinc	0	1000:0	850:150	700:300	500:500	LP+MFA		
Nutrient digestibility								
One week ATTD, %								
Dry matter [x, y]	86.7[c]	88.2[b]	88.9[ab]	89.8[a]	89.1[ab]	89.2[ab]	0.5	0.001
Nitrogen [x]	77.1[c]	81.8[b]	81.8[b]	84.4[a]	83.0[ab]	81.6[b]	0.8	0.001
Gross energy [x, y]	82.8[c]	85.1[b]	86.7[ab]	87.4[a]	85.5[b]	86.3[ab]	0.6	0.001
Two week ATTD, %								
Dry matter [x]	87.6[b]	89.8[a]	89.7[a]	89.9[a]	90.5[a]	90.3[a]	0.4	0.001
Nitrogen [x]	77.8[b]	81.0[a]	80.4[a]	81.3[a]	82.2[a]	81.7[a]	0.8	0.017
Gross energy [x]	83.3[b]	86.4[a]	86.4[a]	86.9[a]	87.7[a]	87.3[a]	0.6	0.001
Zinc utilization								
One week								
Feed intake, g	340.0	340.0	340.0	340.0	340.0	340.0	0.0	1.000
Zinc intake, mg [x, y, z]	34.0[c]	382.5[a]	374.0[a]	340.0[b]	340.0[b]	34.0[c]	3.1	0.001
Zinc excretion, mg [x, y, z]	32.3[d]	344.8[a]	299.2[b]	264.5[c]	253.7[c]	29.2[d]	7.5	0.001
ATTD of Zinc [x, y, z]	5.1[d]	9.6[c]	19.9[ab]	22.3[ab]	25.3[a]	14.2[bc]	2.7	0.001
Two week								
Feed intake, g [x, y, z]	350.0[c]	380.0[a]	380.0[a]	370.0[b]	380.0[a]	350.0[c]	0.0	0.001
Zinc intake, g [x, y, z]	35.0[c]	427.5[a]	418.0[a]	370.0[b]	380.0[b]	35.0[c]	2.4	0.001
Zinc excretion, mg [x, y, z]	31.4[c]	381.1[a]	349.3[a]	298.6[b]	291.8[b]	29.5[c]	10.6	0.001
ATTD of Zinc [x, y]	10.4[b]	10.9[b]	16.4[ab]	19.3[ab]	23.2[a]	15.8[ab]	3.2	0.045
AID of zinc, % [x, y]	8.9[c]	9.3[c]	14.1[b]	18.1[ab]	21.1[a]	14.1[b]	1.5	0.001

[a–d]Means in the same row with different superscripts differ ($p < 0.05$).
NC, no additional added zinc oxide in diet (negative control); PC, NC+1000 mg/kg zinc oxide (positive control); T1, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 850:150 mg/kg ; T2, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 700:300 mg/kg; T3, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 500:500 mg/kg; T4, 10% reduced crude protein diet + 0.1% essential oil + 0.08% protease + 0.02% xylanase; LP + MFA, low protein diet + mixed feed additives; SE, standard error; ATTD, apparent total tract digestibility; AID, apparent ileal digestibility.
[x] contrast: NC vs other treatments ($p < 0.05$)
[y] contrast: PC vs T1, T2, and T3 ($p < 0.05$)
[z] contrast: T4 vs T1, T2, and T3 ($p < 0.05$)

590

Table 4. Effects of different inorganic:organic zinc ratios or combination of low crude protein diet and feed additives on blood profiles in weaned piglets.

Treatment	NC	PC	T1	T2	T3	T4	SE	P-value
Inorganic:Organic zinc	0	1000:0	850:150	700:300	500:500	LP+MFA		
Red blood cell, $10^6/\mu L$	7.32	7.37	7.14	7.52	7.64	7.59	0.40	0.949
White blood cell, $10^3/\mu L$	17.43	17.72	17.85	19.68	18.11	17.76	2.79	0.994
Lymphocyte, %[z]	49.88	49.35	49.18	50.48	57.68	66.58	4.58	0.065
Monocyte, %	3.48	4.63	2.47	4.33	3.88	4.77	0.73	0.252
Eosinophil, %	0.41	0.55	0.43	0.42	0.40	0.52	0.14	0.958
Basophil, %	0.40	0.35	0.33	0.40	0.55	0.43	0.09	0.548
Immunoglobulin G, mg/dL	174.0	160.3	185.2	148.5	185.0	162.3	23.2	0.816
Immunoglobulin M, mg/dL	47.3	43	47.7	50.7	48.0	44.0	3.7	0.774
Cholesterol, mg/dL	66.2	71.2	76.5	72.7	82.5	68.0	5.4	0.313
Glucose, mg/dL	109.7	107.3	108.2	111.2	106.0	109.7	9.6	0.999
Blood urea nitrogen, mg/dL[z]	6.83[a]	6.83[a]	6.83[a]	6.33[ab]	5.50[ab]	5.00[b]	0.48	0.049
Zinc, ug/dL	94.9	102.4	104.0	107.3	99.4	97.2	5.0	0.654

[a–b]Means in the same row with different superscripts differ ($p < 0.05$).
NC, no additional added zinc oxide in diet (negative control); PC, NC+1000 mg/kg zinc oxide (positive control); T1, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 850:150 mg/kg ; T2, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 700:300 mg/kg; T3, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 500:500 mg/kg; T4, 10% reduced crude protein diet + 0.1% essential oil + 0.08% protease + 0.02% xylanase; LP + MFA, low protein diet + mixed feed additives; SE, standard error.
[z] contrast: T4 vs T1, T2, and T3 ($p < 0.05$)

591
592

Table 5. Effects of different inorganic:organic zinc ratios or combination of low crude protein diet and feed additives on organ weight in weaned piglets.

Treatment	NC	PC	T1	T2	T3	T4	SE	P-value
Inorganic:Organic zinc	0	1000:0	850:150	700:300	500:500	LP+MFA		
Body weight, kg	10.0	10.1	10.3	10.6	10.7	10.0	0.2	0.399
Relative organ weight, %								
Liver	3.046	2.922	2.844	2.709	2.837	2.760	0.211	0.892
Spleen	0.205	0.282	0.231	0.208	0.268	0.214	0.026	0.257

NC, no additional added zinc oxide in diet (negative control); PC, NC+1000 mg/kg zinc oxide (positive control); T1, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 850:150 mg/kg ; T2, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 700:300 mg/kg; T3, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 500:500 mg/kg; T4, 10% reduced crude protein diet + 0.1% essential oil + 0.08% protease + 0.02% xylanase; LP + MFA, low protein diet + mixed feed additives; SE, standard error.

593

Table 6. Effects of different inorganic:organic zinc ratios or combination of low crude protein diet and feed additives on fecal microflora in weaned piglets.

Treatment	NC	PC	T1	T2	T3	T4	SE	P-value
Inorganic:Organic zinc	0	1000:0	850:150	700:300	500:500	LP+MFA		
E.coli, $\log_{10}$cfug^{-1} [z]	5.241[a]	4.986[ab]	4.897[ab]	5.263[a]	5.110[ab]	4.742[b]	0.139	0.087
Lactobacillus, $\log_{10}$cfug^{-1} [z]	6.969[ab]	6.804[b]	7.254[a]	6.814[b]	6.701[b]	7.256[a]	0.132	0.017

[a–b]Means in the same row with different superscripts differ ($p < 0.05$).
NC, no additional added zinc oxide in diet (negative control); PC, NC+1000 mg/kg zinc oxide (positive control); T1, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 850:150 mg/kg ; T2, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 700:300 mg/kg; T3, NC + inorganic:organic zinc 500:500 mg/kg; T4, 10% reduced crude protein diet + 0.1% essential oil + 0.08% protease + 0.02% xylanase; LP + MFA, low protein diet + mixed feed additives; SE, standard error.
[z] contrast: T4 vs T1, T2, and T3 ($p < 0.05$)