

JAST (Journal of Animal Science and Technology) TITLE PAGE

Upload this completed form to website with submission

1
2
3

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Type	Research article
Article Title (within 20 words without abbreviations)	Evaluation of dietary selenium sources and levels on growth performance, carcass characteristics, selenium concentrations, and blood parameters of growing-finishing pigs
Running Title (within 10 words)	Effects of dietary selenium in growing-finishing pigs
Author	Hyunjin Kyoung1#, Yonghee Kim1#, Jinmu Ahn1#, Jin Ho Cho2#, Dongoh Seo1, Jinuk Nam1, Kimoon Kim1, Hyeun Bum Kim3*, Minhong Song1* # These authors contributed equally to this work as the first author * Corresponding authors
Affiliation	1 Division of Animal and Dairy Science, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, South Korea 2 Department of Animal Science, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 28644, South Korea 3 Department of Animal Resources Science, Dankook University, Cheonan 31116, South Korea
ORCID (for more information, please visit https://orcid.org)	Hyunjin Kyoung (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5742-5374) Yonghee Kim (https://orcid.org/0009-0009-8334-3706) Jinmu Ahn (https://orcid.org/0009-0005-1490-2974) Jin Ho Cho (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7151-0778) Dongoh Seo (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1751-4978) Jinuk Nam (https://orcid.org/0009-0003-8696-5697) Kimoon Kim (https://orcid.org/0009-0008-2988-5179) Hyeun Bum Kim (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1366-6090) Minho Song (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4515-5212)
Competing interests	No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.
Funding sources State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available.	This study was supported by the High Value-Added Food Technology Development Program of the Korean Institute of Planning and Evaluation for Technology in Food, Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries (IPET), the Ministry for Food, Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries of the Republic of Korea (321037-05-3-HD030).
Acknowledgements	This study was supported by the High Value-Added Food Technology Development Program of the Korean Institute of Planning and Evaluation for Technology in Food, Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries (IPET), the Ministry for Food, Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries of the Republic of Korea (321037-05-3-HD030).
Availability of data and material	Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.
Authors' contributions Please specify the authors' role using this form.	Conceptualization: Kyoung H, Kim Y, Ahn, J, Cho JH, Kim HB, Song M. Data curation: Kyoung H, Cho JH, Kim HB, Song M. Formal analysis: Kyoung H, Seo D, Nam J, Kim K. Methodology: Kyoung H, Kim Y, Ahn J, Cho JH. Software: Kyoung H, Kim Y, Ahn J, Cho JH. Validation: Seo D, Nam J, Kim K, Kim HB, Song M. Investigation: Kyoung H, Kim Y, Ahn J, Cho JH. Writing - original draft: Kyoung H, Kim Y, Ahn J, Cho JH. Writing - review & editing: Kyoung H, Kim Y, Ahn J, Cho JH, Seo D, Nam J, Kim K, Kim HB, Song M.
Ethics approval and consent to participate	The experimental protocol for this study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Chungnam National University, Daejeon, South Korea (approval #: 202006A-CNU-090)

4
5**CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION**

For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in information in each box below
First name, middle initial, last name	Hyeun Bum Kim Minho Song
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	hbkim@dankook.ac.kr mhsong@cnu.ac.kr
Secondary Email address	
Address	Department of Animal Resources Science, Dankook University, Cheonan 31116, South Korea Division of Animal and Dairy Science, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, South Korea
Cell phone number	
Office phone number	+82-41-550-3653 +82-42-821-5776
Fax number	

6
7

ACCEPTED

8 **Abstract**

9 Selenium (Se) is an essential trace mineral that play an important role in physiological and biochemical
10 responses by regulating the antioxidant system. Dietary Se is provided as a nutritional supplement to livestock
11 diets in inorganic (ISe) or organic (OSe) form and has different bioavailability to animals. However, the
12 comparison of the effects of dietary Se in different forms and levels of bioavailability are still limited. Therefore,
13 this study was conducted to evaluate the effects of dietary Se sources and levels on growth performance, carcass
14 characteristics, proximate composition of pork loin, Se concentrations, and blood parameters of growing-finishing
15 pigs. In a randomized completely block design (block = initial body weight and sex), 160 pigs (28.17 ± 3.03 kg
16 of body weight) were allotted to five dietary treatments (4 pigs/pen; 8 replicates/treatment) and fed for 14 weeks.
17 Dietary treatments were 1) a non-Se-fortified diet based on corn and soybean meal provided as control (CON), 2)
18 CON + 0.3 ppm ISe (ISe3), 3) CON + 0.5 ppm ISe (ISe5), 4) CON + 0.3 ppm OSe (OSe3), and 5) CON + 0.5
19 ppm OSe (OSe5). Data and sample collections were conducted at the specific time points during the study. Pigs
20 fed dietary OSe tended to have an increased ($p < 0.10$) gain to feed ratio in the grower phase compared with those
21 fed dietary ISe. In addition, dietary OSe increased ($p < 0.05$) hot carcass weight compared with dietary ISe. In
22 contrast, dietary ISe increased ($p < 0.05$) crude protein content of pork loin compared with dietary OSe. Se
23 concentrations in the kidney and pork loin were higher when the dietary Se source was OSe ($p < 0.05$) and
24 increased with increasing dietary Se level ($p < 0.05$). In the finisher phase, serum total protein, calcium, inorganic
25 phosphorus, magnesium, and creatinine concentrations increased with increasing dietary Se level ($p < 0.05$). In
26 conclusion, our study verified that dietary ISe and OSe each affected crude protein content of pork loin and tissue
27 Se concentrations, respectively. Furthermore, blood biochemical parameters were modulated by prolonged intake
28 with increased levels of dietary Se, regardless of the Se source.

29

30 **Keywords:** Blood biochemical parameters, Carcass characteristics, Growing-finishing pigs, Selenium,
31 Selenium concentration

32

33

INTRODUCTION

The goal of the swine industry is to produce high-quality pork. In addition, consumers have recently become more interested in pork produced from healthy pigs, and the quality of the feed consumed by pigs has naturally become more important. From a nutritional perspective, pork quality can be improved by appropriately applying vitamins, minerals, and fatty acids to feed [1]. Among them, dietary selenium (Se), an essential trace mineral, is a major component of selenoproteins (SeP), which play a crucial role in biological functions of the body related to its antioxidation, thyroid hormones metabolism, and reproductive and muscle function [2,3]. SePs are distributed to various tissues and have diverse cellular functions: antioxidation (glutathione peroxidase, GPX) and redox regulation (thioredoxin reductase, TRXR) against reactive oxygen species (ROS), and thyroid hormone (deiodinase) [2,4]. These characteristics of dietary Se plays an important role in improving the meat quality, growth, and health of pigs [5–9], but Se deficiency or toxicity can lead to problems [10–13].

The dietary Se used in livestock feed is classified into inorganic Se (ISe) and organic Se (OSe). Because the bioavailability of dietary Se varies depending on the sources as well as levels, the biological results in animal trials also differ [5–9,14]. In particular, the main excretion route differs depending on the Se source, and the Se retention varies as well as total amount of excreted Se [13]. Thus, we hypothesized that the addition of dietary Se from different sources and levels in feed could affect blood biochemical parameters due to differences in the tissue bioavailability. This is because nutritional factors influence the physiological changes of animals, which are also reflected in blood parameters [15,16]. This study aimed to evaluate the effects of different dietary Se sources and levels on growth performance, carcass characteristics, proximate composition of pork loin, Se concentrations, and blood parameters of growing-finishing pigs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal ethics

The experimental protocol for this study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Chungnam National University, Daejeon, South Korea (approval #: 202006A-CNU-090).

Experimental animals, design, and diets

A total of 160 pigs [(Landrace × Yorkshire) × Duroc; initial average body weight (BW) = 28.17 ± 3.03 kg] were assigned to one of five dietary treatments (4 pigs/pen; 8 replicates/treatment) in a randomized completely block design (block = initial BW and sex). Dietary treatments were 1) a non-Se fortified diet based on corn and soybean meal (CON), 2) CON + 0.3 ppm ISe (ISe3), 3) CON + 0.5 ppm ISe (ISe5), 4) CON + 0.3 ppm OSe (OSe3), and 5) CON + 0.5 ppm OSe (OSe5). The basal diet was formulated according to the nutritional requirements of growing and finishing pigs, except for Se [17] (Table 1). This study was conducted on two phase feeding programs, with the grower phase from experimental day 1 to 49 and the finisher phase from experimental day 50 to 98. The ISe and OSe products (sodium selenite, 1,000 ppm; Se-yeast, 1,000 ppm, Sel-plex, respectively) were obtained from commercial suppliers (Daone Chemical Co., Ltd., South Korea; Alltech Korea Co., Ltd., South Korea, respectively). Diets were provided in mash form, and pigs had ad libitum access to the feed and water throughout the study. All pigs were housed in same sized pen where ambient temperature, humidity, and lighting program were automatically controlled.

75 **Data and sample collection**

76 BW of individual pigs and feed residuals in the feeder after supply were weighed and recorded on a pen
77 basis at the end of each phase to calculate average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI), and gain
78 to feed ratio (G:F, feed efficiency). Blood samples were randomly selected from six pigs per dietary treatment
79 and collected from the jugular vein of pigs using 10 mL serum tubes (BD Vacutainer Systems, Franklin Lakes,
80 NJ, USA) at the end of each phase. The collected blood samples were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 15 minutes at
81 4°C to obtain serum samples and stored at -80°C for further blood analysis. On the last day of study, one pig per
82 pen with a BW similar to market weight was individually weighed, recorded, and transferred to a commercial
83 slaughterhouse (FarmStory Hannaeng LPC, South Korea). The day before slaughter, pigs had completely
84 restricted access to feed for 12 hours before slaughter but had been allowed access to water. The slaughter process
85 and carcass characteristics were conducted according to the conventional procedures of the Korea Institute for
86 Animal Products Quality Evaluation (KAPE). After dividing the carcass into two parts, the liver and kidney were
87 collected before the evisceration process. Pork loins (longissimus muscles) were collected from near the 10th ribs
88 on the right side of the carcass for further analysis. The collected tissue and loin samples were stored at -20°C
89 until Se concentration analysis. Carcass characteristics were evaluated using hot carcass weight, and backfat
90 thickness.

91

92 **Blood metabolites and growth hormone analysis**

93 The serum samples were analyzed for total protein, calcium, inorganic phosphorus, magnesium, total
94 cholesterol, triglyceride, glucose, albumin, creatinine, glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase, glutamic-pyruvic
95 transaminase, and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) using a clinical auto analyzer (Toshiba Acute Biochemical
96 Analyzer-TBA-40FR, Toshiba Medical Instruments, Tokyo, Japan) with specific kits (Wako Pure Chemical
97 Industries, Osaka, Japan) [18]. The other serum samples were analyzed for porcine insulin-like growth factor-1
98 (IGF-1) using ELISA kit (MyBioSource Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) according to the provided manufacturer's
99 instructions. The concentration of serum IGF-1 was determined using a microplate reader (Epoch microplate
100 spectrophotometer, BioTek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, USA).

101

102 **Chemical analysis**

103 The proximate composition of the pork loin was evaluated based on moisture, crude protein, crude fat,
104 and ash content according to the AOAC method [19]. To determine Se concentration in the diets, liver, kidney,
105 pork loin, and serum, the samples were digested in a digestion block (N-biotek, South Korea), acted with 2,3-
106 diamionaphthalene solution, and analyzed with a fluorescence spectrometer (RF-6000, Shimadzu Co., Kyoto,
107 Japan) using the fluorometric method [20], as reported in the AOAC (method 996.16) [19].

108

109 **Statistical analysis**

110 Data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC, USA) in a
111 randomized completely block design (block = initial BW and sex) with the pen as the experimental unit. Statistical
112 models for growth performance, carcass characteristics, proximate composition of pork loin, selenium
113 concentrations, and blood biochemical parameters included dietary treatments as main effect and blocks as
114 random effects. Contrast statements were applied to determine the dietary Se effects (source, level, and source ×
115 level interaction). Statistical significance and tendency between dietary treatments were considered at $p < 0.05$
116 and $0.05 \leq p < 0.10$, respectively.

117

118

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

119 **Growth performance**

120 There were no clinical lesions and/or signs of disease associated with Se deficiency or toxicity in all pigs
121 fed the dietary treatments throughout the study. According to the NRC, the requirement of dietary Se for pig is
122 0.15 to 0.30 ppm [17], and the FDA suggests that the dietary Se content in swine feed should not exceed 0.30 ppm
123 [21]. In this study, analyzed dietary Se content in the dietary treatments were as follows: 1) CON: 0.081 ppm, 2)
124 ISe3: 0.464 ppm, 3) ISe5: 0.623 ppm, 4) OSe3: 0.458 ppm, and 5) OSe5: 0.628 ppm. The effects of dietary Se
125 sources and levels on the growth performance of growing-finishing pigs are shown in Table 2. Pigs fed OSe tended
126 to have increase ($p < 0.10$) G:F in the grower phase compared with those fed ISe. However, there were no
127 differences in the growth performance during the finisher and overall phase among dietary treatments. Most
128 previous studies have shown that different sources and levels of dietary Se did not affect the growth performance
129 of pigs [6,13,22,23]. However, some previous studies have reported that dietary OSe improved the growth
130 performance of pigs compared with dietary ISe or non-Se fortified diet [8,14,24]. Although the results of improved
131 G:F in the OSe group compared with the ISe group cannot be easily explained, the antioxidant capacity of dietary
132 Se [2,3] or its interaction with reproductive hormones [2,24,25] is assumed to be direct or indirect effects due to
133 the higher bioavailability of dietary OSe than dietary ISe.

134

135 **Carcass characteristics and proximate composition of pork loin**

136 Pigs fed ISe had higher ($p < 0.05$) hot carcass weight in finisher phase than those fed OSe (Table 3).
137 However, there were no differences in dressing percentage, and backfat thickness among dietary treatments. Hot
138 carcass weight is the weight at which the head and internal organs are removed after slaughter and before chilling
139 and is used to evaluate meat quantity rather than meat quality. There may be an error in that high live weight is
140 proportional to high carcass weight, but this is not simple. Therefore, the dressing percentage, expressed as a ratio
141 of live weight, and backfat thickness are considered in the production of high-quality livestock products. In a
142 previous study, hot carcass weight was positively correlated with fat and muscle thickness, as well as negatively
143 correlated with lean yield [26]. Although the increased hot carcass weight did not lead to an increase in backfat
144 thickness in our study, the increased hot carcass weight in the ISe group may be related to bone and/or skeletal
145 muscle development. This is because the bone and muscle are the main tissues in the body that retain Se [3,27].
146 In addition, adequate dietary Se plays an important role in the proliferation and differentiation of bone cells via
147 the regulation of ROS [28]. Se deficiency can be associated with muscular dystrophy because it induces oxidative
148 stress through decreased expression of SeP genes such as GPX and TRXR [29]. However, dietary Se has been
149 reported to prevent white muscle disease caused by Se deficiency in pigs [30]. Moreover, in a previous in vitro
150 study, it was reported that among different Se sources, sodium selenite reduced intracellular ROS levels in
151 myocytes [31]. Taken together, different dietary Se sources may have differences in bioavailability and cellular
152 metabolism depending on the body tissues.

153 As shown in Table 4, the crude protein content of pork loin was different among dietary treatments ($p <$
154 0.05). Additionally, dietary ISe increased ($p < 0.05$) the crude protein content of pork loin compared with dietary
155 OSe. The crude ash of pork loin was decreased ($p < 0.05$) as Se level increased from 0.3 ppm to 0.5 ppm. The
156 interaction between dietary Se source and level was observed on moisture ($p < 0.05$), crude protein ($p < 0.10$),
157 and crude fat content ($p < 0.05$) of pork loin. In a previous study, dietary ISe had higher moisture content and

158 lower crude protein and fat contents in pork loin than dietary OSe [8]. However, a previous study reported that
159 dietary Se did not affect the crude protein and crude fat contents of pork loin, regardless of Se source [7]. Meat
160 quality should be considered through indicators such as water holding capacity (WHC), color, and pH because
161 proximate composition has limitations in evaluating meat quality. Previous studies have consistently shown that
162 dietary Se was more effective than non-Se, especially dietary OSe than ISe, in reducing drip, pressing, or cooking
163 loss of meat [5–8,22]. Interestingly, dietary Se did not affect meat color and/or pH, regardless of Se source or
164 even level. The effect of dietary Se on the WHC of meat could be related to the upregulation of muscular SeP W,
165 which has antioxidant properties [6,32,33]. However, dietary ISe resulted in a higher drip loss as well as paler-
166 colored muscle than dietary OSe [5]. Although our study only analyzed the proximate composition of pork loin,
167 based on previous studies, dietary ISe may reduce meat quality than dietary OSe. In addition, because the crude
168 protein content of pork loin had a negative correlation with cooking loss [34], meat quality evaluation should be
169 supported by additional research.

170

171 **Selenium concentrations**

172 As expected, dietary Se had higher ($p < 0.05$) Se concentration in the liver, kidney, pork loin, and serum
173 than non-Se fortified diet (Table 5). In addition, pigs fed dietary OSe or high level of Se had higher Se
174 concentration in the liver ($p < 0.10$ and $p < 0.05$, respectively) and kidney ($p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.05$, respectively)
175 than those fed dietary ISe or low level of Se, and both results showed an interaction ($p < 0.05$) between source
176 and level. Furthermore, dietary OSe or 0.5 ppm Se had higher ($p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.05$, respectively) pork loin Se
177 concentration than dietary ISe or 0.3 ppm Se. However, the differences in the source and level on pork loin did
178 not show any interactions. Pigs fed high level of Se tended to have higher ($p < 0.10$) serum Se concentration than
179 those fed low level of Se. Dietary Se is absorbed in the small intestine, transported to the liver through the
180 bloodstream, and then distributed to other tissues through the bloodstream after SeP production and metabolism
181 in the liver [21,35]. Therefore, the liver is the main organ responsible for regulating Se metabolism in the body.
182 In addition, the liver mirrors the degree of intestinal absorption [36]. The kidney plays a major role in the
183 utilization of Se to protect the cellular membranes involved in performing their function as well as the excretion
184 of Se [14,37]. Consistent with our study, the previous studies also showed that the Se level in the kidney of pigs
185 was higher than that in the liver or pork loin [5,12,14,23]. Moreover, the Se concentrations in the liver, kidney,
186 and pork loin were higher in dietary OSe than the ISe [12,14,23]. These results indicate that the OSe is more
187 effective than the ISe for absorbing Se from the small intestine and retaining the levels for Se metabolic utilization
188 in the body. Se level in tissues reflects long-term status of animals, while Se level in blood along with urine reflects
189 the short-term status of Se intake [13,37]. Unlike Se concentrations in tissues, this study showed that Se
190 concentration in serum differed only at the Se level, regardless of the Se source. Interestingly, some previous
191 studies have reported that the effects of Se source on serum Se concentration were reduced in the finishing period,
192 but not in the growing period [14,23]. Moreover, serum Se concentration was high when dietary ISe was added at
193 a low level (i.e. 0.5 mg/kg), whereas when dietary OSe was added, serum Se concentration also increased with
194 increasing Se level [5]. These results suggest that there are differences in the concentrations of Se retained in the
195 blood of pigs at different growth stages depending on the source as well as the level of Se. Furthermore, based on
196 the reference values of Se levels in blood for Se deficiency or toxicity [24], our result supported that the pigs were
197 neither deficient nor in toxicity condition and that it was not associated with health problems during the study.
198 However, the blood Se level in the CON group was at the marginal level, indicating that the addition of dietary
199 Se to feed should be considered.

200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239

Blood biochemical parameters

In the grower phase, pigs fed dietary OSe tended to have higher ($p < 0.10$) serum BUN concentration than those fed dietary ISe (Table 6). However, there were no differences in other biochemical indices among dietary treatments. On the other hand, high level of dietary Se had lower concentrations of serum total protein ($p < 0.05$), calcium ($p < 0.05$), inorganic phosphorus ($p < 0.05$), magnesium ($p < 0.05$), total cholesterol ($p < 0.10$), albumin ($p < 0.10$), and creatinine ($p < 0.05$) in the finisher phase than low level of dietary Se. BUN is a useful predictor of protein status in animals because it is related to nitrogen utilization efficiency [38]. In addition, blood BUN has been reported to be negatively correlated with feed efficiency and lean growth in pigs [39]. However, in the current study, dietary OSe supplementation resulted in higher blood BUN during the grower phase than dietary ISe, which is expected to result in low protein and amino acids utilization, but in fact resulted in high feed efficiency in growing pigs. When considering the protein metabolism, previous results of dietary Se on crude protein digestibility or nitrogen retention have been inconsistent [14,23], but our results indicated that dietary OSe may have a negative effect on protein synthesis in the grower phase. Blood creatinine level, along with BUN, is related to the health of the liver and kidney, which are the main organs involved in amino acids deamination and urea synthesis. Additionally, phospholipid hydroperoxide GPX, one of the SeP, is known to inhibit lipid peroxidation due to its ability to reduce lipid and cholesterol peroxides [4,40], and its regulations by dietary Se were confirmed [24,29]. Our results indicated that increasing dietary Se level affected not only Se concentration in the liver and kidney, but also the nutritional metabolism of the organs that play an important role in Se metabolism and excretion. In addition, because blood values reflect the nutritional, physiological, and health status of animals [15,16], blood metabolic changes caused by dietary Se supplementation appear to have affected blood total protein and albumin, which are components of blood proteins. Furthermore, our blood biochemical result may be related to previous study showing that different source and level of dietary Se influence the retention and excretion of macro-minerals such as calcium, phosphorous, and magnesium [13].

CONCLUSION

The addition of dietary OSe and ISe to the grower-finisher diet improved the crude protein content of pork loin and tissue Se concentrations, respectively. In addition, dietary Se level modulated serum biochemical parameters of finishing pigs by prolonged intake, regardless of the Se source. Based on the results of the present study showing different physiological performance depending on the dietary Se sources and levels, further studies are needed to evaluate the effects of different levels of mixed Se sources on growth and health of growing-finishing pigs.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the High Value-Added Food Technology Development Program of the Korean Institute of Planning and Evaluation for Technology in Food, Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries (IPET), the Ministry for Food, Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries of the Republic of Korea (321037-05-3-HD030).

- 241 1. Pettigrew JE, Esnaola MA. Swine nutrition and pork quality: A review. *J Anim Sci.* 2001;79:E316–42.
242 <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas2001.79E-SupplE316x>
- 243 2. Mehdi Y, Hornick JL, Istasse L, Dufrasne I. Selenium in the environment, metabolism and involvement in
244 body functions. *Molecules.* 2013;18:3292–311. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules18033292>
- 245 3. Burk RF, Hill KE. Regulation of Selenium Metabolism and Transport. *Annu Rev Nutr.* 2015;35:109–34.
246 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nutr-071714-034250>
- 247 4. Fairweather-Tait SJ, Bao Y, Broadley MR, Collings R, Ford D, Hesketh JE, et al. Selenium in human health
248 and disease. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2011;14:1337–83. <https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2010.3275>
- 249 5. Mahan DC, Cline TR, Richert B. Effects of dietary levels of selenium-enriched yeast and sodium selenite as
250 selenium sources fed to growing-finishing pigs on performance, tissue selenium, serum glutathione
251 peroxidase activity, carcass characteristics, and loin quality. *J Anim Sci.* 1999.
252 <https://doi.org/10.2527/1999.7782172x>
- 253 6. Zhang K, Zhao Q, Zhan T, Han Y, Tang C, Zhang J. Effect of different selenium sources on growth
254 performance, tissue selenium content, meat quality, and selenoprotein gene expression in finishing pigs. *Biol
255 Trace Elem Res.* 2020;196:463–71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-019-01949-3>
- 256 7. Zhan XA, Wang M, Zhao RQ, Li WF, Xu ZR. Effects of different selenium source on selenium distribution,
257 loin quality and antioxidant status in finishing pigs. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* 2007;132:202–11.
- 258 8. Zhang S, Xie Y, Li M, Yang H, Li S, Li J, et al. Effects of different selenium sources on meat quality and
259 shelf life of fattening pigs. *Animals.* 2020;10:615. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2006.03.020>
- 260 9. Batorska M, Więcek J, Rekiel A. Influence of organic vs inorganic source and different dietary levels of
261 selenium supplementation in diets for growing pigs on meat quality. *J Elem.* 2017;22:653–62.
262 <https://doi.org/10.5601/jelem.2016.21.3.1050>
- 263 10. Lu Z, Wang P, Teng T, Shi B, Shan A, Lei XG. Effects of dietary selenium deficiency or excess on
264 selenoprotein gene expression in the spleen tissue of pigs. *Animals.* 2019;9:1122.
265 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9121122>
- 266 11. Li S, Sun W, Zhang K, Zhu J, Jia X, Guo X, et al. Selenium deficiency induces spleen pathological changes
267 in pigs by decreasing selenoprotein expression, evoking oxidative stress, and activating inflammation and
268 apoptosis. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 2021;12:1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-021-00587-x>
- 269 12. Kim YY, Mahan DC. Comparative effects of high dietary levels of organic and inorganic selenium on
270 selenium toxicity of growing-finishing pigs. *J Anim Sci.* 2001;79:942-8.
271 <https://doi.org/10.2527/2001.794942x>
- 272 13. Kim YY, Mahan DC. Effects of high dietary levels of selenium-enriched yeast and sodium selenite on macro
273 and micro mineral metabolism in grower-finisher swine. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci.* 2001;14:243–9.
274 <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2001.243>

- 275 14. Tian JZ, Yun MS, Kong CS, Piao LG, Long HF, Kim JH, et al. Effects of different products and levels of
276 selenium on growth, nutrient digestibility and selenium retention of growing-finishing pigs. *Asian-Australas*
277 *J Anim Sci*. 2005;19:61–6. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2006.61>
- 278 15. Etim NN, Williams ME, Akpabio Uduak, Offiong EEA. Haematological parameters and factors affecting
279 their values. *Agric Sci*. 2014;2:37–47. <https://doi.org/10.12735/as.v2i1p37>
- 280 16. Etim NN, Offiong EEA, Williams ME, Asuquo LE. Influence of nutrition on blood parameters of pigs. *Am*
281 *J Biol Life Sci*. 2014;2:46–52.
- 282 17. NRC. *Nutrient Requirements of Swine: Eleventh Revised Edition*. The National Academies Press. 2012.
- 283 18. Kim S, Cho JH, Kim HB, Song M. Evaluation of brown rice to replace corn in weanling pig diet. *J Anim Sci*
284 *Technol*. 2021;63:1344–54. <https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2021.e112>
- 285 19. AOAC. *Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International* 962.09. 2010;2–4.
- 286 20. Jin XH, Kim HJ, Kim CS, Kim YY. Short-term effects of dietary selenium on lactating sows to improve
287 litter performance, milk composition and tissue selenium retention in piglets. *Anim Biosci*. 2023;36:1083–
288 90. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.22.0425>
- 289 21. Pecoraro BM, Leal DF, Frias-De-Diego A, Browning M, Odle J, Crisci E. The health benefits of selenium
290 in food animals: a review. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol*. 2022;13:58. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-022-00706-2>
- 291 22. Mateo RD, Spallholz JE, Elder R, Yoon I, Kim SW. Efficacy of dietary selenium sources on growth and
292 carcass characteristics of growing-finishing pigs fed diets containing high endogenous selenium. *J Anim Sci*.
293 2007;85:1177–83. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2006-067>
- 294 23. Tian JZ, Ju WS, Kim JH, Chang JS, Kim YY. Effects of dietary selenium supplementation on growth
295 performance, selenium retention in tissues and nutrient digestibility in growing-finishing pigs. *Asian-*
296 *Australas J Anim Sci*. 2006;19:55–60. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2006.55>
- 297 24. Speight SM, Estienne MJ, Harper AF, Barb CR, Pringle TD. Effects of organic selenium supplementation
298 on growth performance, carcass measurements, tissue selenium concentrations, characteristics of
299 reproductive organs, and testis gene expression profiles in boars. *J Anim Sci*. 2012;90:533–42.
300 <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2010-3747>
- 301 25. Mistry HD, Broughton Pipkin F, Redman CWG, Poston L. Selenium in reproductive health. *Am J Obstet*
302 *Gynecol*. 2012;206:21–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2011.07.034>
- 303 26. Barducci RS, Zhou ZY, Wormsbecher L, Roehrig C, Tulpan D, Bohrer BM. The relationship of pork carcass
304 weight and leanness parameters in the Ontario commercial pork industry. *Transl Anim Sci*. 2021;4:331–8.
305 <https://doi.org/10.1093/tas/txz169>
- 306 27. Zachara BA, Pawluk H, Bloch-boguslawska E, Śliwka KM, Korenkiewicz J, Skok Ż, et al. Tissue level,
307 distribution, and total body selenium content in healthy and diseased humans in Poland. *Arch Environ Health*.
308 2001;56:461–6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00039890109604483>

- 309 28. Yang T, Lee SY, Park KC, Park SH, Chung J, Lee S. The effects of selenium on bone health: from element
310 to therapeutics. *Molecules*. 2022;27:392. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27020392>
- 311 29. Zhang K, Li S, Zhao Q, Li J, Han Y, Qin Y, et al. Multi-omics profiling reveals Se deficiency-induced redox
312 imbalance, metabolic reprogramming, and inflammation in pig muscle. *J Nutr*. 2022;152:1207–19.
313 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxac016>
- 314 30. Mahan DC, Jones JE, Cline JH, Cross RF, Teague HS, Grifo Jr. AP. Efficacy of selenium and vitamin E
315 injections in the prevention of white muscle disease in young swine. *J Anim Sci*. 1973;36:1104–8.
316 <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1973.3661104x>
- 317 31. Pinto A, Speckmann B, Heisler M, Sies H, Steinbrenner H. Delaying of insulin signal transduction in skeletal
318 muscle cells by selenium compounds. *J Inorg Biochem*. 2011;105:812–20.
319 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2011.03.010>
- 320 32. Li JG, Zhou JC, Zhao H, Lei XG, Xia XJ, Gao G, et al. Enhanced water-holding capacity of meat was
321 associated with increased *Sepw1* gene expression in pigs fed selenium-enriched yeast. *Meat Sci*. 2011;87:95–
322 100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.05.019>
- 323 33. Yao HD, Wu Q, Zhang ZW, Li S, Wang XL, Lei XG, et al. Selenoprotein W serves as an antioxidant in
324 chicken myoblasts. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj*. 2013;1830:3112–20.
325 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.01.007>
- 326 34. Jo K, Lee S, Jeong HG, Lee DH, Yoon S, Chung Y, et al. Utilization of electrical conductivity to improve
327 prediction accuracy of cooking loss of pork loin. *Food Sci Anim Resour*. 2023;43:113–23.
328 <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2022.e64>
- 329 35. Ferreira RLU, Sena-Evangelista KCM, de Azevedo EP, Pinheiro FI, Cobucci RN, Pedrosa LFC. Selenium
330 in human health and gut microflora: Bioavailability of selenocompounds and relationship with diseases.
331 *Front Nutr*. 2021;8:685317. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.685317>
- 332 36. Zhang F, Li X, Wei Y. Selenium and selenoproteins in health. *Biomolecules*. 2023;13:799.
333 <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom13050799>
- 334 37. Jang YD, Choi HB, Durosoy S, Schlegel P, Choi BR, Kim YY. Comparison of bioavailability of organic
335 selenium sources in finishing pigs. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*. 2010;23:931–6.
336 <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2010.90619>
- 337 38. Kohn RA, Dinneen MM, Russek-Cohen E. Using blood urea nitrogen to predict nitrogen excretion and
338 efficiency of nitrogen utilization in cattle, sheep, goats, horses, pigs, and rats. *J Anim Sci*. 2005;83:879–89.
339 <https://doi.org/10.2527/2005.834879x>
- 340 39. Whang KY, Easter RA. Blood urea nitrogen as an index of feed efficiency and lean growth potential in
341 growing-finishing swine. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*. 2000;13:811–6. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2000.811>
- 342 40. Maiorino M, Conrad M, Ursini F. GPx4, lipid peroxidation, and cell death: discoveries, rediscoveries, and
343 open issues. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2018;29:61–74. <https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2017.7115>

345 **Table 1.** Composition of experimental diets for growing-finishing pigs (as-fed basis)

Item	Grower (day 1 to 49)	Finisher (day 50 to 98)
Ingredient, %		
Corn	81.04	90.38
Soybean meal, 44%	15.02	6.71
Tallow	0.61	0.11
Mono-dicalcium phosphate	1.34	1.05
Limestone	0.79	0.64
Salt	0.30	0.30
Vitamin-mineral premix ¹	0.20	0.20
L-lysine-HCl	0.45	0.41
DL-methionine	0.05	0.02
L-threonine	0.15	0.13
Tryptophan	0.05	0.05
Total	100.00	100.00
Calculated energy and nutrient contents		
Metabolizable energy, kcal/kg	3,365	3,353
Crude protein, %	13.98	11.24
Crude fat, %	3.67	3.51
Calcium, %	0.64	0.50
Phosphorus, %	0.57	0.48
Lysine, %	0.89	0.66
Methionine, %	0.25	0.19
Threonine, %	0.64	0.36
Tryptophan, %	0.18	0.47

346 ¹Provided per kilogram of diet: vitamin A, 12,000 IU; vitamin D3, 2,500 IU; vitamin E, 30 IU; vitamin
347 K3, 3mg; D-pantothenic acid, 15 mg; nicotinic acid, 40 mg; choline, 400 mg; and vitamin B12, 12 µg; Fe, 90 mg
348 from iron sulfate; Cu, 8.8 mg from copper sulfate; Zn, 100 mg from zinc oxide; Mn, 54 mg from manganese oxide;
349 I, 0.35 mg from potassium iodide.

350 **Table 2.** Effects of dietary selenium sources and levels on growth performance of growing-finishing pigs¹

Item ²	Dietary treatments					SEM	<i>p</i> -value			
	CON	ISe3	ISe5	OSe3	OSe5		Diet	Source	Level	Source × level
Grower (day 1 to 49)										
Initial BW, kg	28.90	28.95	28.86	28.76	28.75	1.26	0.999	0.907	0.968	0.979
Final BW, kg	66.65	67.81	68.77	67.96	68.37	2.73	0.986	0.963	0.803	0.921
ADG, kg/d	0.772	0.789	0.813	0.802	0.808	0.036	0.933	0.916	0.692	0.806
ADFI, kg/d	1.708	1.942	1.946	1.863	1.821	0.092	0.354	0.274	0.840	0.807
G:F, kg/kg	0.453	0.410	0.418	0.435	0.444	0.013	0.125	0.055	0.496	0.958
Finisher (day 50 to 98)										
Initial BW, kg	66.65	67.81	68.77	67.96	68.37	2.73	0.986	0.963	0.803	0.921
Final BW, kg	112.27	114.67	115.96	114.95	114.30	3.65	0.967	0.853	0.931	0.792
ADG, kg/d	0.971	0.997	1.004	1.004	0.977	0.040	0.962	0.814	0.807	0.675
ADFI, kg/d	2.344	2.456	2.784	2.638	2.450	0.217	0.629	0.728	0.749	0.241
G:F, kg/kg	0.447	0.435	0.364	0.391	0.484	0.059	0.632	0.521	0.859	0.172
Overall (day 1 to 98)										
Initial BW, kg	28.90	28.95	28.86	28.76	28.75	1.26	0.999	0.907	0.968	0.979
Final BW, kg	112.27	114.67	115.96	114.95	114.30	3.65	0.967	0.853	0.931	0.792
ADG, kg/d	0.869	0.891	0.906	0.900	0.891	0.029	0.912	0.904	0.910	0.678
ADFI, kg/d	2.016	2.209	2.349	2.196	2.132	0.104	0.266	0.276	0.717	0.334
G:F, kg/kg	0.437	0.407	0.387	0.415	0.435	0.024	0.548	0.242	0.992	0.406

351 ¹Each value is the mean of 8 replicates (4 pigs/pen).

352 ²CON, a non-Se fortified diet based on corn and soybean meal; ISe3, CON + 0.3 ppm inorganic selenium; ISe5, CON + 0.5 ppm inorganic selenium; OSe3, CON + 0.3 organic
 353 selenium; OSe5, CON + 0.5 ppm organic selenium; Diet, all dietary treatments; Source, inorganic or organic; Level, 0.3 or 0.5 ppm; BW, body weight; ADG, average daily gain;
 354 ADFI, average daily feed intake; G:F, gain to feed ratio.

355 **Table 3.** Effects of dietary selenium sources and levels on carcass characteristics of finishing pigs¹

Item ²	Dietary treatments					SEM	<i>p</i> -value			
	CON	ISe3	ISe5	OSe3	OSe5		Diet	Source	Level	Source × level
Hot carcass weight, kg	88.16	88.68	86.80	85.80	85.97	0.89	0.104	0.045	0.346	0.260
Dressing percentage, %	77.23	77.27	77.28	77.16	77.33	0.06	0.346	0.638	0.141	0.182
Backfat thickness, mm	21.83	21.18	20.45	20.97	21.74	1.06	0.881	0.612	0.985	0.483

356 ¹Each value is the mean of 8 replicates (1 pig/pen).

357 ²CON, a non-Se fortified diet based on corn and soybean meal; ISe3, CON + 0.3 ppm inorganic selenium; ISe5, CON + 0.5 ppm inorganic selenium; OSe3, CON + 0.3 organic
 358 selenium; OSe5, CON + 0.5 ppm organic selenium; Diet, all dietary treatments; Source, inorganic or organic; Level, 0.3 or 0.5 ppm; BW, body weight; Dressing percentage = (hot
 359 carcass weight / final live BW) × 100.

ACCEPTED

360 **Table 4.** Effects of dietary selenium sources and levels on proximate composition of pork loin of finishing pigs¹

Item ²	Dietary treatments					SEM	<i>p</i> -value			
	CON	ISe3	ISe5	OSe3	OSe5		Diet	Source	Level	Source × level
Moisture, %	73.07	75.53	73.42	72.63	76.71	1.43	0.246	0.892	0.500	0.047
Crude protein, %	21.54	20.28	22.49	20.06	19.33	0.71	0.045	0.030	0.313	0.056
Crude fat, %	2.43	2.12	3.08	2.96	2.52	0.32	0.237	0.664	0.430	0.043
Ash, %	0.69	1.08	0.74	0.99	0.81	0.10	0.065	0.924	0.020	0.418

361 ¹Each value is the mean of 4 replicates (1 pig/pen).

362 ²CON, a non-Se fortified diet based on corn and soybean meal; ISe3, CON + 0.3 ppm inorganic selenium; ISe5, CON + 0.5 ppm inorganic selenium; OSe3, CON + 0.3 organic
 363 selenium; OSe5, CON + 0.5 ppm organic selenium; Diet, all dietary treatments; Source, inorganic or organic; Level, 0.3 or 0.5 ppm.

ACCEPTED

364 **Table 5.** Effects of dietary selenium sources and levels on selenium concentrations of finishing pigs¹

Item ²	Dietary treatments					SEM	<i>p</i> -value			
	CON	ISe3	ISe5	OSe3	OSe5		Diet	Source	Level	Source × level
Liver, ppm	0.240	0.384	0.579	0.275	0.650	0.016	< 0.001	0.079	< 0.001	0.030
Kidney, ppm	1.050	1.893	2.016	2.090	2.373	0.036	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.043
Pork loin, ppm	0.070	0.123	0.129	0.131	0.162	0.007	< 0.001	0.013	0.020	0.107
Serum, ppm	0.062	0.154	0.170	0.151	0.165	0.007	< 0.001	0.624	0.052	0.888

365 ¹Each value is the mean of 4 replicates (1 pig/pen).

366 ²CON, a non-Se fortified diet based on corn and soybean meal; ISe3, CON + 0.3 ppm inorganic selenium; ISe5, CON + 0.5 ppm inorganic selenium; OSe3, CON + 0.3 organic
 367 selenium; OSe5, CON + 0.5 ppm organic selenium; Diet, all dietary treatments; Source, inorganic or organic; Level, 0.3 or 0.5 ppm.

ACCEPTED

Table 6. Effects of dietary selenium sources and levels on blood biochemical parameters of growing-finishing pigs¹

Item ²	Dietary treatments					SEM	<i>p</i> -value			
	CON	ISe3	ISe5	OSe3	OSe5		Diet	Source	Level	Source × level
Grower (day 49)										
Total protein, g/dL	7.06	6.38	6.15	6.80	7.18	0.44	0.446	0.123	0.868	0.509
Calcium, mg/dL	11.51	9.57	9.69	10.28	10.84	0.77	0.391	0.247	0.666	0.779
Inorganic phosphorus, mg/dL	11.51	9.84	9.59	10.21	10.90	0.82	0.470	0.318	0.793	0.575
Magnesium, mg/dL	2.84	2.33	2.29	2.50	2.65	0.18	0.228	0.160	0.761	0.613
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	94.88	78.63	80.50	91.75	95.38	9.01	0.544	0.141	0.764	0.924
Triglyceride, mg/dL	56.63	32.63	45.50	68.00	45.63	10.59	0.231	0.114	0.660	0.117
Glucose, mg/dL	76.50	55.50	58.50	59.00	56.50	12.26	0.736	0.952	0.984	0.826
Albumin, g/dL	3.86	3.54	3.36	3.51	3.76	0.23	0.564	0.429	0.873	0.372
Creatinine, mg/dL	1.45	1.34	1.16	1.28	1.35	0.10	0.408	0.551	0.633	0.242
BUN, mg/dL	10.58	9.00	7.86	10.08	10.32	1.01	0.331	0.099	0.662	0.504
GOT, IU/L	49.00	44.25	43.25	50.13	50.00	10.27	0.979	0.548	0.957	0.967
GPT, IU/L	68.75	47.63	43.50	61.25	48.38	10.33	0.410	0.385	0.423	0.678
IGF-1, pg/mL	118.56	141.54	124.70	126.54	147.93	21.69	0.858	0.852	0.918	0.392
Finisher (day 98)										
Total protein, g/dL	6.56	6.50	5.41	6.51	5.58	0.37	0.098	0.815	0.015	0.841
Calcium, mg/dL	9.74	9.36	7.70	9.11	8.11	0.47	0.036	0.864	0.012	0.490
Inorganic phosphorus, mg/dL	8.21	7.88	6.45	7.70	6.84	0.35	0.014	0.767	0.005	0.436
Magnesium, mg/dL	1.89	1.83	1.53	1.88	1.55	0.10	0.043	0.714	0.007	0.903
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	89.88	88.50	81.38	93.63	77.50	6.15	0.374	0.920	0.078	0.476
Triglyceride, mg/dL	49.13	32.63	32.50	52.75	32.00	6.76	0.106	0.167	0.143	0.148
Glucose, mg/dL	77.75	74.75	73.75	80.00	75.50	6.98	0.969	0.624	0.699	0.806
Albumin, g/dL	3.79	3.91	3.34	3.59	3.29	0.23	0.263	0.419	0.072	0.552
Creatinine, mg/dL	1.31	1.38	1.04	1.24	1.01	0.10	0.091	0.447	0.016	0.597
BUN, mg/dL	9.49	7.95	7.30	8.06	7.35	0.87	0.417	0.926	0.443	0.972
GOT, IU/L	28.88	24.75	21.00	29.38	22.13	3.55	0.368	0.430	0.142	0.629
GPT, IU/L	40.00	44.88	41.25	47.38	40.38	5.55	0.850	0.886	0.354	0.765
IGF-1, pg/mL	120.48	148.39	132.36	129.12	137.73	18.08	0.854	0.706	0.840	0.506

369 ¹Each value is the mean of 4 replicates (1 pig/pen).

370 ²CON, a non-Se fortified diet based on corn and soybean meal; ISe3, CON + 0.3 ppm inorganic selenium; ISe5, CON + 0.5 ppm inorganic selenium; OSe3, CON + 0.3 organic
371 selenium; OSe5, CON + 0.5 ppm organic selenium; Diet, all dietary treatments; Source, inorganic or organic; Level, 0.3 or 0.5 ppm; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; GOT, glutamic-
372 oxaloacetic transaminase; GPT, glutamic-pyruvic transaminase; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor-1.