

## JAST (Journal of Animal Science and Technology) TITLE PAGE

Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
<b>Article Type</b>	Short Communication
<b>Article Title</b>	Evaluating phenotypic correlations between internal and external egg quality indicators of Hy-Line Brown laying hens at 35 weeks of age.
<b>Running Title (within 10 words)</b>	Correlation between egg quality indicators
<b>Author</b>	Elijah Ogola Oketch <sup>1</sup> , Myunghwan Yu <sup>1</sup> , Shan Randima Nawarathne <sup>1</sup> , Nuwan Chamara Chaturanga <sup>1</sup> , Jeseok Lee <sup>1</sup> , Haeun Park <sup>1</sup> , and Jung Min Heo <sup>1*</sup>
<b>Affiliation</b>	Department of Animal Science and Biotechnology, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea
<b>ORCID (All authors must have ORCID) https://orcid.org</b>	Elijah Ogola Oketch ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4364-460X">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4364-460X</a> ) Myunghwan Yu ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4479-4677">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4479-4677</a> ) Shan Randima Nawarathne ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9055-9155">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9055-9155</a> ) Nuwan Chamara Chaturanga ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1002-4068">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1002-4068</a> ) Jeseok Lee ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6829-029X">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6829-029X</a> ) Haeun Park ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3244-0716">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3244-0716</a> ) Jung Min Heo ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3693-1320">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3693-1320</a> )
<b>Competing interests</b>	No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.
<b>Funding sources</b> State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available.	This paper was financially supported by the research fund of Chungnam National University, Republic of Korea.
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	The authors acknowledge the financial support offered by the research fund of Chungnam National University, Republic of Korea.
<b>Availability of data and material</b>	Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.
<b>Author contributions</b> (This field may be published.)	Conceptualization: Elijah Ogola Oketch, Jung Min Heo. Data curation: Elijah Ogola Oketch, Myunghwan Yu, Jung Min Heo Formal analysis: Shan Randima Nawarathne, Haeun Park, Jung Min Heo Methodology: Jeseok Lee, Elijah Ogola Oketch Software: Elijah Ogola Oketch, Nuwan Chamara Chaturanga, Jung Min Heo Validation: Myunghwan Yu, Jung Min Heo Investigation: Elijah Ogola Oketch, Myunghwan Yu. Writing - original draft: Elijah Ogola Oketch Writing - review & editing: Elijah Ogola Oketch, Myunghwan Yu, Shan Randima Nawarathne, Nuwan Chamara Chaturanga, Jeseok Lee, Haeun Park, Jung Min Heo
<b>Ethics approval (IRB/IACUC)</b> (This field may be published.)	The experimental protocol and procedures were reviewed and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Chungnam National University (Protocol Number; 202407A-CNU-125).

### CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

For the <u>corresponding</u> author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in information in each box below
First name, middle initial, last name	Jung Min Heo
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	jmheo@cnu.ac.kr
Secondary Email address	-
Postal address	Department of Animal Science and Biotechnology, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea

Cell phone number	+ 82 42-821-5777
Office phone number	None
Fax number	None

ACCEPTED

1 **Abstract**

2 Increased global production, and consumption of the hen egg; and the popularization of nutritional  
3 knowledge, places greater emphasis on egg quality, safety, and freshness. An understanding of the interaction  
4 between several egg quality indicators is necessary. A total of 300 freshly-laid eggs were analyzed within 24 hours  
5 of collection to investigate the phenotypic correlations between internal and external quality indicators. Eggs were  
6 analyzed for egg weight, breaking strength, shell color, albumen height, Haugh unit, yolk color, specific gravity,  
7 shell weight, shell percentage, and shell thickness at the blunt, equator, and sharp ends. Collected data was  
8 analyzed for Pearson's correlation coefficients and statistical significance was estimated at  $p < 0.05$ . Low positive  
9 correlations were observed between egg weight and shell weight ( $r=0.336$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). Moderate negative  
10 correlations were found between egg weight and shell percentage ( $r=-0.504$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). While very strong positive  
11 correlations were found between Haugh units and albumen height ( $r=0.949$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), both parameters were not  
12 distinctly correlated to other quality indicators. Similarly, there were no significant correlations between egg yolk  
13 color and shell color to other quality indicators. While moderate positive correlations were observed between  
14 eggshell weight and shell percentage ( $r=0.631$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), both parameters were lowly correlated to the eggshell  
15 thickness and not distinctly correlated to the egg-breaking strength. High positive correlations were observed  
16 between the overall eggshell thickness and the measurements at the blunt, middle, and sharp edges ( $r=0.975$ ,  
17  $r=0.965$ ,  $r=0.923$ ). Low positive correlations were observed between breaking strength and the overall, blunt edge,  
18 middle edge, and sharp edge eggshell thickness ( $r=0.465$   $r=0.447$ ,  $r=0.428$ ,  $r=0.453$ ). Conclusively, no marked  
19 correlations were established between the eggshell and the internal egg quality indicators. This study contributes  
20 to understanding the relationship between shell quality and internal egg freshness, providing insights for  
21 optimizing laying hen production and egg quality assessment systems.

22

23 **Key words:** albumen, correlation, egg quality, laying hen, eggshell

24

## Introduction

25 Global egg production has increased significantly over the years as the volume of egg production has  
26 improved by more than 69% from 2000 to 2021 [1]. While the avian egg is the reproductive vehicle for the  
27 domestic fowl, it also serves as an encapsulated nutrient-dense, highly digestible, and cost-efficient human food  
28 packing proteins, vitamins, micronutrients, and bioactive substances [2, 3]. In line with increased global egg  
29 production and consumption, the production level and the reproductive performance of laying hens have  
30 significantly improved over the past few decades, and the current focus is on persistency in laying to 100 weeks  
31 of age and 500 eggs per production cycle [4]. Increased persistency in lay presents the challenge of reducing  
32 variability while increasing uniformity in egg quality over a longer production period. Notably, eggshell quality  
33 is of utmost importance and there is a need to reduce the significant egg breakage of up to 8% that has been  
34 reported during transport with adverse economic effects [5]. Moreover, consumer awareness and attention to egg  
35 quality and freshness has also increased with the improvement of living standards and the increased dissemination  
36 of dietary and nutritional information [6]. The diverse production, food processing, and human food provision  
37 targets present a unique challenge to poultry nutritionists, breeders, and producers and generally places a higher  
38 expectation on the overall egg quality, freshness, and safety.

39 Egg quality assessment determines the properties that will influence the rejection or acceptance of the  
40 egg for any intended purpose. Comprehensive egg quality assessment is focused on the three main egg components:  
41 shell, yolk, and albumen, which can be assessed through several internal and external quality indicators [7].  
42 Several egg quality characteristics are of particular interest to consumers and producers and are generally aimed  
43 at gauging the aesthetic soundness, freshness, cleanliness, color, shape, weight, eggshell quality, internal quality,  
44 and chemical composition of eggs. A high-quality fresh table egg is elliptically shaped, with a clean, smooth, and  
45 shiny shell surface. The shell surface should bear a color that is uniform and could be either pure white or dark  
46 brown as per the breed. Eggs are usually assessed for specific quality through an overall exterior evaluation,  
47 candling, or broken-out evaluations [8]. A comprehensive analysis of whether the internal egg contents are related  
48 to the outer eggshell is needed to provide a theoretical foundation for interpreting and standardizing egg quality  
49 assessments.

50 While having distinct chemical and organic makeup, several egg components will show variations that  
51 are due to differences in the breed, age of the hens, management, hen diet, housing design, egg handling, and  
52 storage system [9]. Several egg quality parameters can be determined with a single broken-out evaluation  
53 including egg weight, breaking strength, shell color, albumen height, Haugh unit, yolk color, specific gravity, shell

54 weight, shell percentage, yolk percentage, vitelline membrane strength, shell thickness, among others [10].  
55 Internal egg abnormalities including the presence of meat and blood spots can also be detected. There is a need to  
56 correlate several internal and external egg quality indicators for holistic assessment and understanding of the egg  
57 produced under varied conditions of age, breed, and rearing systems. Such findings could provide insights into  
58 the interactions between internal and external eggshell quality indicators. Therefore, the current study was  
59 conducted to investigate the phenotypic correlations between external and internal egg quality characteristics in  
60 35-week-old laying hens, to establish the implications of these correlations on the overall egg quality. It was  
61 hypothesized that external indicators (e.g., eggshell thickness) could strongly correlate with internal indicators  
62 (e.g., Haugh unit). It was reasoned that if strong correlations were found between internal and external egg quality  
63 indicators, the need for broken-out evaluations could be reduced.

ACCEPTED

64

## Materials and Methods

65 Eggs were obtained from one 35-week-old flock of 300 Hy-Line Brown laying hens raised in an enriched  
66 cage system (90cm long by 90cm wide by 70cm high) within the Poultry Unit, Cheongyang Research Station of  
67 Chungnam National University. A total of 8 birds were housed in each of the 38 cages. At the time of egg collection,  
68 4 mortalities had been observed, leaving 300 birds in total. The experimental protocol and procedures were  
69 reviewed and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Chungnam National University (Protocol Number;  
70 202407A-CNU-125). The birds were subjected to a lighting scheme with 16 hours of continuous light and 8 hours  
71 of darkness in a windowless facility. The temperature and humidity were recorded and maintained at around 20-  
72 22°C and 45-50%, respectively. The hens were fed commercial diets that met or exceeded NRC guidelines for  
73 brown egg-laying strains.

74

### Egg sample collection and analysis

76 A total of 300 freshly laid eggs were collected over two days (150 eggs per day), numbered, transported  
77 to the laboratory, and analyzed within 24 hours of collection. Abnormal eggs that were judged to be dirty, rough,  
78 misshapen, pimpled, cracked, white-banded, pale-shelled, or soft-shelled, were excluded. Eggs were analyzed for  
79 the whole egg weight, breaking strength, shell color, albumen height, Haugh unit, yolk color, specific gravity,  
80 shell weight, shell percentage, and shell thickness at the blunt, equator, and sharp ends.

81 The specific gravity of the eggs was determined by submerging the eggs in ascending order of a salty  
82 solution with a known specific gravity ranging between 1.065 to 1.090 [11]. Subsequently, the eggshell-breaking  
83 strength was evaluated using a texture analyzer (TA.XTplusC, Stable Micro Systems, Vienna Court, Lammas Rd,  
84 Godalming, Surrey, England). The egg weight, shell weight, shell color, albumen height, Haugh units, and yolk  
85 color were measured using an egg multitester instrument (TSS QCM+ Range, Chessingham Park, Dunnington,  
86 York, England) featuring a digital balance, shell color reflectometer, albumen height gauge, internal Haugh unit  
87 calculator and a yolk colorimeter. The shell color reflectometer used in the current study expresses reflectivity  
88 readings that could range from 25% to 40% for commercial brown eggs. The Haugh units were calculated  
89 automatically using the formulae:

90

$$\text{Haugh units (HU)} = 100 \times \log (\text{AH} - 1.7W^{0.37} + 7.6)$$

91

Where AH is albumen height in mm, and W is egg weight in grams

92

The thick albumen height was read at least 1 cm from the yolk as stipulated by Jones, [12]. Yolk color  
93 intensity was measured against the DSM yolk color fan (1, light yellow; 15, orange). After internal egg quality

94 analyses, the eggshells were collected, and any adhering albumen was removed using absorbent paper. The  
95 eggshells were then weighed to determine the eggshell percentage relative to the egg weight. Subsequently, a shell  
96 thickness micrometer screw gauge (Mitutoyo Digimatic MDC-MX Series, 965 Corporate Blvd, Aurora, Illinois  
97 60502, USA) was then used to measure the shell thickness at three different locations (sharp, blunt, and equator),  
98 without the shell membranes. The mean shell thickness was obtained from the average of the sharp, equator, and  
99 blunt edges. The internal egg quality and eggshell analyses were completed within 24 hours of egg collection.

100

#### 101 **Statistical analysis**

102 The results were expressed as mean values with standard deviations. Collected data was analyzed for  
103 Pearson's correlation coefficients of IBM SPSS Statistics Windows, Version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY., USA).  
104 Statistical significance was estimated at  $p < 0.05$  and the resulting coefficients ( $r$ ) were interpreted as indicated in  
105 Table 1.

ACCEPTED

106

## Results and Discussion

107 In line with changing global trends, greater emphasis and expectation has been placed on overall egg  
108 quality, safety, and freshness. A comprehensive understanding of whether the internal egg contents are related to  
109 the outer eggshell is needed. On this basis, phenotypic correlations between several internal and eggshell quality  
110 indicators were evaluated, and the determined Pearson's correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) are presented in Table 2. The  
111 whole egg weight is an important egg grading determinant and could also influence consumer perceptions  
112 regarding the quality and nutritional content of the egg. The weight of eggs is occasionally varied and is  
113 determined by hen (genetics and age) and nutritional factors, especially dietary protein and amino acid intake and  
114 utilization [13]. Regarding the correlation between egg weight and other egg quality indicators, a low positive  
115 correlation was observed between egg weight and shell weight ( $r=0.336$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). A moderate negative  
116 correlation was found between egg weight and shell percentage ( $r=-0.504$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). Percent shell is generally  
117 decreased as egg weight increases with age [9]. The current observation stresses that there is more inedible shell  
118 per unit weight with smaller eggs of lower weight.

119 Based on the correlation between the height of the thick albumen and egg weight, the Haugh unit is  
120 established in the literature as the 'gold standard' internal egg quality indicator based on the freshness and protein  
121 content of the egg [12]. Differences in thick albumen height which are based on varying egg weights, are usually  
122 corrected in Haugh unit calculations. As expected, a very strong positive correlation was found between the Haugh  
123 unit and albumen height ( $r=0.949$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). Furthermore, both parameters were not distinctly correlated to the  
124 egg weight and the other egg quality indicators. The current observations confirm previous reports [8, 10] that  
125 Haugh unit values are dependent on albumen height, but independent of other egg quality indicators. Contained  
126 inside the vitelline membrane, the egg yolk packs water, lipids, several proteins, and carotenoids that are  
127 responsible for the yolk color [2, 14]. Yolk color is an important sensory factor that defines consumer preference  
128 and perceived health benefits. It was observed that there were no significant correlations between egg yolk color  
129 and other egg quality indicators. It is well established that yolk color is known to be directly influenced by dietary  
130 intake; higher inclusion levels of xanthophyll-rich ingredients including yellow corn and corn gluten meal could  
131 improve yolk color [15].

132 Several parameters were evaluated for the eggshell quality evaluation including the egg-specific gravity,  
133 breaking strength, shell color, shell weight, shell percentage, and the eggshell thickness without the shell  
134 membrane at the blunt, equator, and sharp end. The numerous traits were measured for their color, structural  
135 soundness and integrity, and texture. The avian eggshell is a porous and multi-layered bioceramic composite



136 mainly composed of 96% polymorphic calcium carbonate in the form of calcite, an organic matrix, and a variety  
137 of trace elements [16]. Balanced nutrition with sufficient Ca, P and trace minerals is vital to ensure optimal  
138 eggshell quality; and could be improved by several feed additives including probiotics [10]. The shell should  
139 preferably be smooth; clean; free of cracks; and thick enough to withstand pressure and allow transportation and  
140 storage. The eggshell consists of two shell membranes, a mammillary layer, a palisade layer, a vertical crystal  
141 layer, and a cuticle [17]. The eggshell serves to not only balance the demands of protecting the internal contents  
142 of the egg from external (mechanical and micro bacterial) invasion; but also allow the exchange of water and  
143 gases during embryonic development and easy breakage from inside to allow hatching [18]. The egg-specific  
144 gravity specifically captures the quantity of the shell relative to the other egg components and is often quoted to  
145 be synonymous with the eggshell thickness and strength [19]. In the current study, very low positive correlations  
146 were observed between the egg-specific gravity and the eggshell thickness measured at the blunt, equator, and  
147 sharp end ( $r=0.130$ ,  $r=0.123$ ,  $r=0.150$ , respectively). Contrarily, a significant association between the eggshell  
148 thickness and the specific gravity has been reported [20]. Furthermore, very low positive correlations between the  
149 egg-specific gravity and the egg-breaking strength ( $r=0.207$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) were observed. Taken together, no distinct  
150 correlations were observed between the egg-specific gravity with all the other internal and external egg quality  
151 indicators. Furthermore, positive correlations ( $r=0.631$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) were observed between the shell weight and  
152 percentage. Very low positive correlations were observed between the eggshell-breaking strength and both the  
153 shell weight ( $r=0.201$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), and shell percentage ( $r=0.287$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). Both parameters were determined to  
154 be unreliable indicators of other eggshell quality indicators including eggshell thickness, specific gravity, and  
155 breaking strength since very low positive correlations were observed.

156 The importance of eggshell color as an important determinant of consumer preference and its role in  
157 photoantimicrobial defense against bacteria is stated [10]. In the current study, brown eggs were analyzed, and no  
158 distinct correlations were observed between the eggshell color and the other internal and external quality indicators.  
159 It is well-accepted that shell color will largely determine the market acceptability with little or no relation to the  
160 overall egg quality. Regarding the eggshell thickness, it was observed that the correlation between the blunt end  
161 was the closest to the average eggshell thickness ( $r=0.975$ ) as compared to the mid ( $r=0.965$ ) and sharp end  
162 ( $r=0.923$ ). Contrarily, it has been previously reported that the thickness of the sharp end was numerically closest  
163 to and more representative of the average thickness [21]. For the correlation between the egg-breaking strength  
164 and shell thickness, the correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) between the average eggshell thickness and the eggshell-  
165 breaking strength were positive at  $0.465$  ( $p < 0.01$ ). Notably, the eggshell thickness was measured at the blunt,

166 mid, and sharp edges to assess the possibility of varied correlation to the egg-breaking strength, as brought about  
167 by the characteristic differences in thickness from point to point across the eggshell (Table 2). In the current study,  
168 low positive correlations were observed between the eggshell breaking strength and the shell thickness at the blunt  
169 edge ( $r=0.447, p < 0.01$ ), equator ( $r=0.428, p < 0.01$ ), and sharp edge ( $r=0.453, p < 0.01$ ). It is evident that the  
170 coefficients obtained from the average eggshell thickness could be slightly more indicative of the egg-breaking  
171 strength. The current results underline the standard procedure of reporting eggshell thickness from the average  
172 thickness of blunt, equator, and sharp end fragments from one egg, as recorded in previous studies [10, 13].

173 Eggshell breaking strength is inherently related to shell quality and generally denotes the ability of  
174 eggshell to withstand externally applied force without cracking or breaking. Any approach that aims to improve  
175 the quality and safety of eggs is of limited application unless it can safeguard the inner contents of the egg through  
176 an improved mechanical breaking strength. The eggshell thickness as well as the egg shape, size, and curvature  
177 determine the structural properties of the eggshell-breaking strength [22, 23]. Eggshell-breaking strength is also  
178 known to be affected by several material factors including the organic and inorganic components of the cuticle,  
179 shell membrane, and organic matrix [22]. In the current study, the specific gravity, shell weight, and shell  
180 percentage were judged to be unreliable indicators of eggshell-breaking resistance. Furthermore, as previously  
181 stated, low positive correlations were observed between the eggshell-breaking strength and the shell thickness.  
182 Considering the interplay of the structural and material properties affecting the eggshell-breaking strength, the  
183 current results confirm that thicker eggshells does not necessarily translate to higher eggshell-breaking strength,  
184 as has been corroborated elsewhere [8]. As outlined by Hincke et al.[16], further focus should be placed on other  
185 factors that could directly affect the mechanical breaking resistance property of the eggshell including the mineral  
186 components of the eggshell, mineral density, and the spatial architectural arrangement of the ultrastructure (the  
187 extent and disposition of major structural eggshell units) and microstructure or texture (the size of crystals and  
188 mammillary cones, their shape and crystallographic orientation).

189 Conclusively, no distinct correlations were observed between appearance traits (yolk and eggshell color)  
190 to other internal and external egg quality indicators. Marginal correlations were observed between shell thickness  
191 to other eggshell quality parameters including shell breaking strength, shell weight, and shell percentage.  
192 Significant correlations were observed between shell percentage and shell weight; shell percentage and egg weight;  
193 and Haugh unit and albumen height. Taken together, the present study revealed that no significant correlations  
194 exist between eggshell quality and the internal egg contents, suggesting that internal and external quality indicators  
195 can be considered independently. The need to develop simple, inexpensive, high-throughput, and non-invasive

196 methods that can easily detect internal egg quality is stressed. This study contributes to understanding the  
197 relationship between shell quality and internal egg freshness, providing insights for optimizing egg production  
198 and quality assessment systems. The current findings are specific to the Hy-Line Brown hens at 35 weeks of age  
199 under enriched cage conditions. Additional research involving different breeds, rearing systems, and broader age  
200 ranges is recommended.

201

202

### **Acknowledgments**

203

The authors acknowledge the financial support offered by the research fund of Chungnam National

204

University, Republic of Korea.

ACCEPTED

205

## References

- 206 1. FAO . Production, trade and prices of commodities. In World Food and Agriculture- Statistical yearbook  
207 2023. Rome. 2023; p. 17-18. [cited 2025 Jan 06]. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc8166en>
- 208 2. Réhault-Godbert S, Guyot N, Nys Y. The golden egg: nutritional value, bioactivities, and emerging benefits  
209 for human health. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(3):684. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11030684>
- 210 3. Lee JH, Lee Y, Paik H-D, Park E. Antioxidant and immune-modulating activities of egg yolk protein extracts.  
211 *Food Sci Anim Resour*. 2022;42(2):321-31. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2022.e3>
- 212 4. Bain MM, Nys Y, Dunn IC. Increasing persistency in lay and stabilising egg quality in longer laying cycles.  
213 What are the challenges?. *Br Poult Sci*. 2016;57(3):330-8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2016.1161727>
- 214 5. Dunn IC, Joseph NT, Bain M, Edmond A, Wilson PW, Milona P, et al. Polymorphisms in eggshell organic  
215 matrix genes are associated with eggshell quality measurements in pedigree Rhode Island Red hens. *Anim*  
216 *Genet*. 2009;40(1):110-4. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2008.01794.x>
- 217 6. Xuan L, Zheng J. Translucent eggs of laying hens: a review. *Poult Sci*. 2024;103(9):103983.  
218 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2024.103983>
- 219 7. Mestani M, Zeqiri M, Bytyçi P, Hasalliu R, Kokthi E, Mehmeti I, et al. Exploring the physical characteristics  
220 of eggs for consumption and industrial use in Kosovo: a comprehensive quality analysis. *Int J Food Prop*.  
221 2024;27(1):341-51. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2024.2317731>
- 222 8. Jang E. Correlation between Internal and External Egg Quality Indicators in the Early Phase of Hy-Line  
223 Brown Laying Hens. *Korean J Poult Sci*. 2022;49(2):53-60. <https://doi.org/10.5536/KJPS.2022.49.2.53>
- 224 9. Inca J, Martinez D, Vilchez C. Phenotypic Correlation Between External and Internal Egg Quality  
225 Characteristics in 85-Week-Old Laying Hens. *Int J Pout Sci*. 2020;19:346.  
226 <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2020.346.355>
- 227 10. Oketch EO, Yu M, Hong JS, Chaturanga NC, Seo E, Lee H, et al. Laying hen responses to multi-strain  
228 *Bacillus*-based probiotic supplementation from 25 to 37 weeks of age. *Anim Biosci*. 2024;37(8):1418-27.  
229 <https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.23.0495>
- 230 11. Peebles ED, McDaniel CD. Practical manual for understanding the shell structure of broiler hatching eggs  
231 and measurements of their quality. 2013. [cited 2025 Jan 06].  
232 <https://www.mafes.msstate.edu/publications/bulletins/b1139.pdf>
- 233 12. Jones D. Haugh unit: gold standard of egg quality. National Egg Quality School Indianapolis. 2012;7:47-51.  
234 [cited 2025 Jan 06]. <https://www.ars.usda.gov/research/publications/publication/?seqNo115=280843>
- 235 13. Poudel I, Hodge VR, Wamsley KGS, Roberson KD, Adhikari PA. Effects of protease enzyme  
236 supplementation and varying levels of amino acid inclusion on productive performance, egg quality, and  
237 amino acid digestibility in laying hens from 30 to 50 weeks of age. *Poult Sci*. 2023;102(3):102465.  
238 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2022.102465>

- 239 14. Yunitasari F, Jayanegara A, Ulupi N. Performance, egg quality, and immunity of laying hens due to natural  
240 carotenoid supplementation: A meta-analysis. *Food Sci Anim Res.* 2023;43(2):282-304.  
241 <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2022.e76>
- 242 15. Kim JH, Lee HK, Yang TS, Kang HK, Kil DY. Effect of different sources and inclusion levels of dietary fat  
243 on productive performance and egg quality in laying hens raised under hot environmental conditions. *Asian-*  
244 *Australas J Anim Sci.* 2019;32(9):1407-13. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.19.0063>
- 245 16. Hincke MT, Nys Y, Gautron J, Mann K, Rodriguez-Navarro AB, McKee MD. The eggshell: structure,  
246 composition and mineralization. *Front Biosci.* 2012;17(4):1266-80.
- 247 17. Park JA, Sohn SH. The Influence of Hen Aging on Eggshell Ultrastructure and Shell Mineral Components.  
248 *Food Sci Anim Res.* 2018;38(5):1080-91. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2018.e41>
- 249 18. Nys Y, Gautron J, Garcia-Ruiz JM, Hincke MT. Avian eggshell mineralization: biochemical and functional  
250 characterization of matrix proteins. *Comptes Rendus Palevol.* 2004;3(6):549-62.  
251 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crpv.2004.08.002>
- 252 19. Hamilton RMG. Methods and factors that affect the measurement of egg shell quality. *Poult Sci.*  
253 1982;61(10):2022-39. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0612022>
- 254 20. Voisey PW, Hamilton RMG. Notes on the measurement of egg specific gravity to estimate egg shell quality.  
255 1976. [cited 2025 Jan 06]. [https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2023/aac-aa/c/A58-4-598-](https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2023/aac-aa/c/A58-4-598-eng.pdf)  
256 [eng.pdf](https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2023/aac-aa/c/A58-4-598-eng.pdf).
- 257 21. Sun CJ, Chen SR, Xu GY, Liu XM, Yang N. Global variation and uniformity of eggshell thickness for chicken  
258 eggs. *Poult Sci.* 2012;91(10):2718-21. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2012-02220>
- 259 22. Bain M. Recent advances in the assessment of eggshell quality and their future application. *World's Poult Sci*  
260 *J.* 2005;61. <https://doi.org/10.1079/WPS200459>
- 261 23. Ketta M, Tůmová E. Relationship between eggshell thickness and other eggshell measurements in eggs from  
262 litter and cages. *Ital J Anim Sci.* 2018;17(1):234-9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2017.1344935>

263

### Tables and Figures

264 **Table 1.** Interpretation table of the Pearson correlation coefficients<sup>1</sup>

Correlation coefficient	Interpretation of r
1.00	Perfect positive/negative correlation
$\pm 0.90$ to $\pm 0.99$	Very high positive/negative correlation
$\pm 0.70$ to $\pm 0.90$	Considerably high positive/negative correlation
$\pm 0.50$ to $\pm 0.70$	Moderate positive/negative correlation
$\pm 0.30$ to $\pm 0.50$	Low positive/negative correlation
$\pm 0.10$ to $\pm 0.30$	Very low positive/negative correlation
$\pm 0.00$ to $\pm 0.10$	Negligible positive/negative correlation

265 <sup>1</sup> $\pm$  denotes the direction of the correlation

ACCEPTED

266 **Table 2.** Descriptive statistics of the internal and external egg quality indicators of 35-week-old laying hens<sup>1</sup>

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	CV, %
Internal egg quality characteristics						
Albumen height, mm	300	4.100	10.100	7.647	1.608	21.027
Haugh units	300	52.400	109.800	86.971	9.743	11.202
Yolk color	300	6.000	10.000	8.790	0.664	7.552
External egg quality characteristics						
Egg weight, g	300	37.520	87.190	57.228	6.083	10.630
Egg specific gravity	300	1.060	1.130	1.076	0.070	6.481
Egg breaking strength, kgf/cm <sup>2</sup>	300	2.110	7.550	5.278	0.844	15.996
Shell color	300	17.000	32.000	23.433	2.958	12.622
Shell weight, g	300	5.190	10.260	7.233	0.792	10.949
Shell percentage, %	300	8.000	17.510	12.718	1.491	11.721
Eggshell thickness without membrane, mm						
Blunt end	300	0.210	0.438	0.336	0.033	9.815
Equator	300	0.230	0.456	0.356	0.033	9.214
Sharp end	300	0.227	0.470	0.363	0.036	9.812
Average shell thickness	300	0.227	0.444	0.352	0.032	9.177

267 <sup>1</sup>N, sample size; SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation

268 **Table 3.** Correlation coefficients between internal and external egg quality indicators of 35-week-old laying hens

	Egg weight	Specific gravity	Breaking Strength	Shell color	Albumen Height	Haugh units	Yolk color	Shell weight	Shell percentage	Blunt end	Equator	Sharp end	Average shell thickness
Egg weight	1.000												
Specific gravity	-0.109	1.000											
Breaking strength	-0.139*	0.207**	1.000										
Shell color	0.118*	-0.061	-0.150**	1.000									
Albumen height	0.001	0.052	-0.113	-0.038	1.000								
Haugh units	-0.176**	0.076	-0.110	-0.044	0.949**	1.000							
Yolk color	0.189**	-0.100	-0.166**	-0.091	0.245**	0.212**	1.000						
Shell weight	0.336**	-0.057	0.201**	-0.088	0.062	-0.017	0.084	1.000					
Shell percentage	-0.504**	0.048	0.287**	-0.178**	0.047	0.117*	-0.089	0.631**	1.000				
Blunt end	-0.027	0.130*	0.447**	-0.148*	-0.084	-0.087	-0.130*	0.313**	0.305**	1.000			
Equator	-0.031	0.123*	0.428**	-0.148*	-0.066	-0.071	-0.108	0.308**	0.305**	0.969**	1.000		
Sharp end	-0.073	0.150**	0.453**	-0.115*	-0.068	-0.062	-0.150**	0.265**	0.298**	0.830**	0.801**	1.000	
Average shell thickness	-0.047	0.142*	0.465**	-0.144*	-0.077	-0.077	-0.137*	0.308**	0.318**	0.975**	0.965**	0.923**	1.000

269 \* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

270 \*\* Correlation is highly significant at the 0.01 level.