JAST (Journal of Animal Science and Technology) TITLE PAGE Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Type	Research article
Article Title (within 20 words without abbreviations)	Effect of flaking on the digestibility of corn in ruminants
Running Title (within 10 words)	Flaking effects on ruminant corn digestibility
Author	Hamin Kang ^{1,#} , Mingyung Lee ^{1,#} , Seoyoung Jeon ¹ , Sang Moon Lee ² , Ju Hwan Lee ² , and Seongwon Seo ¹
Affiliation	¹ Division of Animal and Dairy Sciences, Chungnam National University, Daejeon, 34134, Korea ² Jeil Feed Co., Daejeon 34365, Korea #These authors contributed equally to this work
ORCID (for more information, please visit https://orcid.org)	Hamin Kang (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5208-5489) Mingyung Lee (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8952-9725) Seoyoung Jeon (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8276-317X) Sang Moon Lee (https://orcid.org/ 0000-0002-2054-1213) Ju Hwan Lee (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4914-5361) Seongwon Seo (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4131-0545)
Competing interests	No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.
Funding sources State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available.	This research was supported by an academic research fund from Chungnam National University.
Acknowledgements	The authors acknowledge the generous and helpful cooperation by Jeil Feed Co.
Availability of data and material	Upon a reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.
Authors' contributions Please specify the authors' role using this form.	Conceptualization: Lee SM, Lee JH, Seo S. Data curation: Jeon S, Seo S. Formal analysis: Kang H, Lee M, Jeon S. Methodology: Lee SM, Lee JH Validation: Lee M, Jeon S. Investigation: Kang H, Lee M, Jeon S. Writing - original draft: Kang H, Lee M. Writing - review & editing: Lee M, Seo S.
Ethics approval and consent to participate	This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Korean Association For Laboratory Animals. All experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Chungnam National University (Approval Number: CNU-01022).

For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints) Fill in information in each box below First name, middle initial, last name Seongwon Seo Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent swseo@cnu.kr

Secondary Email address	seo.seongwon@gmail.com
Address	99 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea
Cell phone number	+82-10-3815-2130
Office phone number	+82-42-821-5787
Fax number	+82-42-823-2766

8 Abstract

9 In this study, we aimed to assess the effect of flaking on the nutrient digestibility of corn grain in ruminants. In 10 this regard, in vitro rumen fermentation, in situ rumen degradability, and in vivo metabolic experiments were 11 performed. The automated gas production technique was used for the *in vitro* fermentation experiments. Six types of 12 corn flakes with various degrees of gelatinization (32%, 41%, 48%, 66%, 86%, and 89%) were ground and incubated 13 in rumen fluid to measure rumen fermentation characteristics and digestion rate. The in situ degradability of ground 14 corn, whole corn, and corn flakes with 62% and 66% gelatinization was measured by incubation in the rumen of two 15 cannulated Holstein cows. In vivo metabolic experiments were performed using 12 crossbred goats (29.8 kg \pm 4.37) 16 using a 3×3 Latin square design. The dietary treatments consisted of ground corn and flaked corn with 48% or 62% 17 gelatinization. In vitro experiments showed that as the degree of gelatinization increased, the digestion rate increased 18 linearly, while the discrete lag time decreased linearly (p < 0.05). The effective rumen dry matter degradability, 19 determined by in situ fermentation, was 37% p lower in corn flakes than ground corn, assuming a passage rate of 6%/h 20 (p < 0.01), and there was no difference between the two flakes. In the *in vivo* experiment, there was no difference in 21 dry matter intake, average daily gain, feed efficiency, and nitrogen utilization among the treatment groups (p > 0.05); 22 however, the crude fat digestibility was lower for corn flakes than for ground corn (p < 0.05). To summarize, the rate 23 of fermentation of corn flakes increased as the degree of gelatinization increased. However, non-ground corn flakes 24 had lower rumen digestibility and did not improve in vivo apparent nutrient digestibility, compared with ground corn. 25 In contrast to the assumption that flaked corn provides more energy to ruminant animals than ground corn, we conclude 26 that the digestibility and energy value of corn flakes are lower than those of ground corn if mastication does not 27 sufficiently reduce the particle size of corn flakes.

28

29 Keywords: Corn; Gelatinization; Particle size; Ruminant; Digestibility

31 32 Corn is the major grain for concentrate feed in cattle diets. It is the primary energy source for livestock, and its 33 primary constituent is starch [1]. Corn is surrounded by a hard pericarp, resistant to bacteria and enzymes; the starch-34 protein matrix in the granules is firmly bound, so it is degraded more slowly than barley and wheat [2, 3]. However, 35 the digestibility of corn can be increased through processing [1, 4], and various processing methods have been 36 suggested in this regard. 37 Processing methods can be classified as non-thermal and thermal. Non-thermal processing includes grinding and 38 dry rolling, while thermal processing includes steam-rolling, steam-flaking, and roasting [5]. Grinding causes 39 mechanical destruction of the pericarp, reduces particle size, and increases surface area, facilitating the attachment of 40 microorganisms. It was reported that grinding increases ruminal starch digestibility by approximately 21%p compared 41 to whole corn [6]. The improvement in starch digestibility increases as the degree of grinding increases (i.e., as the 42 particle size decreases) [7-9]. 43 Steam-flaking with high temperature and moisture also increases ruminal starch digestibility of corn grain. Steam-44 flaking, or simply flaking, also cracks the pericarp and starch granules to some extent, but more importantly, it 45 gelatinizes the starch granules and increases degradation by bacteria and enzymes [3, 4, 10, 11]. Flaked corn had a 46 30% p higher ruminal starch digestibility than whole corn [12], which can be more, depending on the degree of flaking 47 [1, 13]. Early studies that compared ground corn and steam-flaked corn in dairy or beef cattle reported that rumen 48 starch digestibility was higher in steam-flaked corn than in ground corn [14-17], and feed efficiency was also higher 49 in animals fed with steam-flaked corn [18-20]. Thus, it is commonly assumed that flaked corn is more degradable and 50 provides more energy to ruminant animals than ground corn [21]. However, some studies have reported contrasting 51 results that there was no difference in performance, such as growth and feed efficiency, between ground corn and 52 steam flaked corn [22-25]. Thus, more comprehensive research is needed on the effects of flaking on the ruminal and 53 total tract digestibility of corn and the consequent animal productivity. Furthermore, flaking corn requires additional 54 costs, which increase as the degree of processing increases [26]. Therefore, the effect of degrees of flaking on the 55 degradability of flaked corn also needs to be addressed. 56 The objectives of this study were two-fold: 1) to evaluate the effect of flaking on ruminal fermentability and 57 degradability of corn grain and 2) to compare ruminal and total tract degradability of flaked corn with that of ground 58 corn. In the present study, in vitro fermentation experiments, in situ degradability experiments, and in vivo metabolic 59 experiments using goats were performed.

60

Introduction

61	Materials and Methods
62	This study was conducted at the Center for Animal Science Research, Chungnam National University, Korea.
63	Animal use and the protocols for this experiment were reviewed and approved by the Chungnam National University
64	Animal Research Ethics Committee (CNU-01022).
65	
66	Flaked corn samples
67	Seven flaked corn samples, along with whole and ground corn, were used in this study. Corn samples were
68	obtained from multiple feed manufacturers to cover a wide range of flaking processes. Sampling was performed within
69	a week to minimize seasonal and origin variations.
70	
71	Chemical analysis
72	The DM (#930.15), crude protein (CP; #927.02), crude fiber (CF; #962.09), and ash (#942.05) content in feces,
73	feed refusals, and experimental diets were determined as described by AOAC [27]. Ether extract (EE; #2003.05)
74	content was determined as described by AOAC [28], and Ca and P (#985.01) content was determined as described by
75	AOAC [29]. The starch (a.k.a, naïve starch) content of feedstuffs was determined according to the Ewers polarimetric
76	method (ISO 10520:1997). The extent of starch gelatinization was measured by analyzing the proportion of gelatinized
77	starch to naive starch using an enzymatic method similar to that described by Zhu et al. [30]. More in detail, 0.5 g of
78	ground corn with a particle size of 0.5 mm was boiled in 25 mL distilled water for 15 minutes and immediately cooled
79	down. Another 0.5 g sample was added to 25 mL distilled water at ambient temperature for 15 min. Next, 10 mL of
80	acetate buffer and 5 mL of starch saccharification enzyme solution were added to each beaker, and the samples were
81	then incubated at 40 °C for 70 min. After incubation, 5 mL of 25% trichloroacetate was added to cease the reaction,
82	and the mixture was cooled for 10 min. After cooling, distilled water was added to a final volume of 100 mL, and the
83	mixture was filtered through a filter paper (No. 5A). After the filtrate was transferred to a microtube, and the amount
84	of glucose was measured using a YSI 2900 (YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, OH, USA).
85	
86	In vitro ruminal fermentation kinetics (Exp. 1)
87	The effect of gelatinization of flaked corn on in vitro ruminal fermentation kinetics was assessed using an
88	automated gas production system [31]. Gelatinization refers to the amount of gelatinized starch as a percentage of the

89 naïve starch. The extent of gelatinization of flaked corn in each sample was 32% (Flake_32), 41% (Flake_41), 48%

90 (Flake_48), 66% (Flake_66), 86% (Flake_86), and 89% (Flake_89) (Table 1). The samples were ground to pass 91 through a 2 mm screen using a cyclone mill (Foss, Hillerød, Denmark). Subsequently, 0.2 g of the substrate was 92 weighed into a serum bottle in triplicate for each treatment group, and 16 mL of *in vitro* buffer solution prepared as 93 described by Goering and Van Soest [32] was added under strictly anaerobic conditions. After adding the anaerobic 94 buffer solution, the bottles were capped with a butyl rubber stopper and sealed with an aluminum cap to maintain 95 anaerobic conditions.

Before morning feeding, rumen fluid was collected from two non-pregnant and non-lactating Holstein cows, fitted with a permanent fistula. The animals were fed 700 g/kg corn silage and 300 g/kg commercial concentrate mix twice daily (Table 2). After collection, the two rumen fluids were mixed, placed in an icebox, delivered to the laboratory within an hour, and filtered through eight layers of sterilized cheesecloth and glass wool while maintaining strict anaerobic conditions. Subsequently, strained rumen fluid was transferred into a serum bottle and stabilized for 1-2 h in an incubator at 39° C. The substrate and *in vitro* buffer solution in the serum bottle were inoculated with 4 mL of the strained rumen fluid and incubated for 48 h.

103 The pressure generated by the gas accumulated in the headspace of the serum bottle was automatically measured 104 every 20 minutes over 48 h of incubation using a pressure sensor. Fermentation kinetics were analyzed using a single-105 pool and single-lag exponential model as follows:

$$V_t = 0 \ (0 \le T < L)$$

107

$$V_t = V_{max} \times (1 - exp(-k_d \times (t - L)) \ (T \ge L)$$

108 where V_t is the total gas production at time t (mL), t is the time after initiation of incubation (h), L is the discrete 109 lag time (h), k_d is the fractional rate of gas production (h⁻¹), and V_{max} is the asymptotic gas production (mL).

After 48 h of incubation, the pH of the fermentation fluid in the bottle was measured, and neutral detergent fiber (NDF), analyzed using a heat-stable amylase and expressed inclusive of residual ash (aNDF), was determined to estimate true dry matter digestibility (TDMD) as described by [32].

113
$$TDMD (\%) = \frac{\text{Residue DM} - (\text{Residue NDF} - \text{blank NDF})}{\text{Residue DM}} \times 100$$

115 *In situ* ruminal dry matter and starch degradability (Exp. 2)

116 The *in situ* ruminal digestion experiment was performed using two cannulated, non-lactating Holstein cows. 117 Whole-shelled corn (whole), ground corn (ground), and two unground intact flaked corns (62% gelatinized flaked 118 corn [Flake 62] and 66% gelatinized flaked corn [Flake 66]) underwent in situ ruminal degradation. The intact corn 119 samples were not ground to assess the degradability of the corn samples without reducing their particle size by 120 mastication. Ground corn was ground to pass through a 2 mm sieve. Seven sets of triplicate nylon bags $(10 \times 20 \text{ cm},$ 121 pore size 50 µm, Ankom Technology Corp., NY, USA) containing 20 g (with a surface area of 15 mg/cm²) of the corn 122 samples were placed in the ventral rumen of each Holstein cow. The bags were removed at the end of each incubation 123 period (0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 48 h) and washed with cold tap water until the water appeared clear. Three bags from 124 each time period were dried at 65 °C for 72 h and weighed to determine *in situ* DM degradability (DM; #930.15) [28]. 125 The starch content of the residue was also analyzed.

In situ rumen DM degradability was estimated by applying the time-series data to the model of Ø rskov and
 McDonald [33] as follows:

$$Y = a + b(1 - exp^{-k_d \times t})$$

129 where *a* is the soluble fraction, *b* is the slowly degradable fraction (potentially degradable), k_d is the fractional 130 rate of disappearance (per hourh), and *t* is the time of incubation (h).

131 The effective degradability (ED) was calculated as:

132
$$\mathbf{Y} = a + b \left(\frac{k_d}{k_d + k_P}\right)$$

where *Y* is the potential degradability, *a* is the soluble fraction, *b* is the slowly degradable fraction, k_d is the fractional rate of degradation, and k_p is the fractional rate of passage (assumed to be 0.04, 0.06, and 0.08 h⁻¹).

135

136 In vivo nutrient digestibility and nitrogen metabolism (Exp. 3)

137 Experimental design, animals, and diets

A total of 12 growing crossbreed goats (Korean native goat \times Boer goat, 29.8 \pm 4.37 kg, eight months old) were used for this study. A replicated-crossover design with three periods and three treatments was used. Each period lasted for three weeks, with 17 days for adaptation and four days of sampling. The wash-out period was set for one week between each period to minimize possible carry-over effects. During this period, goats were fed a control diet. Goats

142 were housed in individual metabolic cages in an environmentally controlled animal research facility. All goats were 143 male and castrated two weeks before the start of the experiment. Goats were randomly allocated and received different 144 treatments during each experimental period. To counteract possible carry-over effects, the sequences of the treatment 145 were randomized and balanced to increase the probability of every possible treatment[34]. Ground corn 146 supplementation was used as a control. Ground corn was replaced by flaked corn (Flake 48, 48% gelatinized; 147 Flake 62, 62% gelatinized) in the concentrate mix for other treatments. To meet the NRC [35] requirements for 148 indigenous goat diets were formulated comprising 40% oat hay and 60% concentrate mix (Table 3). The goats were 149 fed twice daily at 08:00 and 18:00 h, with half of the amount adjusted to achieve 10% refusal based on the intake of 150 the previous day. Oat hay chopped to 5 cm was given before the concentrate mix at each feeding to make the goats 151 consume forage as much as possible before ingesting concentrates. Drinking water was freely accessible to the animals 152 throughout the experiment. Individual daily feed intake was recorded by measuring the feed offered and refusals.

153

154 Sample collection and analysis

155 Body weights were measured before morning feeding before and after each period. During the sampling period, 156 feed refusals were collected daily, and each experimental diet (oat hay and concentrate mix) was sampled. Daily feed 157 refusals and diet samples were pooled for each period and stored at -20 °C until subsequent analysis. Total feces and 158 urine from each animal were collected, weighed, and sub-sampled daily before the morning feeding during the 159 sampling period. Urine was collected in a glass bottle containing 200 mL of 2.8% HCl and then stored at -20 °C until 160 N was measured as described by AOAC [28]. Sub-sampled feces, feed refusals, and experimental diets were dried at 161 60°C for 96 h and ground through a cyclone mill (Foss, Hillerød, Denmark) fitted with a 1 mm screen before chemical 162 analysis.

163

164 Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using PROC MIXED (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Pair-wise comparisons of the least square means were conducted using the PDIFF option with Tukey–Kramer adjustment. Moreover, whenever applicable, a linear contrast between ground corn and flaked corn was performed. Statistical significance was declared at p < 0.05, and a trend was discussed at $0.05 \le p < 0.1$.

169 The linear model used for the analysis of the *in vivo* experimental data was:

170 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + b_j + \tau_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}$

171 where Y_{ijk} is an observed dependent variable, μ is the overall mean, α_i is the fixed effect of period, b_i is the

172 random effect of animal, τ_k is the fixed effect of treatment, and ε_{ijk} is the unexplained error.

Based on the interaction between time period and treatment, the potential carry-over effect was not significant in any of the tested variables. This implied that the response of the goats to treatment was consistent during each period, even though different animals received individual treatment. Thus, the interaction term was omitted from the statistical analysis.

- 177
- 178

Results

179 Effects of the degree of gelatinization on *in vitro* fermentation of flaked corn grain

The *in vitro* fermentation characteristics and kinetic parameters after 48 h of incubation are presented in Table 4. The pH was significantly different between treatments and decreased as the degree of gelatinization increased (p < 0.01). According to the degree of gelatinization, TDMD did not significantly differ (p > 0.05). Although there was no significant difference in the maximum gas production (V_{max}), the fractional rate of gas production (k_d) linearly increased as the degree of gelatinization increased (p = 0.046). Moreover, the discrete lag time (lag) decreased linearly with increasing degree of gelatinization (p < 0.01). Consequently, the maximum volume of gas production (V_{max}) reached quicker in the higher degree of gelatinization (Figure 1).

187

188 Effects of corn processing on *in situ* ruminal degradability

189 In situ dry matter and starch degradability

The *in situ* dry matter (DM) degradability of ground corn was significantly higher than that of the other treatments at all times, whereas DM degradability of whole corn was significantly lower than that of the other treatments at all times (p < 0.05) (Figure 2). There was no statistically significant difference between the two flaked corn samples (p> 0.05). The same trend was observed for ruminal starch degradability. The starch degradability of ground corn was higher than that of the other treatments at all times, while that of whole corn was significantly lower than that of the other treatments (p < 0.05) (Figure 3). There was no statistically significant difference in starch degradability between the corn flake treatments (p > 0.05).

198 **Ruminal effective dry matter and starch degradability**

199 The degradation kinetics of the corn samples were assessed without whole corn as only limited degradation 200 occurred with whole corn (Figures 2 and 3). The proportion of the soluble fraction (fraction A) was greater in ground 201 corn than in flaked corn (p < 0.001) (Table 5). The proportion of the slowly degradable (potentially degradable; 202 fraction B) fraction tended to be greater in flaked corn than in ground corn (p = 0.07). The fractional rate of digestion 203 (k_d) tended to differ among the treatments (p = 0.07). Assuming the fractional rate of passage out of the rumen was 204 0.04, 0.06, and 0.08 h⁻¹, the effective DM degradability was statistically significantly different (p < 0.001) for different 205 corn processing methods (ground corn > flaked corn). There was no statistical difference in effective degradability 206 between the two flaked corns with different degrees of gelatinization (p > 0.05).

With regard to rumen starch degradability, fraction A was the highest in ground corn (p < 0.001) (Table 6). There was no statistically significant difference between the flaked treatments (p > 0.05). The B fraction showed a statistically higher trend in the flaked treatment group than in the ground corn (p = 0.080). There was no significant difference in k_d between treatments (p > 0.05). Effective starch degradability was higher in the flaked treatment than in the ground corn treatment at all assumed flow rates of 0.04, 0.06, and 0.08 (p < 0.01), and there was no significant difference between flaked treatments (p > 0.05).

213

214 Effects of corn processing on *in vivo* nutrient digestibility

215 There was no statistically significant difference in ADG, DM intake, and feed efficiency among the treatments (p 216 > 0.05) (Table 7). Crude fat intake was higher in the ground corn group than in the flaked corn group (p < 0.01). The 217 apparent total tract digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, and ash did not differ between the treatments (p > 0.05) 218 (Table 8). However, the digestibility of crude fiber was different among the treatments; Flake_48 showed significantly 219 higher crude digestibility than Flake 62 (p = 0.04). Crude protein digestibility was different among the treatment 220 groups, and the goats that were fed ground corn had higher CP digestibility than those fed with flaked corn (p = 0.03). 221 Crude fat digestibility was significantly higher in the ground corn group than in the flaked corn groups (p < 0.01), 222 even though crude fat intake was greater in control than flaking. Nitrogen intake and nitrogen excreted in urine did 223 not differ between the treatments (p > 0.05) (Table 9). In addition, nitrogen retention and biological values were not 224 significantly different (p > 0.05).

Discussion 226 227 Flaking causes structural and physical changes in the starch granule and its matrix in corn, and it is widely accepted 228 that flaking increases starch digestibility [3, 4, 10, 11, 36] and animal performance [18, 19, 26]. However, some 229 inconsistent results also have been reported [22-25], and it is necessary to study the effect of flaking on the digestibility 230 of corn compared to grinding. 231 The ruminal fermentability of flaked corn increased as the degree of starch gelatinization increased, based on the 232 *in vitro* rumen fermentation study. This can be inferred from the results of a significant decrease in pH, increase in k_d , 233 decrease in the lag time as the gelatinization of corn starch increases. Ruminal pH is an good indicator of the 234 production of organic acids from ruminal fermentation, and it decreases due to the rapid fermentation of starch [37, 235 38]. Hales et al. [39] also showed that the pH decreased until 12 h of in vitro fermentation as the degree of steam-236 flaking increased (e.g., a decrease in bulk density from 386 g/L to 283 g/L). In their study, however, the pH at 48 h 237 did not differ by the degree of flaking unlike the present study, which was probably because the pH of cultured fluid 238 after 12 h in their study was already too low (e.g. < 6.0) to differentiate the treatment effect. An increase in the rate of 239 degradation was consistent with Qiao et al. [40] which reported a significantly higher rate of degradation of corn with 240 78% gelatinization. A decrease in the lag time may be due to an enhancement of microbial attachement [41]. Steam-241 flaking destructs the most outer part of corn grain, pericarp. As the degree of flaking increases, the degree of 242 destruction increases, resulting in more rapid attachment of microorganisms [14]. Hence, as the degree of 243 gelatinization increases, attachment by microorganisms becomes more rapid, which makes corn more easily 244 degradable. 245 Nontheless, a higher degree of flaking did not increase the total digestible portion of the flaked corn. The higher 246 gelatinization did not alter the TDMD or the maximum volume of gas production (V_{max}). Since gas production in the 247 rumen occurs due to the degradation of feed by microorganisms, the gelatinization of starch does not increase its 248 availability in the rumen. This is similar to a previous study by Kokić et al. [42] which reported no significant 249 difference in organic matter digestibility as the degree of gelatinization increased from 21% to 64%. Therefore, an 250 increase in the degree of flaking likely facilitates attachment of microorganisms and increases the rate of ruminal

degradation of flaked corns without altering their potential of ruminal degradation.

The availability of corn was more affected by the particle size than the degree of gelatinization. Solubility of unground flaked corn is almost zero, which was reflected in the zero A fraction. Mainly because of this, the effective degradability of starch in flaked corn was 34.3 - 39.6% p less than that of ground corn even though insoluble but 255 degradable fraxction (B fraxction) of flaked corn was 21.3% p higher than ground corn. Thus, particle size plays a 256 significant role in ruminal degradability of corn grain, and without sufficient reduction in particle size, ruminal starch 257 degradability of corn grain can be low even after intensive gelatinization. This result is consistent with previous studies. 258 Lee et al. [11] reported that 1 mm ground corn had about 35% higher *in situ* dry matter degradability than intact flaked 259 corn (not ground) after 48 h of *in situ* rumen fermentation. 4 mm ground corn showed a high degradability than intact 260 flaked corn at 12 h and afterward, and eventually showed a 14% p higher degradability. However, in a previous in vivo 261 experiment [43], calves fed with cracked (2.83 mm of mean particle size) and intact corns showed no significant 262 difference in apparent digestibility. It was observed that the particle size is reduced through mastication by animals, 263 and the reduced size helps to increase the availability. Another in situ rumen fermentation study reported that corn 264 masticated by cattle also increased fraction A by about 4%p and fraction B by about 47%p compared to intact whole 265 corn [3]. This is consistent with our result where, whole corn barely degraded, and the difference in degradability with 266 ground corn was about 70%p (data not shown). Therefore, the grain particle size, which significantly influences the 267 increase in grain availability, is considerably reduced by mastication, so the extent of mastication should be considered 268 as an important factor in feed availability. However, the reduction in particle size by mastication may be a rate-limiting 269 step in the digestion of flaked corn in the rumen, and if mastication is not sufficient to reduce the particle size of feeds, 270 flaked corn may have lower availability than ground corn.

271 The nutritional value of ground corn might be higher than that of flaked corn. In the *in vivo* experiment, ground 272 corn showed higher digestibility of EE and CP, and EE intake than flaked corn (Tables 7 and 8). The higher 273 digestibility of EE and CP in ground corn implies that there is more potential value that can be used by animals. These 274 differences in CP digestibility between ground corn and flaked corn is apparently caused by changes in the rumen 275 availability of CP in corn during flaking. The amount of rumen degradable protein (RDP) decreases during flake 276 processing [44-46], and this decrease in RDP alters the activity of microorganisms in the rumen and consequently 277 affects the total tract CP digestibility [47]. In previous studies that used goats, CP digestibility decreases significantly 278 as the amount of RDP in the diet decreases [48, 49]. In addition to the high CP digestibility of ground corn, the high 279 digestibility of EE appears to be affected by EE intake. Previous studies reported that the digestibility of fat increases 280 quadratically as the intake of fat increases, which is consistent with our results [50-53]. However, the result of high 281 EE intake in ground corn treatments contrasts with early studies that flaking increases the total tract digestibility of 282 nutrients [16, 19, 54]. Others reported that feeding steam-flaked corn did not change fat intake [24, 55]. Zhong et al. 283 [24] conducted a study to substitute ground corn in the feed with flaked corn using lactating Holstein cows, but there

was no difference in fat digestibility according to the substitution level. Rastgoo et al. [55] compared the nutrient digestibility of Holstein dairy calves fed with ground and steam-flaked corn and observed no difference in fat digestibility. However, Joy et al. [56] reported a decrease in fat intake, similar to our results but nonetheless, stated that the reason for this was unclear. Most of the previous studies focused on CP or starch for flaked corn and ground corn, and since the research results on fat intake are not clear, further studies are needed to determine the cause for differences in fat intake.

To summarize, an increase in gelatinization through more intensive flaking increased the rate of rumen fermentation of flaked corn but did not increase the total ruminal available fraction. Compared with ground corn, flaked corn may be less digestible without sufficient particle size reduction by mastication, leading to lower total tract nutrient digestibility. Considering the additional processing cost of flaking [57], feeding flaked corn may not be a beneficial feeding practice, especially when sufficient chewing is limited (e.g., a high intake level and feeding for a short period).

In conclusion, although an increase in gelatinization enhances the ruminal fermentability of flaked corn, flaking by itself may not sufficiently improve corn's degradability compared to grinding. Without proper particle reduction by cracking or chewing, ruminal degradability and total tract nutrient digestibility of flaked corn may be lower than that of ground corn. Therefore, unlike the common assumption that flaked corn provides more energy to ruminant animals than ground corn, the energy content of flaked corn needs to be discounted when mastication is limited, for example, at a high intake level.

- 302
- 303

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by an academic research fund from Chungnam National University. In addition, the
 authors acknowledge the generous and helpful cooperation by Jeil Feed Co.

- 306
- 307

308	References
309	1. Theurer C, Lozano O, Alio A, Delgado-Elorduy A, Sadik M, Huber J, et al. Steam-processed corn and
310	sorghum grain flaked at different densities alter ruminal, small intestinal, and total tract digestibility of starch by steers.
311	J Anim Sci. 1999;77(10):2824-31. https://doi.org/10.2527/1999.77102824x
312	2. McAllister T, Phillippe R, Rode L, Cheng K. Effect of the protein matrix on the digestion of cereal grains by
313	ruminal microorganisms. J Anim Sci. 1993;71(1):205-12. https://doi.org/10.2527/1993.711205x
314	3. Beauchemin K, McAllister T, Dong Y, Farr B, Cheng KJ. Effects of mastication on digestion of whole cereal
315	grains by cattle. J Anim Sci. 1994;72(1):236-46. https://doi.org/10.2527/1994.721236x
316	4. Yang W, Beauchemin K, Rode L. Effects of barley grain processing on extent of digestion and milk
317	production of lactating cows. J Dairy Sci. 2000;83(3):554-68. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(00)74915-0
318	5. Safaei K, Yang W. Effects of grain processing with focus on grinding and steam-flaking on dairy cow
319	performance. Herbivores. London, UK: InTech Open; 2017. p. 117-33. http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/67344
320	6. Galyean M, Wagner D, Owens F. Corn particle size and site and extent of digestion by steers. J Anim Sci.
321	1979;49(1):204-10. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1979.491204x
322	7. Lykos T, Varga GA. Effects of processing method on degradation characteristics of protein and carbohydrate
323	sources in situ. J Dairy Sci. 1995;78(8):1789-801. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(95)76804-7
324	8. Rémond D, Cabrera-Estrada JI, Champion M, Chauveau B, Coudure R, Poncet C. Effect of corn particle size
325	on site and extent of starch digestion in lactating dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 2004;87(5):1389-99.
326	https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(04)73288-9
327	9. Ramos BMO, Champion M, Poncet C, Mizubuti IY, Nozière P. Effects of vitreousness and particle size of
328	maize grain on ruminal and intestinal in sacco degradation of dry matter, starch and nitrogen. Anim Feed Sci Tech.
329	2009;148(2):253-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2008.04.005
330	10. Firkins J, Eastridge M, St-Pierre N, Noftsger S. Effects of grain variability and processing on starch
331	utilization by lactating dairy cattle. J Anim Sci. 2001;79(suppl_E):E218-E38. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas2001.79E-
332	SupplE218x
333	11. Lee S, Kim W, Ko J, Ha J. Effects of corn processing on <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in situ</i> digestion of corn grain in Holstein
334	steers. Asian Austral J Anim. 2002;15(6):851-8. https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2002.851

Lee RW, Galyean ML, Lofgreen GP. Effect of mixing whole shelled and steam flaked corn in finishing diets
on feedlot performance and site and extent of digestion in beef steers. J Anim Sci. 1982;55(3):475-83.

337 https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1982.553475x

338 13. Zinn RA. Influence of flake density on the comparative feeding value of steam-flaked corn for feedlot cattle.

339 J Anim Sci. 1990;68(3):767-75. https://doi.org/10.2527/1990.683767x

14. Huntington GB. Starch utilization by ruminants: from basics to the bunk. J Anim Sci. 1997;75(3):852-67.

- 341 https://doi.org/10.2527/1997.753852x
- 342 15. Harvatine D, Firkins JL, Eastridge M. Whole linted cottonseed as a forage substitute fed with ground or
 343 steam-flaked corn: digestibility and performance. J Dairy Sci. 2002;85(8):1976-87. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022344 0302(02)74274-4

345 16. Guyton A, McKinney J, Knowlton K. The effect of steam-flaked or dry ground corn and supplemental phytic

acid on phosphorus partitioning and ruminal phytase activity in lactating cows. J Dairy Sci. 2003;86(12):3972-82.

- 347 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)74008-9
- 348 17. Cooke KM, Bernard JK, West JW. Performance of dairy cows fed annual ryegrass silage and corn silage
 349 with steam-flaked or ground corn. J Dairy Sci. 2008;91(6):2417-22. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0715
- 350 18. Scott TL, Milton CT, Erickson GE, Klopfenstein TJ, Stock RA. Corn processing method in finishing diets

351 containing wet corn gluten feed. J Anim Sci. 2003;81(12):3182-90. https://doi.org/10.2527/2003.81123182x

- 352 19. Corona L, Rodriguez S, Ware R, Zinn R. Comparative effects of whole, ground, dry-rolled, and steam-flaked
 353 corn on digestion and growth performance in feedlot cattle. Prof Anim Sci. 2005;21(3):200-6.
 354 https://doi.org/10.15232/S1080-7446(15)31203-1
- 355 20. Macken CN, Erickson GE, Klopfenstein TJ, Stock RA. Effects of corn processing method and protein
 356 concentration in finishing diets containing wet corn gluten feed on cattle performance. Prof Anim Sci. 2006;22(1):14-
- 357 22. https://doi.org/10.15232/S1080-7446(15)31056-1
- Owens C, Zinn R, Hassen A, Owens F. Mathematical linkage of total-tract digestion of starch and neutral
 detergent fiber to their fecal concentrations and the effect of site of starch digestion on extent of digestion and energetic
- 360 efficiency of cattle. Prof Anim Sci. 2016;32(5):531-49. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9459
- 361 22. Yu P, Huber J, Santos F, Simas J, Theurer C. Effects of ground, steam-flaked, and steam-rolled corn grains
- 362 on performance of lactating cows. J Dairy Sci. 1998;81(3):777-83. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(98)75634-
- 363

- 364 23. Dhiman TR, Zaman MS, MacQueen IS, Boman RL. Influence of corn processing and frequency of feeding
- 365 on cow performance. J Dairy Sci. 2002;85(1):217-26. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74070-8

366 24. Zhong R, Li J, Gao Y, Tan Z, Ren G. Effects of substitution of different levels of steam-flaked corn for finely

367 ground corn on lactation and digestion in early lactation dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 2008;91(10):3931-7.

368 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0957

369 25. Mathew B, Eastridge ML, Oelker ER, Firkins JL, Karnati SKR. Interactions of monensin with dietary fat and

carbohydrate components on ruminal fermentation and production responses by dairy cows. J Dairy Sci.
2011;94(1):396-409. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2010-3580

26. Macken C, Erickson G, Klopfenstein T. The cost of corn processing for finishing cattle. Prof Anim Sci.

373 2006;22(1):23-32. https://doi.org/10.15232/S1080-7446(15)31057-3

27. AOAC. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. Gaithersburg, Md: AOAC International; 2005.

375 28. AOAC. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. Gaithersburg, Md: AOAC International; 2006.

376 29. AOAC. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. Gaithersburg, Md: AOAC International; 2000.

377 30. Zhu L, Jones C, Guo Q, Lewis L, Stark C, Alavi S. An evaluation of total starch and starch gelatinization
378 methodologies in pelleted animal feed. J Anim Sci. 2016;94(4):1501-7. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9822

379 31. Sun L, Lee M, Jeon S, Seo S. Evaluation of the associative effects of rice straw with timothy hay and corn 380 in ruminal gas production 2020;10(2):325. grain using an vitro technique. Animals. 381 https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10020325

382 32. Goering H, Van Soest P. Forage fiber analyses: apparatus, reagents, procedures, and some applications:
383 Agricultural Research Service, US Department of Agriculture; 1970.

384 33. Ø rskov E, McDonald I. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements
385 weighted according to rate of passage. J Agric Sci. 1979;92(2):499-503. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600063048
386 34. Seo S, Jeon S, Ha J. Guidelines for experimental design and statistical analyses in animal studies submitted
387 for publication in the Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences. Asian Austral J Anim. 2018;31(9):1381-6.
388 https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.18.0468

389 35. NRC. Nutrient requirements of small ruminants: sheep, goats, cervids, and new world camelids. Washington
 390 DC: National Academy Press; 2007.

36. Armbruster S, editor Steam flaking grains for feedlot cattle: a consultant's perspective. Proc Cattle Grain
Processing Symp, Tulsa, OK; 2006.

393 37. Tremere A, Merrill W, Loosli J. Adaptation to high concentrate feeding as related to acidosis and digestive

394 disturbances in dairy heifers. J Dairy Sci. 1968;51(7):1065-72. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(68)87125-5

395 38. Yuan J, Wan X. Multiple-factor associative effects of peanut shell combined with alfalfa and concentrate

determined by in vitro gas production method. Czech J Anim Sci. 2019;64(8):352-60.
https://doi.org/10.17221/94/2019-CJAS

398 39. Hales K, McMeniman J, Leibovich J, Vasconcelos J, Quinn M, May M, et al. Effects of varying bulk densities 399 of steam-flaked corn and dietary roughage concentration on in vitro fermentation, performance, carcass quality, and 400 acid-base balance measurements in finishing steers. Anim Sci. 2010;88(3):1135-47. 401 https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2009-2400

402 40. Qiao F, Fei W, Ren L, Zhou Z, Meng Q, Bao Y. Effect of steam-flaking on chemical compositions, starch 403 gelatinization, *in vitro* fermentability, and energetic values of maize, wheat and rice. J Integr Agric. 2015;14(5):949-

404 55. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(14)60913-8

405 41. France J, Dijkstra J, Dhanoa M, Lopez S, Bannink A. Estimating the extent of degradation of ruminant feeds
406 from a description of their gas production profiles observed *in vitro*: derivation of models and other mathematical
407 considerations. Br J Nutr. 2000;83(2):143-50. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114500000180

408 42. Kokić B, Lević J, Chrenková, Formelová Z, Poláčiková M, Rajský M, et al. Influence of thermal treatments

409 on starch gelatinization and in vitro organic matter digestibility of corn. Food Feed Res. 2013;40(2):93-9--9.

410 43. Gorocica-Buenfil M, Loerch S. Effect of cattle age, forage level, and corn processing on diet digestibility

411 and feedlot performance. J Anim Sci. 2005;83(3):705-14. https://doi.org/10.2527/2005.833705x

412 44. Chrenkova M, Formelova Z, Ceresnakova Z, Dragomir C, Rajsky M, Cismileanu A, et al. Rumen

413 undegradable protein (RUP) and its intestinal digestibility after steam flaking of cereal grains. Czech J Anim Sci.

414 2018;63(4):160-6. https://doi.org/10.17221/74/2017-CJAS

415 45. Dakowski P, Weisbjerg M, Hvelplund T. The effect of temperature during processing of rape seed meal on 416 amino acid degradation in the rumen and digestion in the intestine. Anim Feed Sci Tech. 1996;58(3):213-26.

417 https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401(95)00868-3

418 46. Fiems L, Cottyn B, Boucque C, Vanacker J, Buysse F. Effect of grain processing on in sacco digestibility

419 and degradability in the rumen. Arch Anim Nutr. 1990;40(8):713-21. https://doi.org/10.1080/17450399009428420

420 47. Singh N, Mudgal V. Protein requirements of castrated Beetal goat bucks. Small Rumin Res. 1991;4(2):127-

421 35. https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4488(91)90059-Y

- 422 48. Mishra S, Rai S. Influence of varying RDP:UDP ratios in diets on digestion, nitrogen utilization and milk
- 423 production efficiency in goats. Small Rumin Res. 1996;20(1):39-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4488(95)00783-0
- 424 49. Akhtar M, Qayum A, Shan A, Chou S, Jo H, Shah S, et al. Influence of different dietary rumen degradable

425 protein concentrations on nutrient intake, nutrient digestibility, nitrogen balance, blood urea nitrogen and milk yield

- 426 of lactating beetal goats. Pak J Zool. 2020;52(1):213-21. https://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2020.52.1.213.221
- 427 50. Wu Z, Ohajuruka O, Palmquist D. Ruminal synthesis, biohydrogenation, and digestibility of fatty acids by
- 428 dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 1991;74(9):3025-34. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78488-9
- 429 51. Palmquist D. Influence of source and amount of dietary fat on digestibility in lactating cows. J Dairy Sci.
- 430 1991;74(4):1354-60. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78290-8
- 431 52. Alexander G, Prabhakara Rao Z, Prasad JR. Effect of supplementing sheep with sunflower acid oil or its
- 432 calcium soap on nutrient utilization. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci. 2002;15(9):1288-93. 10.5713/ajas.2002.1288
- 433 53. Delobel A, Fabry C, Schoonheere N, Istasse L, Hornick J. Linseed oil supplementation in diet for horses:
- 434 effects on palatability and digestibility. Livest Sci. 2008;116(1):15-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2007.07.016
- 435 54. Owens F, Zinn R, Kim Y. Limits to starch digestion in the ruminant small intestine. J Anim Sci.
 436 1986;63(5):1634-48. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1986.6351634x
- 437 55. Rastgoo M, Kazemi-Bonchenari M, HosseinYazdi M, Mirzaei M. Effects of corn grain processing method
 438 (ground versus steam-flaked) with rumen undegradable to degradable protein ratio on growth performance, ruminal
 439 fermentation, and microbial protein yield in Holstein dairy calves. Anim Feed Sci Tech. 2020;269:114646.
- 440 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2020.114646
- Joy M, DePeters E, Fadel J, Zinn R. Effects of corn processing on the site and extent of digestion in lactating
 cows. J Dairy Sci. 1997;80(9):2087-97. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(97)76154-X
- 443 57. Peters T, editor Comparing cost versus benefits of corn processing for feedlot cattle. Cattle Grain Processing
 444 Symposium; 2006; Oklahoma State University.

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Analyzed chemical composition (g/kg DM or as stated) of corn samples used for this study

	Corn samples [‡]								
Item [†]	Whole	Ground	Flake_32	Flake_41	Flake_48	Flake_62	Flake_66	Flake_86	Flake_89
DM, g/kg as fed	858	870	860	898	853	861	856	898	897
ОМ	987	987	987	988	989	986	986	994	992
СР	75	77	88	84	85	83	85	83	82
CF	20	26	22	18	24	21	22	15	16
EE	41	40	37	43	49	40	41	23	24
Ash	13	13	13	12	11	14	14	6	8
Ca	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.7
Р	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.3	2.5	2.9	3.0	1.5	1.4
Total carbohydrates	871	869	862	862	855	863	860	888	886
Starch	769	756	745	742	733	704	713	758	746
Gelatinization, %	-	-	32	41	48	62	66	86	89
Used for									
In vitro experiment			V	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark
In situ experiment	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark		
In vivo experiment		\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark			

[†]DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; CP, crude protein; CF, crude fiber; EE, ether extract [‡]Flaked corn (Flake)_(% gelatinization)

	Treatments [‡]					
Item [†]	Corn silage	Concentrate mix				
DM, g/kg as fed	232	878				
OM	922	941				
aNDF	527	339				
ADF	338	192				
СР	121	171				
EE	27	35				
Ash	78	59				
NFC	247	396				
Total carbohydrates	774	735				
Starch	79	346				
Ca	3.7	10.8				
Р	3.5	5.0				

Table 2. Analyzed chemical composition (g/kg DM or as stated) of the corn silage and commercial concentrate

451 mix fed to two fistulated Holstein.

450

452 [†]DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; aNDF, neutral detergent fiber analyzed with a heat-stable amylase and

453 expressed inclusive of residual ash; ADF, acid detergent fiber; CP, crude protein; EE, ether extract

Ű

454 [‡]Ground, ground corn; Flake_48, flaked corn with 48% gelatinization rate; Flake_62, flaked corn with 62%

455 gelatinization rate

456 Table 3. Analyzed chemical composition (g/kg DM or as stated) of the oat hay and commercial concentrate mix

457	for	in	vivo	experiments
-----	-----	----	------	-------------

	Forage	Concentrate mix [‡]					
Item [†]	Oat hay	Ground	Flake_48	Flake_62			
DM, g/kg as fed	911	890	887	890			
ОМ	947	917	918	920			
СР	55	189	190	189			
CF	314	109	110	98			
EE	17	55	53	52			
Ash	53	84	82	80			
NFE	561	563	565	581			
Total carbohydrates	875	672	675	679			
Starch	-	236	240	249			
Ca	2.4	12	12	12			
Р	2.2	7	7	7			

458 [†]DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; CP, crude protein; CF, crude fiber; EE, ether extract; NFE, nitrogen-free extract

459 [‡]Ground, concentrate mix containing ground corn; Flake_48, concentrate mix containing flaked corn with 48%

460 gelatinization rate; Flake_62, concentrate mix containing flaked corn with 62% gelatinization rate

		Treatments [†]						<i>p</i> -value [‡]	
Items	Flake_32	Flake_41	Flake_48	Flake_66	Flake_86	Flake_89	SEM -	Overall	Linear
pH	6.20 ^a	6.15 ^a	6.22 ^a	6.18 ^a	6.05 ^b	6.07 ^b	0.017	< 0.01	< 0.01
TDMD [†] , %	88.7	92.3	94.0	92.4	93.0	96.1	2.89	0.500	0.099
V _{max} , mL	54.3	56.0	54.8	53.1	55.0	55.6	1.43	0.323	0.857
k_d, h^{-1}	0.166	0.164	0.125	0.163	0.193	0.201	0.0233	0.219	0.046
Lag, hr	3.2	3.5	3.2	2.7	2.4	2.4	0.45	0.045	< 0.01

461 Table 4. pH of the cultured medium after 48-hour incubation, true dry matter digestibility of the samples, and kinetic parameters of gas production

5

462 [†]TDMD, true dry matter digestibility

463 [‡]Flaked corn (Flake)_(% gelatinization)

464 a,bMeans that do not have common superscript differ (p < 0.05)

		Treatments [†]			<i>p</i> -v	value
Parameter [‡]	Ground	round Flake_62 Flake_66		SEM	Overall	Ground vs. Flake
Factor [‡]						
А	45.5 ^a	3.2 ^b	2.3 ^b	1.17	0.002	0.001
В	44.3	71.8	63.2	7.15	0.117	0.066
k_d	0.14	0.06	0.08	0.021	0.204	0.114
Effective degra	dability§					
0.04	79.6 ^a	46.3 ^b	44.1 ^b	3.51	0.007	0.003
0.06	76.1ª	39.2 ^b	38.1 ^b	2.73	0.003	0.002
0.08	73.3ª	34.1 ^b	33.6 ^b	2.20	0.002	0.001

479 Table 5. *In situ* ruminal degradation parameters and effective dry matter ruminal degradability

[†]Ground, ground corn; Whole, whole corn; Flake_62, flaked corn with 62% gelatinization rate; Flake_66, flaked corn
 with 66% gelatinization rate

482 [‡]A, soluble fraction (% DM); B, slowly degradable fraction (% DM); k_d , fractional rate of degradation (h⁻¹)

, C'

 $\begin{array}{l} 483 \\ 484 \end{array} \hspace{0.1in} \begin{subarray}{l} \$0.04, \ 0.06, \ and \ 0.08: \ effective \ ruminal \ degradability \ when \ fractional \ rate \ of \ passage \ is \ 0.04 \ h^{-1}, \ 0.06 \ h^{-1}, \ and \ 0.08 \ h^{-1}, \ 0.06 \ h^{-1}, \ and \ 0.08 \ h^{-1}, \ 0.06 \ h^{-1}$

485 ^{a,b}Means that do not have common superscript differ (p < 0.05)

		Treatments [†]		р-у	alue	
Parameter	Ground	Flake_62	Flake_62 Flake_66		Overall	Ground vs. Flake
Factor [‡]						
А	47.2ª	2.1 ^b	4.0 ^b	1.01	0.002	0.001
В	48.1	69.3	69.5	6.57	0.154	0.080
<i>k</i> _d	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.015	0.185	0.098
Effective degradability [§]						
0.04	83.9ª	48.2 ^b	49.7 ^b	3.09	0.007	0.004
0.06	80.1ª	41.6 ^b	43.0 ^b	2.45	0.003	0.002
0.08	77.0 ^a	36.6 ^b	38.1 ^b	2.01	0.002	0.001

487 Table 6. In situ ruminal degradation parameters and effective starch ruminal degradability

488 [†]Ground, ground corn; Whole, whole corn; Flake_62, flaked corn with 62% gelatinization rate; Flake_66, flaked corn 489 490 with 66% gelatinization rate

[‡]A, soluble fraction (% DM); B, slowly degradable fraction (% DM); k_d , fractional rate of degradation (h⁻¹)

S

491 [§]0.04, 0.06, and 0.08: effective ruminal degradability when fractional rate of passage is 0.04 h⁻¹, 0.06 h⁻¹, and 0.08 h⁻¹ 492 ¹, respectively

493 ^{a,b}Means that do not have common superscript differ (p < 0.05)

		$Treatments^{\dagger}$			p-va	alue [‡]
Items	Ground	Flake_48	Flake_62	SEM	Overall	Ground vs. Flake
Average daily gain, g/d	208	200	193	35.9	0.91	0.71
Feed conversion ratio [§]	3	Ø	8	1.47	0.62	0.98
Nutrient intake, g/d						
Dry matter	1,112	1,115	1,106	72.6	0.92	0.94
Organic matter	1,034	1,039	1,033	67.8	0.96	0.92
Crude fiber	225	226	213	13.5	0.19	0.37
Crude protein	157	153	150	11.2	0.16	0.08
Ether extract	46 ^a	4 3 to	41 ^b	3.0	<0.01	< 0.01
Ash	78	76	73	5.0	0.06	0.06

495 Table 7. Average daily gains, feed conversion ratio, and nutrient intake of goats fed ground and flaked corn

496 [†]Ground, ground corn; Flake_48, flaked corn with 48% gelatinization rate; Flake_62, flaked corn with 62%

497 gelatinization rate

498 [‡]Overall: overall *P*-value among the samples; Ground vs. Flake: ground corn versus flaked corn

499 [§]Feed conversion ratio, ADG (g/d) / DMI (g/d)

500 ^{a,b}Means that do not have common superscripts significantly differ within the samples (p > 0.05)



501 Table 8. Nutrient digestibility of goats fed ground and flaked corn (%/d, DM basis)

		Treatments [†]			p-value [‡]	
Items	Ground	Flake_48	Flake_62	SEM	Overall	Ground vs. Flake
Dry matter	71.34	71.43	70.80	0.500	0.43	0.61
Organic matter	73.62	73.61	73.09	0.480	0.46	0.53
Crude fiber	63.17 ^{ab}	63.89ª	60.77 ^b	0.943	0.04	0.42
Crude protein	69.50	67.73	67.10	0.727	0.07	0.03
Ether extract	88.25 ^a	86.28 ^b	86.17 ^b	0.481	0.01	< 0.01
Ash	40.73	41.04	37.51	1.474	0.19	0.42

502 503 [†]Ground, ground corn; Flake_48, flaked corn with 48% gelatinization rate; Flake_62, flaked corn with 62% gelatinization rate

504

[‡]Overall: overall *P*-value among the samples; Ground vs. Flake: ground corn versus flaked corn 505 ^{a,b}Means that do not have common superscripts significantly differ within the samples (p < 0.05)

507 Table 9. Nitrogen utilization of goats fed ground and flaked corn

	Treatments [†]				<i>p</i> -value [‡]	
Items	Ground	Flake_48	Flake_62	SEM	Overall	Ground vs. Flake
Intake, g/d	25.19	24.45	23.94	1.798	0.16	0.08
Feces, g/d	7.62	7.81	7.80	0.486	0.55	0.28
Urine, g/d	9.85	9.25	9.43	0.505	0.27	0.12
Excretion, g/d	17.47	17.06	17.24	0.950	0.67	0.43
Retention, g/d	7.72	7.39	6.70	0.970	0.33	0.27
Retention, %	28.88	28.77	27.48	2.132	0.80	0.72
Biological value [§] , %	41.24	42.12	40.88	2.877	0.92	0.93

508 [†]Ground, ground corn; Flake_48, flaked corn with 48% gelatinization rate; Flake_62, flaked corn with 62%

gelatinization rate 509

510 [‡]Overall: overall *P*-value among the samples; Ground vs. Flake: ground corn versus flaked corn

511 512 [§]Biological value: (Intake N – Feces N – Urine N) / (Intake N – Feces N) × 100



516 517 518 519 520 521 Figure 1. Gas production profile. Flaked corn with different rate of gelatinization, Flake_(% gelatinization): Flake_32 (empty circle, ○), Flake 41 (empty triangle, △), Flake 48 (empty square, □), Flake_66 (filled circle,

•), Flake 86 (filled triangle, ▲), Flake 89 (filled square, ■).



Figure 2. In situ rumen dry matter degradability. Ground corn (filled circle, ●), whole corn (filled square, ■), flaked corn with 62% gelatinization rate (filled triangle, ▲), flaked corn with 66 gelatinization rate (filled diamond, ♦). a-cMeans that do not have common superscript differ (p < 0.05).</p>



Figure 3. In situ rumen starch degradability. Ground corn (filled circle, ●), whole corn (filled square, ■), flaked corn with 62% gelatinization rate (filled triangle, ▲), flaked corn with 66 gelatinization rate (filled diamond, ●). a-cMeans that do not have common superscript differ (p < 0.05).